

Cleanliness of the city? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Cleanliness of the city?	1. Poor	87	70	89	77	44	74	8	449
		33.5%	20.3%	24.3%	25.2%	21.8%	22.4%	28.6%	24.4%
	2. Fair	118	167	175	148	100	138	15	861
		45.4%	48.4%	47.7%	48.5%	49.5%	41.8%	53.6%	46.9%
	3. Good	50	87	93	58	43	81	4	416
		19.2%	25.2%	25.3%	19.0%	21.3%	24.5%	14.3%	22.6%
	4. Excellent	5	19	7	21	11	26	1	90
Total		1.9%	5.5%	1.9%	6.9%	5.4%	7.9%	3.6%	4.9%
	8. Don't Know	0	2	3	1	4	11	0	21
		.0%	.6%	.8%	.3%	2.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.1%
		260	345	367	305	202	330	28	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of the city? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Cleanliness of the city?	1. Poor	152	297	449
		18.5%	29.3%	24.5%
	2. Fair	380	480	860
		46.3%	47.3%	46.9%
	3. Good	231	184	415
		28.2%	18.1%	22.6%
	4. Excellent	53	37	90
Total		6.5%	3.6%	4.9%
	8. Don't Know	4	16	20
		.5%	1.6%	1.1%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of the city? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Cleanliness of the city?	1. Poor	95	324	3	3	15	440
		16.6%	28.2%	21.4%	15.0%	24.6%	24.2%
	2. Fair	291	521	9	7	27	855
		50.8%	45.3%	64.3%	35.0%	44.3%	47.0%
	3. Good	160	230	1	8	15	414
		27.9%	20.0%	7.1%	40.0%	24.6%	22.8%
	4. Excellent	18	65	0	2	4	89
		3.1%	5.7%	.0%	10.0%	6.6%	4.9%
Total	8. Don't Know	9	10	1	0	0	20
		1.6%	.9%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
		573	1150	14	20	61	1818
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of the city? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Cleanliness of the city?	1. Poor	26	42	61	87	44	73	38	36	450
		23.6%	22.3%	27.5%	29.8%	13.9%	31.2%	24.5%	22.9%	24.5%
	2. Fair	44	86	113	138	148	105	86	65	860
		40.0%	45.7%	50.9%	47.3%	46.7%	44.9%	55.5%	41.4%	46.8%
	3. Good	22	50	31	56	107	45	22	44	414
		20.0%	26.6%	14.0%	19.2%	33.8%	19.2%	14.2%	28.0%	22.5%
	4. Excellent	17	8	14	7	13	9	7	10	91
		15.5%	4.3%	6.3%	2.4%	4.1%	3.8%	4.5%	6.4%	5.0%
Total	8. Don't Know	1	2	3	4	5	2	2	2	21
		.9%	1.1%	1.4%	1.4%	1.6%	.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.1%
		110	188	222	292	317	234	155	157	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of your neighborhood? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Cleanliness of your neighborhood?	1. Poor	31	43	42	52	31	38	240
		11.9%	12.5%	11.4%	17.0%	15.3%	11.5%	13.1%
	2. Fair	63	78	88	86	57	89	475
		24.2%	22.7%	24.0%	28.1%	28.2%	27.0%	25.9%
	3. Good	105	149	168	116	78	118	741
		40.4%	43.3%	45.8%	37.9%	38.6%	35.8%	40.3%
	4. Excellent	61	74	69	52	36	84	380
		23.5%	21.5%	18.8%	17.0%	17.8%	25.5%	20.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.1%
		260	344	367	306	202	330	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of your neighborhood? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Cleanliness of your neighborhood?	1. Poor	99	140	239
		12.1%	13.8%	13.0%
	2. Fair	212	262	474
		25.9%	25.8%	25.8%
	3. Good	326	414	740
		39.8%	40.8%	40.3%
	4. Excellent	183	197	380
		22.3%	19.4%	20.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	0	1	1
		.0%	.1%	.1%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of your neighborhood? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Cleanliness of your neighborhood?	1. Poor	58	171	2	1	4	236
		10.1%	14.9%	14.3%	5.0%	6.6%	13.0%
	2. Fair	119	326	4	5	17	471
		20.8%	28.3%	28.6%	25.0%	27.9%	25.9%
	3. Good	260	427	5	12	31	735
		45.4%	37.1%	35.7%	60.0%	50.8%	40.4%
	4. Excellent	136	225	3	2	9	375
		23.7%	19.6%	21.4%	10.0%	14.8%	20.6%
Total	8. Don't Know	0	1	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
		573	1150	14	20	61	1818
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cleanliness of your neighborhood? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West
Cleanliness of your neighborhood?	1. Poor	11	38	12	30	18	58	15	19	2
		10.0%	20.3%	5.4%	10.3%	5.7%	24.8%	9.7%	12.1%	2.1%
	2. Fair	24	44	34	81	62	81	53	51	2
		21.8%	23.5%	15.3%	27.7%	19.5%	34.6%	34.2%	32.5%	2.1%
	3. Good	39	84	115	126	148	63	64	58	2
		35.5%	44.9%	51.8%	43.2%	46.5%	26.9%	41.3%	36.9%	2.1%
	4. Excellent	36	21	61	55	89	32	23	29	2
		32.7%	11.2%	27.5%	18.8%	28.0%	13.7%	14.8%	18.5%	2.1%
8. Don't Know	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	110	187	222	292	318	234	155	157	1
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in Baltimore? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Amount of green space in Baltimore?	1. Poor	47	53	48	57	31	31	2	269
		18.1%	15.4%	13.1%	18.6%	15.3%	9.5%	7.1%	14.7%
	2. Fair	102	136	160	124	73	103	14	712
		39.2%	39.5%	43.7%	40.5%	36.1%	31.4%	50.0%	38.8%
	3. Good	85	108	112	86	68	125	4	588
		32.7%	31.4%	30.6%	28.1%	33.7%	38.1%	14.3%	32.1%
	4. Excellent	22	44	40	29	25	41	4	205
		8.5%	12.8%	10.9%	9.5%	12.4%	12.5%	14.3%	11.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	4	3	6	10	5	28	4	60
		1.5%	.9%	1.6%	3.3%	2.5%	8.5%	14.3%	3.3%
		260	344	366	306	202	328	28	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in Baltimore? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Amount of green space in Baltimore?	1. Poor	111	157	268
		13.5%	15.5%	14.6%
	2. Fair	327	385	712
		39.9%	38.0%	38.8%
	3. Good	270	318	588
		32.9%	31.4%	32.1%
	4. Excellent	92	114	206
		11.2%	11.3%	11.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	20	39	59
		2.4%	3.8%	3.2%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in Baltimore? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Amount of green space in Baltimore?	1. Poor	64	185	4	0	14	267
		11.1%	16.1%	30.8%	.0%	23.3%	14.7%
	2. Fair	225	441	2	16	17	701
		39.2%	38.4%	15.4%	80.0%	28.3%	38.6%
	3. Good	217	339	6	2	19	583
		37.8%	29.5%	46.2%	10.0%	31.7%	32.1%
	4. Excellent	56	139	0	2	8	205
		9.8%	12.1%	.0%	10.0%	13.3%	11.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	12	44	1	0	2	59
		2.1%	3.8%	7.7%	.0%	3.3%	3.3%
		574	1148	13	20	60	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in Baltimore? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Amount of green space in Baltimore?	1. Poor	17	33	13	50	28	49	27	22	270
		15.5%	17.6%	5.9%	17.1%	8.9%	20.9%	17.3%	14.0%	14.7%
	2. Fair	37	85	99	119	105	95	59	62	712
		33.6%	45.2%	44.6%	40.8%	33.2%	40.4%	37.8%	39.5%	38.7%
	3. Good	38	56	71	80	118	64	49	59	589
		34.5%	29.8%	32.0%	27.4%	37.3%	27.2%	31.4%	37.6%	32.0%
	4. Excellent	16	10	35	33	50	18	12	12	207
		14.5%	5.3%	15.8%	11.3%	15.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.6%	11.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	2	4	4	10	15	9	9	2	60
		1.8%	2.1%	1.8%	3.4%	4.7%	3.8%	5.8%	1.3%	3.3%
		110	188	222	292	316	235	156	157	1838
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in your neighborhood? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Amount of green space in your neighborhood?	1. Poor	56	68	57	45	36	35	2	299
		21.5%	19.8%	15.6%	14.7%	17.8%	10.7%	7.1%	16.3%
	2. Fair	75	101	81	93	48	71	11	480
		28.8%	29.4%	22.1%	30.4%	23.8%	21.7%	39.3%	26.2%
	3. Good	62	101	143	98	66	117	8	595
		23.8%	29.4%	39.1%	32.0%	32.7%	35.8%	28.6%	32.5%
	4. Excellent	63	72	82	64	50	95	5	431
		24.2%	20.9%	22.4%	20.9%	24.8%	29.1%	17.9%	23.5%
	8. Don't Know	4	2	3	6	2	9	2	28
		1.5%	.6%	.8%	2.0%	1.0%	2.8%	7.1%	1.5%
Total		260	344	366	306	202	327	28	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in your neighborhood? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Amount of green space in your neighborhood?	1. Poor	132	168	300
		16.1%	16.6%	16.4%
	2. Fair	197	283	480
		24.0%	27.9%	26.2%
	3. Good	268	326	594
		32.7%	32.2%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	208	224	432
		25.4%	22.1%	23.6%
	8. Don't Know	15	12	27
Total		1.8%	1.2%	1.5%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in your neighborhood? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Amount of green space in your neighborhood?	1. Poor	61	218	5	2	11	297
		10.6%	19.0%	38.5%	9.5%	18.3%	16.4%
	2. Fair	136	312	3	12	10	473
		23.7%	27.2%	23.1%	57.1%	16.7%	26.1%
	3. Good	202	364	4	3	17	590
		35.3%	31.7%	30.8%	14.3%	28.3%	32.5%
	4. Excellent	169	236	0	4	20	429
		29.5%	20.6%	.0%	19.0%	33.3%	23.6%
	8. Don't Know	5	18	1	0	2	26
		.9%	1.6%	7.7%	.0%	3.3%	1.4%
Total		573	1148	13	21	60	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Amount of green space in your neighborhood? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Amount of green space in your neighborhood?	1. Poor	18	65	13	37	29	57	21	27	35	302
		16.4%	34.8%	5.9%	12.6%	9.1%	24.4%	13.5%	17.3%	21.7%	16.4%
	2. Fair	35	56	48	68	66	68	51	42	45	479
		31.8%	29.9%	21.6%	23.2%	20.8%	29.1%	32.9%	26.9%	28.0%	26.1%
	3. Good	22	42	88	121	112	73	42	46	48	594
		20.0%	22.5%	39.6%	41.3%	35.2%	31.2%	27.1%	29.5%	29.8%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	34	20	69	60	106	31	40	39	33	432
		30.9%	10.7%	31.1%	20.5%	33.3%	13.2%	25.8%	25.0%	20.5%	23.5%
	8. Don't Know	1	4	4	7	5	5	1	2	0	29
		.9%	2.1%	1.8%	2.4%	1.6%	2.1%	.6%	1.3%	.0%	1.6%
Total		110	187	222	293	318	234	155	156	161	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of good jobs in Baltimore? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Availability of good jobs in Baltimore?	1. Poor	134	120	143	123	72	95	12	699
		51.5%	35.0%	39.0%	40.5%	36.0%	29.0%	42.9%	38.2%
	2. Fair	75	103	105	101	76	82	4	546
		28.8%	30.0%	28.6%	33.2%	38.0%	25.0%	14.3%	29.8%
	3. Good	25	73	57	38	22	40	4	259
		9.6%	21.3%	15.5%	12.5%	11.0%	12.2%	14.3%	14.2%
	4. Excellent	4	15	14	16	10	8	0	67
		1.5%	4.4%	3.8%	5.3%	5.0%	2.4%	.0%	3.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	22	32	48	26	20	103	8	259
		8.5%	9.3%	13.1%	8.6%	10.0%	31.4%	28.6%	14.2%
		260	343	367	304	200	328	28	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of good jobs in Baltimore? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Availability of good jobs in Baltimore?	1. Poor	296	404	700
		36.2%	39.9%	38.3%
	2. Fair	257	288	545
		31.5%	28.5%	29.8%
	3. Good	127	131	258
		15.5%	12.9%	14.1%
	4. Excellent	35	31	66
		4.3%	3.1%	3.6%
Total	8. Don't Know	102	158	260
		12.5%	15.6%	14.2%
		817	1012	1829
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of good jobs in Baltimore? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Availability of good jobs in Baltimore?	1. Poor	127	540	6	0	20	693
		22.3%	47.0%	46.2%	.0%	32.8%	38.2%
	2. Fair	190	312	5	12	18	537
		33.3%	27.2%	38.5%	60.0%	29.5%	29.6%
	3. Good	112	133	2	1	10	258
		19.6%	11.6%	15.4%	5.0%	16.4%	14.2%
	4. Excellent	27	37	0	2	2	68
	8. Don't Know	114	126	0	5	11	256
		20.0%	11.0%	.0%	25.0%	18.0%	14.1%
	Total	570	1148	13	20	61	1812
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of good jobs in Baltimore? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Availability of good jobs in Baltimore?	1. Poor	36	63	84	125	93	106	59	60	76	702
		32.7%	33.5%	37.8%	42.8%	29.4%	45.5%	38.3%	38.5%	47.5%	38.3%
	2. Fair	29	57	81	94	99	64	44	40	37	545
		26.4%	30.3%	36.5%	32.2%	31.3%	27.5%	28.6%	25.6%	23.1%	29.8%
	3. Good	18	44	29	32	47	23	25	25	15	258
		16.4%	23.4%	13.1%	11.0%	14.9%	9.9%	16.2%	16.0%	9.4%	14.1%
	4. Excellent	8	3	10	7	6	11	1	14	6	66
		7.3%	1.6%	4.5%	2.4%	1.9%	4.7%	.6%	9.0%	3.8%	3.6%
	8. Don't Know	19	21	18	34	71	29	25	17	26	260
		17.3%	11.2%	8.1%	11.6%	22.5%	12.4%	16.2%	10.9%	16.3%	14.2%
Total		110	188	222	292	316	233	154	156	160	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	60	63	74	54	25	41	5	322
		23.0%	18.4%	20.1%	17.7%	12.4%	12.5%	17.9%	17.6%
	2. Fair	85	83	75	83	51	60	6	443
		32.6%	24.2%	20.4%	27.2%	25.4%	18.3%	21.4%	24.2%
	3. Good	64	122	158	94	90	114	11	653
		24.5%	35.6%	42.9%	30.8%	44.8%	34.8%	39.3%	35.6%
	4. Excellent	34	52	50	65	29	79	3	312
		13.0%	15.2%	13.6%	21.3%	14.4%	24.1%	10.7%	17.0%
	8. Don't Know	18	23	11	9	6	34	3	104
		6.9%	6.7%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	10.4%	10.7%	5.7%
Total		261	343	368	305	201	328	28	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	114	206	320
		13.9%	20.3%	17.5%
	2. Fair	193	250	443
		23.6%	24.7%	24.2%
	3. Good	329	324	653
		40.2%	32.0%	35.7%
	4. Excellent	139	172	311
		17.0%	17.0%	17.0%
	8. Don't Know	43	61	104
		5.3%	6.0%	5.7%
Total		818	1013	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	34	266	1	7	11	319
		6.0%	23.2%	7.7%	35.0%	18.0%	17.6%
	2. Fair	75	347	3	1	13	439
		13.2%	30.3%	23.1%	5.0%	21.3%	24.2%
	3. Good	274	337	8	10	15	644
		48.1%	29.4%	61.5%	50.0%	24.6%	35.6%
	4. Excellent	152	139	0	2	14	307
		26.7%	12.1%	.0%	10.0%	23.0%	17.0%
Total	8. Don't Know	35	58	1	0	8	102
		6.1%	5.1%	7.7%	.0%	13.1%	5.6%
		570	1147	13	20	61	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Availability of cultural activities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	16	25	31	78	49	39	27	21	323
		14.5%	13.4%	14.0%	26.7%	15.5%	16.7%	17.4%	13.4%	17.6%
	2. Fair	22	57	40	70	72	66	44	31	443
		20.0%	30.5%	18.1%	24.0%	22.8%	28.3%	28.4%	19.7%	24.2%
	3. Good	36	69	100	95	108	80	53	64	652
		32.7%	36.9%	45.2%	32.5%	34.2%	34.3%	34.2%	40.8%	35.6%
	4. Excellent	27	26	48	36	55	31	26	37	310
		24.5%	13.9%	21.7%	12.3%	17.4%	13.3%	16.8%	23.6%	16.9%
Total	8. Don't Know	9	10	2	13	32	17	5	4	103
		8.2%	5.3%	.9%	4.5%	10.1%	7.3%	3.2%	2.5%	5.6%
		110	187	221	292	316	233	155	157	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	102	102	127	121	51	70	10	583
		39.2%	29.8%	34.7%	39.7%	25.2%	21.4%	35.7%	31.9%
	2. Fair	87	88	95	85	69	104	8	536
		33.5%	25.7%	26.0%	27.9%	34.2%	31.8%	28.6%	29.3%
	3. Good	37	94	85	65	55	75	4	415
		14.2%	27.5%	23.2%	21.3%	27.2%	22.9%	14.3%	22.7%
	4. Excellent	26	39	43	26	18	31	3	186
		10.0%	11.4%	11.7%	8.5%	8.9%	9.5%	10.7%	10.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	8	19	16	8	9	47	3	110
		3.1%	5.6%	4.4%	2.6%	4.5%	14.4%	10.7%	6.0%
		260	342	366	305	202	327	28	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	204	379	583
		24.9%	37.5%	31.9%
	2. Fair	249	287	536
		30.4%	28.4%	29.3%
	3. Good	230	185	415
		28.1%	18.3%	22.7%
	4. Excellent	102	84	186
		12.5%	8.3%	10.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	33	77	110
		4.0%	7.6%	6.0%
		818	1012	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	60	494	6	0	21	581
		10.5%	43.1%	46.2%	.0%	34.4%	32.0%
	2. Fair	170	327	3	13	16	529
		29.7%	28.5%	23.1%	65.0%	26.2%	29.2%
	3. Good	199	196	2	5	9	411
		34.8%	17.1%	15.4%	25.0%	14.8%	22.7%
	4. Excellent	96	82	2	2	5	187
		16.8%	7.1%	15.4%	10.0%	8.2%	10.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	47	48	0	0	10	105
		8.2%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	16.4%	5.8%
		572	1147	13	20	61	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Availability of recreational opportunities in Baltimore?	1. Poor	18	62	58	114	88	86	52	35	71	584
		16.4%	33.2%	26.4%	39.0%	27.9%	36.9%	33.5%	22.0%	44.4%	31.9%
	2. Fair	36	46	71	88	96	67	49	42	41	536
		32.7%	24.6%	32.3%	30.1%	30.5%	28.8%	31.6%	26.4%	25.6%	29.3%
	3. Good	29	48	48	58	78	46	33	55	20	415
		26.4%	25.7%	21.8%	19.9%	24.8%	19.7%	21.3%	34.6%	12.5%	22.7%
	4. Excellent	21	24	35	15	22	18	14	22	15	186
		19.1%	12.8%	15.9%	5.1%	7.0%	7.7%	9.0%	13.8%	9.4%	10.2%
	8. Don't Know	6	7	8	17	31	16	7	5	13	110
		5.5%	3.7%	3.6%	5.8%	9.8%	6.9%	4.5%	3.1%	8.1%	6.0%
Total		110	187	220	292	315	233	155	159	160	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides?	1. Very Unsatisfied	12	42	45	41	22	24	3	189
		4.6%	12.2%	12.3%	13.4%	10.9%	7.3%	10.7%	10.3%
	2. Unsatisfied	66	72	87	70	49	73	9	426
		25.3%	20.9%	23.7%	22.9%	24.3%	22.3%	32.1%	23.2%
	3. Neither Satisfied nor Unsatisfied	59	80	63	43	34	45	6	330
		22.6%	23.2%	17.2%	14.1%	16.8%	13.8%	21.4%	18.0%
	4. Satisfied	118	137	155	131	85	163	9	798
		45.2%	39.7%	42.2%	42.8%	42.1%	49.8%	32.1%	43.5%
	5. Very Satisfied	2	12	13	17	11	18	0	73
		.8%	3.5%	3.5%	5.6%	5.4%	5.5%	.0%	4.0%
Total	9. Don't Know	4	2	4	4	1	4	1	20
		1.5%	.6%	1.1%	1.3%	.5%	1.2%	3.6%	1.1%
		261	345	367	306	202	327	28	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides?	1. Very Unsatisfied	82	106	188
		10.0%	10.5%	10.3%
	2. Unsatisfied	160	266	426
		19.5%	26.2%	23.2%
	3. Neither Satisfied nor Unsatisfied	168	162	330
		20.5%	16.0%	18.0%
	4. Satisfied	372	426	798
		45.4%	42.0%	43.5%
	5. Very Satisfied	35	37	72
		4.3%	3.6%	3.9%
Total	9. Don't Know	3	17	20
		.4%	1.7%	1.1%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides?	1. Very Unsatisfied	35	138	2	0	10	185
		6.1%	12.0%	15.4%	.0%	16.7%	10.2%
	2. Unsatisfied	96	307	3	4	9	419
		16.8%	26.7%	23.1%	20.0%	15.0%	23.1%
	3. Neither Satisfied nor Unsatisfied	121	183	6	4	12	326
		21.1%	15.9%	46.2%	20.0%	20.0%	18.0%
	4. Satisfied	287	473	2	10	20	792
		50.1%	41.2%	15.4%	50.0%	33.3%	43.6%
	5. Very Satisfied	30	37	0	2	4	73
		5.2%	3.2%	.0%	10.0%	6.7%	4.0%
Total	9. Don't Know	4	11	0	0	5	20
		.7%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	1.1%
		573	1149	13	20	60	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Overall how satisfied would you say you are with the quality of the services that Baltimore City provides?	1. Very Unsatisfied	9	18	15	33	23	34	14	15	188
		8.3%	9.6%	6.8%	11.3%	7.3%	14.6%	9.0%	9.6%	10.3%
	2. Unsatisfied	21	40	34	89	64	55	50	29	426
		19.3%	21.4%	15.3%	30.4%	20.3%	23.6%	32.1%	18.5%	23.3%
	3. Neither Satisfied nor Unsatisfied	17	29	61	47	61	40	24	31	331
		15.6%	15.5%	27.5%	16.0%	19.3%	17.2%	15.4%	19.7%	18.1%
	4. Satisfied	51	94	95	114	153	95	60	73	798
		46.8%	50.3%	42.8%	38.9%	48.4%	40.8%	38.5%	46.5%	43.6%
	5. Very Satisfied	11	5	15	9	10	6	5	9	71
		10.1%	2.7%	6.8%	3.1%	3.2%	2.6%	3.2%	5.7%	3.9%
Total	9. Don't Know	0	1	2	1	5	3	3	0	18
		.0%	.5%	.9%	.3%	1.6%	1.3%	1.9%	.0%	1.0%
		109	187	222	293	316	233	156	157	1832

	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
--	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Importance: Police Protection * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total	
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		Refused
Importance: Police Protection	1 Not at all Important	6	2	3	14	2	1	1	29
		2.3%	.6%	.8%	4.6%	1.0%	.3%	3.6%	1.6%
	2	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	7
		.0%	.3%	.3%	.3%	1.0%	.6%	.0%	.4%
	3	0	7	3	1	0	1	0	12
		.0%	2.0%	.8%	.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.7%
	4	5	7	3	1	1	6	0	23
		1.9%	2.0%	.8%	.3%	.5%	1.8%	.0%	1.3%
	5	16	10	12	5	4	7	2	56
		6.1%	2.9%	3.3%	1.6%	2.0%	2.1%	7.1%	3.1%
	6	0	4	7	6	4	4	0	25
		.0%	1.2%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.4%
	7	9	11	4	11	8	12	0	55
		3.4%	3.2%	1.1%	3.6%	4.0%	3.6%	.0%	3.0%
	8	9	30	14	22	13	22	2	112
		3.4%	8.7%	3.8%	7.2%	6.4%	6.7%	7.1%	6.1%
9	12	19	27	25	14	14	1	112	
	4.6%	5.5%	7.4%	8.2%	6.9%	4.3%	3.6%	6.1%	
	10 Most Important	204	252	292	220	154	260	22	1404
		78.2%	73.5%	79.8%	71.9%	76.2%	79.0%	78.6%	76.5%
Total		261	343	366	306	202	329	28	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Police Protection * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Police Protection	1 Not at all Important	16 1.9%	13 1.3%	29 1.6%
	2	1 .1%	6 .6%	7 .4%
	3	8 1.0%	5 .5%	13 .7%
	4	13 1.6%	9 .9%	22 1.2%
	5	26 3.2%	29 2.9%	55 3.0%
	6	11 1.3%	14 1.4%	25 1.4%
	7	33 4.0%	21 2.1%	54 2.9%
	8	61 7.4%	50 4.9%	111 6.1%
	9	65 7.9%	47 4.6%	112 6.1%
	10 Most Important	587 71.5%	818 80.8%	1405 76.7%
	Total	821 100.0%	1012 100.0%	1833 100.0%

Importance: Police Protection * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: Police Protection	1 Not at all Important	4	25	0	0	0	29
		.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	2	1	6	0	0	0	7
		.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	3	3	9	0	0	0	12
		.5%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	4	8	15	0	0	0	23
		1.4%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	5	9	45	0	0	1	55
		1.6%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	3.0%
	6	7	18	0	0	0	25
		1.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	7	19	34	0	0	0	53
		3.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	8	36	69	0	0	5	110
		6.3%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	6.1%
	9	60	50	0	2	0	112
		10.5%	4.4%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	6.2%
	10 Most Important	426	878	13	18	54	1389
		74.3%	76.4%	100.0%	90.0%	90.0%	76.5%
Total		573	1149	13	20	60	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Police Protection * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Police Protection	1 Not at all Important	6	3	0	3	4	6	0	0	7	29
		5.5%	1.6%	.0%	1.0%	1.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	1.6%
	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	7
		.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.4%	.6%	1.3%	.0%	.4%
	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	6	0	2	12
		.0%	.5%	.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	1.3%	.7%
	4	1	8	0	2	4	3	4	0	0	22
		.9%	4.3%	.0%	.7%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	5	4	4	6	8	8	11	6	0	7	54
		3.6%	2.1%	2.7%	2.8%	2.5%	4.7%	3.9%	.0%	4.4%	2.9%
	6	0	4	6	5	2	1	3	1	3	25
		.0%	2.1%	2.7%	1.7%	.6%	.4%	1.9%	.6%	1.9%	1.4%
	7	12	2	10	8	4	6	6	4	2	54
		10.9%	1.1%	4.5%	2.8%	1.3%	2.6%	3.9%	2.5%	1.3%	2.9%
	8	16	11	7	10	27	12	10	10	8	111
		14.5%	5.9%	3.2%	3.4%	8.5%	5.1%	6.5%	6.4%	5.0%	6.1%
	9	5	19	7	17	23	9	8	18	6	112
		4.5%	10.2%	3.2%	5.9%	7.3%	3.8%	5.2%	11.5%	3.8%	6.1%
	10 Most Important	66	133	183	236	244	185	111	122	125	1405
		60.0%	71.1%	82.8%	81.4%	77.0%	79.1%	71.6%	77.7%	78.1%	76.7%
Total		110	187	221	290	317	234	155	157	160	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Fire Protection * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total	
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		Refused
Importance: Fire Protection	1 Not at all Important	2	0	2	0	1	4	0	9
		.8%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.5%	1.2%	.0%	.5%
	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.3%	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3
		.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	3.7%	.2%
	4	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	6
		.0%	.9%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.3%
	5	5	8	3	5	6	5	1	33
		2.0%	2.3%	.8%	1.6%	3.0%	1.5%	3.7%	1.8%
	6	2	3	8	0	2	3	0	18
		.8%	.9%	2.2%	.0%	1.0%	.9%	.0%	1.0%
	7	11	10	12	13	4	4	0	54
		4.3%	2.9%	3.3%	4.3%	2.0%	1.2%	.0%	3.0%
	8	33	7	12	13	9	13	1	88
		12.9%	2.0%	3.3%	4.3%	4.4%	4.0%	3.7%	4.8%
	9	17	41	31	14	14	18	3	138
		6.6%	12.0%	8.4%	4.6%	6.9%	5.5%	11.1%	7.5%
	10 Most Important	186	268	297	257	166	279	21	1474
		72.7%	78.4%	80.9%	84.3%	81.8%	85.1%	77.8%	80.6%
Total		256	342	367	305	203	328	27	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Fire Protection * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Fire Protection	1 Not at all Important	7 .9%	3 .3%	10 .5%
	2	4 .5%	1 .1%	5 .3%
	3	1 .1%	2 .2%	3 .2%
	4	3 .4%	3 .3%	6 .3%
	5	15 1.8%	17 1.7%	32 1.8%
	6	9 1.1%	10 1.0%	19 1.0%
	7	34 4.2%	19 1.9%	53 2.9%
	8	47 5.8%	41 4.1%	88 4.8%
	9	80 9.8%	58 5.7%	138 7.6%
	10 Most Important	617 75.5%	856 84.8%	1473 80.6%
	Total	817 100.0%	1010 100.0%	1827 100.0%

Importance: Fire Protection * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Fire Protection	1 Not at all Important	6	3	0	0	0	9
		1.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	2	3	2	0	0	0	5
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	3	1	2	0	0	0	3
		.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	4	0	5	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	5	15	15	0	0	1	31
		2.6%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	1.7%
	6	6	10	1	2	0	19
		1.1%	.9%	7.7%	10.0%	.0%	1.1%
	7	15	35	0	0	0	50
		2.6%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	8	38	39	0	7	3	87
		6.7%	3.4%	.0%	35.0%	5.0%	4.8%
	9	61	67	0	2	7	137
		10.7%	5.9%	.0%	10.0%	11.7%	7.6%
	10 Most Important	423	966	12	9	49	1459
		74.5%	84.4%	92.3%	45.0%	81.7%	80.8%
Total		568	1144	13	20	60	1805
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Fire Protection * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Fire Protection	1 Not at all	2	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	10
	Important	1.9%	1.1%	.5%	.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.5%
	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	6
		.0%	.0%	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	1.2%	.3%
	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
		.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.2%
	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	6
		.9%	.5%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.3%
	5	5	2	2	3	0	9	2	7	0	30
		4.7%	1.1%	.9%	1.0%	.0%	3.9%	1.3%	4.5%	.0%	1.6%
	6	2	1	0	1	2	4	5	3	0	18
		1.9%	.5%	.0%	.3%	.6%	1.7%	3.2%	1.9%	.0%	1.0%
	7	7	5	13	6	7	8	3	0	3	52
		6.5%	2.7%	5.9%	2.0%	2.2%	3.5%	1.9%	.0%	1.9%	2.8%
	8	4	15	20	7	20	5	5	8	3	87
		3.7%	8.1%	9.0%	2.4%	6.3%	2.2%	3.2%	5.2%	1.9%	4.8%
	9	12	20	12	18	22	16	19	17	4	140
		11.2%	10.8%	5.4%	6.1%	7.0%	6.9%	12.1%	11.0%	2.5%	7.7%
	10 Most Important	74	139	172	253	265	187	122	118	144	1474
		69.2%	74.7%	77.8%	86.3%	83.9%	81.0%	77.7%	76.6%	89.4%	80.7%
Total		107	186	221	293	316	231	157	154	161	1826
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1 Not at all Important	11	7	9	19	7	23	0	76
		4.2%	2.1%	2.5%	6.3%	3.6%	7.2%	.0%	4.2%
	2	0	3	1	6	3	5	1	19
		.0%	.9%	.3%	2.0%	1.5%	1.6%	4.0%	1.1%
	3	2	7	5	4	3	3	1	25
		.8%	2.1%	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	.9%	4.0%	1.4%
	4	0	13	5	2	3	8	0	31
		.0%	3.8%	1.4%	.7%	1.5%	2.5%	.0%	1.7%
	5	0	8	5	11	11	7	0	42
		.0%	2.4%	1.4%	3.6%	5.6%	2.2%	.0%	2.3%
	6	9	6	9	5	4	6	0	39
		3.5%	1.8%	2.5%	1.7%	2.0%	1.9%	.0%	2.2%
	7	14	9	29	15	8	12	0	87
		5.4%	2.6%	8.0%	5.0%	4.1%	3.8%	.0%	4.8%
	8	25	29	33	15	13	23	0	138
		9.6%	8.5%	9.1%	5.0%	6.6%	7.2%	.0%	7.6%
	9	14	16	21	14	5	11	1	82
		5.4%	4.7%	5.8%	4.6%	2.6%	3.4%	4.0%	4.5%
	10 Most Important	185	242	247	212	139	222	22	1269
		71.2%	71.2%	67.9%	70.0%	70.9%	69.4%	88.0%	70.2%
Total		260	340	364	303	196	320	25	1808
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1 Not at all Important	39 4.8%	36 3.6%	75 4.1%
	2	9 1.1%	11 1.1%	20 1.1%
	3	16 2.0%	8 .8%	24 1.3%
	4	18 2.2%	13 1.3%	31 1.7%
	5	21 2.6%	20 2.0%	41 2.3%
	6	27 3.3%	13 1.3%	40 2.2%
	7	53 6.5%	35 3.5%	88 4.9%
	8	73 9.0%	65 6.5%	138 7.6%
	9	42 5.2%	41 4.1%	83 4.6%
	10 Most Important	512 63.2%	756 75.8%	1268 70.1%
	Total	810 100.0%	998 100.0%	1808 100.0%

Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1 Not at all Important	37	29	3	0	6	75
		6.6%	2.5%	23.1%	.0%	10.2%	4.2%
	2	9	10	0	0	0	19
		1.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	3	7	16	0	1	0	24
		1.3%	1.4%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	1.3%
	4	10	19	0	0	1	30
		1.8%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	1.7%
	5	22	18	0	1	0	41
		3.9%	1.6%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	2.3%
	6	15	25	0	0	0	40
		2.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	7	50	33	0	0	3	86
		8.9%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	4.8%
	8	49	81	1	0	6	137
		8.8%	7.1%	7.7%	.0%	10.2%	7.7%
	9	28	40	0	9	3	80
		5.0%	3.5%	.0%	45.0%	5.1%	4.5%
	10 Most Important	333	867	9	9	40	1258
		59.5%	76.2%	69.2%	45.0%	67.8%	70.3%
Total		560	1138	13	20	59	1790
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1 Not at all Important	9	7	7	10	10	11	10	5	6	75
		8.3%	3.8%	3.2%	3.5%	3.2%	4.8%	6.5%	3.2%	3.8%	4.2%
	2	1	4	2	5	2	0	0	6	0	20
		.9%	2.2%	.9%	1.7%	.6%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	1.1%
	3	0	5	1	4	3	5	4	1	0	23
		.0%	2.7%	.5%	1.4%	1.0%	2.2%	2.6%	.6%	.0%	1.3%
	4	3	1	3	1	6	7	7	2	1	31
		2.8%	.5%	1.4%	.3%	1.9%	3.0%	4.5%	1.3%	.6%	1.7%
	5	3	7	3	7	6	6	4	5	1	42
		2.8%	3.8%	1.4%	2.4%	1.9%	2.6%	2.6%	3.2%	.6%	2.3%
	6	4	6	4	8	4	2	2	7	3	40
		3.7%	3.3%	1.8%	2.8%	1.3%	.9%	1.3%	4.5%	1.9%	2.2%
	7	12	19	7	5	13	7	8	14	3	88
		11.1%	10.3%	3.2%	1.7%	4.2%	3.0%	5.2%	9.0%	1.9%	4.9%
	8	11	19	9	22	31	6	14	15	11	138
		10.2%	10.3%	4.1%	7.7%	10.0%	2.6%	9.1%	9.7%	7.0%	7.6%
	9	7	8	8	14	22	6	7	3	7	82
		6.5%	4.3%	3.6%	4.9%	7.1%	2.6%	4.5%	1.9%	4.5%	4.5%
	10 Most Important	58	108	176	211	214	181	98	97	125	1268
		53.7%	58.7%	80.0%	73.5%	68.8%	78.4%	63.6%	62.6%	79.6%	70.2%
Total		108	184	220	287	311	231	154	155	157	1807
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: K-12 Education * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: K-12 Education	1 Not at all Important	2	4	14	13	4	8	1	46
		.8%	1.2%	3.8%	4.3%	2.0%	2.5%	3.7%	2.5%
	2	2	4	4	3	4	1	0	18
		.8%	1.2%	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%	.3%	.0%	1.0%
	3	2	4	0	2	1	10	0	19
		.8%	1.2%	.0%	.7%	.5%	3.1%	.0%	1.0%
	4	0	2	3	4	2	5	1	17
		.0%	.6%	.8%	1.3%	1.0%	1.6%	3.7%	.9%
	5	9	23	12	18	6	15	1	84
		3.4%	6.7%	3.3%	5.9%	3.0%	4.7%	3.7%	4.6%
	6	14	17	11	6	5	11	1	65
		5.4%	4.9%	3.0%	2.0%	2.5%	3.4%	3.7%	3.6%
	7	11	33	24	34	11	19	3	135
		4.2%	9.6%	6.6%	11.2%	5.5%	5.9%	11.1%	7.4%
	8	45	39	34	42	26	31	3	220
		17.2%	11.3%	9.3%	13.9%	13.1%	9.6%	11.1%	12.1%
	9	22	23	42	26	14	25	1	153
		8.4%	6.7%	11.5%	8.6%	7.0%	7.8%	3.7%	8.4%
	10 Most Important	154	195	221	155	126	197	16	1064
		59.0%	56.7%	60.5%	51.2%	63.3%	61.2%	59.3%	58.4%
Total		261	344	365	303	199	322	27	1821
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: K-12 Education * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: K-12 Education	1 Not at all Important	25 3.1%	21 2.1%	46 2.5%
	2	8 1.0%	9 .9%	17 .9%
	3	15 1.8%	4 .4%	19 1.0%
	4	10 1.2%	8 .8%	18 1.0%
	5	46 5.7%	38 3.8%	84 4.6%
	6	32 3.9%	32 3.2%	64 3.5%
	7	80 9.9%	55 5.5%	135 7.4%
	8	90 11.1%	130 12.9%	220 12.1%
	9	75 9.2%	78 7.7%	153 8.4%
	10 Most Important	431 53.1%	632 62.8%	1063 58.4%
	Total	812 100.0%	1007 100.0%	1819 100.0%

Importance: K-12 Education * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: K-12 Education	1 Not at all Important	17	29	0	1	0	47
		3.0%	2.5%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	2.6%
	2	2	14	0	0	1	17
		.4%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.9%
	3	5	11	0	0	1	17
		.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.9%
	4	7	9	0	0	2	18
		1.2%	.8%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	1.0%
	5	34	44	0	0	5	83
		6.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	4.6%
	6	25	29	0	0	9	63
		4.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	14.5%	3.5%
	7	58	70	0	1	5	134
		10.3%	6.1%	.0%	5.0%	8.1%	7.4%
	8	93	112	3	3	9	220
		16.5%	9.8%	23.1%	15.0%	14.5%	12.2%
	9	76	72	2	2	1	153
		13.5%	6.3%	15.4%	10.0%	1.6%	8.5%
	10 Most Important	246	754	8	13	29	1050
		43.7%	65.9%	61.5%	65.0%	46.8%	58.3%
Total		563	1144	13	20	62	1802
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: K-12 Education * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: K-12 Education	1 Not at all Important	2	8	3	10	5	7	2	4	7	48
		1.8%	4.3%	1.4%	3.5%	1.6%	3.0%	1.3%	2.6%	4.3%	2.6%
	2	0	5	1	4	2	3	0	0	2	17
		.0%	2.7%	.5%	1.4%	.6%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.9%
	3	5	1	1	3	3	1	3	1	0	18
		4.5%	.5%	.5%	1.0%	1.0%	.4%	1.9%	.7%	.0%	1.0%
	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	0	0	0	18
		1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	1.0%	1.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
	5	4	7	14	5	20	11	5	13	6	85
		3.6%	3.8%	6.4%	1.7%	6.3%	4.7%	3.2%	8.5%	3.7%	4.7%
	6	4	2	9	13	17	7	2	3	6	63
		3.6%	1.1%	4.1%	4.5%	5.4%	3.0%	1.3%	2.0%	3.7%	3.5%
	7	4	18	16	34	22	13	13	9	6	135
		3.6%	9.7%	7.3%	11.8%	7.0%	5.6%	8.3%	5.9%	3.7%	7.4%
	8	17	11	17	43	47	28	20	20	17	220
		15.5%	5.9%	7.7%	14.9%	14.9%	12.1%	12.7%	13.1%	10.6%	12.1%
	9	7	21	26	16	33	18	9	14	10	154
		6.4%	11.4%	11.8%	5.5%	10.5%	7.8%	5.7%	9.2%	6.2%	8.5%
	10 Most Important	65	109	130	158	163	140	103	89	107	1064
		59.1%	58.9%	59.1%	54.7%	51.7%	60.3%	65.6%	58.2%	66.5%	58.4%
Total		110	185	220	289	315	232	157	153	161	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street lighting * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total	
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		Refused
Importance: Street lighting	1 Not at all Important	0	2	1	8	3	3	0	17
		.0%	.6%	.3%	2.6%	1.5%	.9%	.0%	.9%
	2	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	6
		.0%	.0%	.5%	1.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	3	0	12	2	2	1	3	0	20
		.0%	3.5%	.5%	.7%	.5%	.9%	.0%	1.1%
	4	9	8	0	8	1	5	1	32
		3.5%	2.4%	.0%	2.6%	.5%	1.5%	3.7%	1.8%
	5	17	12	12	3	8	8	1	61
		6.5%	3.5%	3.3%	1.0%	4.0%	2.4%	3.7%	3.3%
	6	9	4	9	7	3	5	0	37
		3.5%	1.2%	2.5%	2.3%	1.5%	1.5%	.0%	2.0%
	7	12	31	40	18	11	12	1	125
		4.6%	9.1%	11.0%	5.9%	5.4%	3.7%	3.7%	6.8%
	8	47	37	41	35	22	25	1	208
		18.1%	10.9%	11.2%	11.5%	10.9%	7.6%	3.7%	11.4%
	9	11	22	39	30	10	28	1	141
		4.2%	6.5%	10.7%	9.8%	5.0%	8.6%	3.7%	7.7%
	10 Most Important	155	212	219	191	142	238	22	1179
		59.6%	62.4%	60.0%	62.6%	70.3%	72.8%	81.5%	64.6%
Total		260	340	365	305	202	327	27	1826
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street lighting * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Street lighting	1 Not at all Important	11 1.3%	7 .7%	18 1.0%
	2	6 .7%	0 .0%	6 .3%
	3	16 2.0%	4 .4%	20 1.1%
	4	23 2.8%	9 .9%	32 1.7%
	5	32 3.9%	30 3.0%	62 3.4%
	6	25 3.1%	12 1.2%	37 2.0%
	7	87 10.6%	39 3.9%	126 6.9%
	8	113 13.8%	95 9.4%	208 11.4%
	9	70 8.6%	71 7.0%	141 7.7%
	10 Most Important	434 53.1%	745 73.6%	1179 64.5%
Total		817 100.0%	1012 100.0%	1829 100.0%

Importance: Street lighting * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Street lighting	1 Not at all Important	3	13	0	0	3	19
		.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	1.0%
	2	1	5	0	0	0	6
		.2%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	3	10	10	0	0	0	20
		1.8%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	4	5	27	0	0	0	32
		.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	5	43	17	0	0	0	60
		7.6%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%
	6	19	11	1	0	5	36
		3.3%	1.0%	7.7%	.0%	8.2%	2.0%
	7	71	44	3	0	5	123
		12.5%	3.8%	23.1%	.0%	8.2%	6.8%
	8	83	101	0	9	9	202
		14.6%	8.8%	.0%	45.0%	14.8%	11.2%
	9	64	71	1	2	3	141
		11.2%	6.2%	7.7%	10.0%	4.9%	7.8%
	10 Most Important	270	848	8	9	36	1171
		47.5%	73.9%	61.5%	45.0%	59.0%	64.7%
Total		569	1147	13	20	61	1810
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Street lighting * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Street lighting	1 Not at all Important	0	5	0	2	1	3	3	1	4	19
		.0%	2.7%	.0%	.7%	.3%	1.3%	1.9%	.6%	2.5%	1.0%
	2	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
		.0%	1.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	3	3	5	2	1	3	0	0	3	3	20
		2.7%	2.7%	.9%	.3%	.9%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.1%
	4	4	8	4	1	9	0	3	1	2	32
		3.6%	4.3%	1.8%	.3%	2.8%	.0%	1.9%	.6%	1.3%	1.7%
	5	3	6	22	4	9	8	1	8	0	61
		2.7%	3.3%	10.0%	1.4%	2.8%	3.4%	.6%	5.1%	.0%	3.3%
	6	2	0	3	5	9	10	1	7	0	37
		1.8%	.0%	1.4%	1.7%	2.8%	4.3%	.6%	4.4%	.0%	2.0%
	7	5	2	19	29	28	13	18	8	4	126
		4.5%	1.1%	8.6%	9.9%	8.9%	5.6%	11.5%	5.1%	2.5%	6.9%
	8	26	19	24	36	40	22	5	28	8	208
		23.6%	10.3%	10.9%	12.3%	12.7%	9.4%	3.2%	17.7%	5.0%	11.4%
	9	10	26	13	20	28	14	9	15	7	142
		9.1%	14.1%	5.9%	6.8%	8.9%	6.0%	5.8%	9.5%	4.4%	7.8%
	10 Most Important	57	110	133	194	189	162	116	87	131	1179
		51.8%	59.8%	60.2%	66.4%	59.8%	69.2%	74.4%	55.1%	82.4%	64.4%
Total		110	184	221	292	316	234	156	158	159	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Snow removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Importance:	1 Not at all Important	4	6	5	8	6	7	37
Snow removal		1.5%	1.7%	1.4%	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%	2.0%
	2	9	12	1	2	2	6	34
		3.4%	3.5%	.3%	.7%	1.0%	1.8%	1.9%
	3	0	1	9	1	2	1	14
		.0%	.3%	2.5%	.3%	1.0%	.3%	.8%
	4	0	3	2	2	1	9	17
		.0%	.9%	.5%	.7%	.5%	2.7%	.9%
	5	9	11	3	13	7	12	57
		3.4%	3.2%	.8%	4.3%	3.5%	3.6%	3.1%
	6	0	15	12	5	3	11	46
		.0%	4.4%	3.3%	1.6%	1.5%	3.3%	2.5%
	7	12	42	18	17	12	14	116
		4.6%	12.2%	4.9%	5.6%	5.9%	4.2%	6.3%
	8	32	37	49	35	17	31	203
		12.3%	10.8%	13.4%	11.5%	8.4%	9.4%	11.1%
	9	15	34	25	19	16	19	128
		5.7%	9.9%	6.8%	6.2%	7.9%	5.8%	7.0%
	10 Most Important	180	182	242	203	136	220	1182
		69.0%	53.1%	66.1%	66.6%	67.3%	66.7%	64.4%
Total		261	343	366	305	202	330	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Snow removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Snow removal	1 Not at all Important	24	14	38
		2.9%	1.4%	2.1%
	2	21	13	34
		2.6%	1.3%	1.9%
	3	11	4	15
		1.3%	.4%	.8%
	4	7	10	17
		.9%	1.0%	.9%
	5	26	30	56
		3.2%	3.0%	3.1%
	6	31	15	46
		3.8%	1.5%	2.5%
	7	71	45	116
		8.7%	4.4%	6.3%
	8	103	99	202
		12.6%	9.8%	11.0%
	9	78	49	127
		9.5%	4.8%	6.9%
	10 Most Important	448	735	1183
Total		54.6%	72.5%	64.5%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Snow removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Snow removal	1 Not at all Important	15	20	1	1	1	38
		2.6%	1.7%	7.7%	5.0%	1.6%	2.1%
	2	6	26	0	0	0	32
		1.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	3	8	7	0	0	0	15
		1.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	4	6	11	0	0	0	17
		1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	5	19	34	0	0	0	53
		3.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	6	25	19	0	0	1	45
		4.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	2.5%
	7	60	43	3	2	5	113
		10.5%	3.7%	23.1%	10.0%	8.2%	6.2%
	8	97	88	0	7	10	202
		16.9%	7.7%	.0%	35.0%	16.4%	11.1%
	9	55	68	0	3	1	127
		9.6%	5.9%	.0%	15.0%	1.6%	7.0%
	10 Most Important	283	833	9	7	43	1175
		49.3%	72.5%	69.2%	35.0%	70.5%	64.7%
Total		574	1149	13	20	61	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Snow removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Snow removal	1 Not at all Important	5	11	1	4	5	6	3	1	2	38
		4.5%	5.9%	.5%	1.4%	1.6%	2.6%	1.9%	.6%	1.2%	2.1%
	2	1	8	3	6	4	2	7	2	0	33
		.9%	4.3%	1.4%	2.1%	1.3%	.9%	4.5%	1.3%	.0%	1.8%
	3	0	2	3	2	0	4	0	4	0	15
		.0%	1.1%	1.4%	.7%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.8%
	4	2	0	0	3	6	1	3	2	1	18
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.9%	.4%	1.9%	1.3%	.6%	1.0%
	5	6	7	8	10	5	8	6	7	0	57
		5.5%	3.7%	3.6%	3.4%	1.6%	3.4%	3.9%	4.5%	.0%	3.1%
	6	7	5	7	5	5	9	2	3	3	46
		6.4%	2.7%	3.2%	1.7%	1.6%	3.8%	1.3%	1.9%	1.8%	2.5%
	7	12	10	21	17	12	11	9	13	12	117
		10.9%	5.3%	9.5%	5.8%	3.8%	4.7%	5.8%	8.3%	7.4%	6.4%
	8	11	32	24	19	37	23	12	29	14	201
		10.0%	17.0%	10.9%	6.5%	11.6%	9.8%	7.8%	18.6%	8.6%	10.9%
	9	12	6	23	18	34	14	4	8	8	127
		10.9%	3.2%	10.4%	6.2%	10.7%	6.0%	2.6%	5.1%	4.9%	6.9%
	10 Most Important	54	107	131	208	210	156	108	87	123	1184
		49.1%	56.9%	59.3%	71.2%	66.0%	66.7%	70.1%	55.8%	75.5%	64.5%
Total		110	188	221	292	318	234	154	156	163	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Trash removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Importance:	1 Not at all Important	12	9	1	4	2	3	32
Trash removal		4.6%	2.6%	.3%	1.3%	1.0%	.9%	1.7%
	2	2	4	2	0	2	1	11
		.8%	1.2%	.5%	.0%	1.0%	.3%	.6%
	3	4	5	0	2	1	5	17
		1.5%	1.5%	.0%	.7%	.5%	1.5%	.9%
	4	2	4	2	3	1	1	13
		.8%	1.2%	.5%	1.0%	.5%	.3%	.7%
	5	11	6	3	8	8	8	46
		4.2%	1.7%	.8%	2.6%	4.0%	2.5%	2.5%
	6	9	5	6	2	2	3	27
		3.4%	1.5%	1.6%	.7%	1.0%	.9%	1.5%
	7	13	12	14	9	6	5	59
		5.0%	3.5%	3.8%	3.0%	3.0%	1.5%	3.2%
	8	19	31	39	28	14	26	158
		7.3%	9.0%	10.6%	9.2%	6.9%	8.0%	8.6%
	9	14	41	29	33	14	21	153
		5.4%	11.9%	7.9%	10.8%	6.9%	6.4%	8.3%
	10 Most Important	175	227	271	216	152	253	1317
		67.0%	66.0%	73.8%	70.8%	75.2%	77.6%	71.8%
Total		261	344	367	305	202	326	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Trash removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Trash removal	1 Not at all Important	21	10	31
		2.6%	1.0%	1.7%
	2	8	3	11
		1.0%	.3%	.6%
	3	9	8	17
		1.1%	.8%	.9%
	4	4	9	13
		.5%	.9%	.7%
	5	19	26	45
		2.3%	2.6%	2.5%
	6	12	15	27
		1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
	7	38	21	59
		4.6%	2.1%	3.2%
	8	98	61	159
		12.0%	6.0%	8.7%
	9	92	61	153
		11.2%	6.0%	8.4%
	10 Most Important	517	799	1316
		63.2%	78.9%	71.9%
Total		818	1013	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Trash removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: Trash removal	1 Not at all Important	9	22	0	0	0	31
		1.6%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	2	1	10	0	0	0	11
		.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	3	2	15	0	0	0	17
		.4%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	4	0	13	0	0	0	13
		.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	5	20	16	0	1	4	41
		3.5%	1.4%	.0%	5.0%	6.5%	2.3%
	6	9	18	0	0	1	28
		1.6%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.5%
	7	25	27	0	7	0	59
		4.4%	2.3%	.0%	35.0%	.0%	3.3%
	8	62	81	0	2	10	155
		10.9%	7.0%	.0%	10.0%	16.1%	8.5%
	9	81	65	2	2	2	152
		14.2%	5.7%	15.4%	10.0%	3.2%	8.4%
	10 Most Important	361	882	11	8	45	1307
		63.3%	76.8%	84.6%	40.0%	72.6%	72.1%
Total		570	1149	13	20	62	1814
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Trash removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Trash removal	1 Not at all Important	3	10	1	2	10	3	0	1	1	31
		2.7%	5.3%	.5%	.7%	3.2%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	.6%	1.7%
	2	0	3	0	0	1	5	2	1	0	12
		.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.3%	2.2%	1.3%	.6%	.0%	.7%
	3	0	6	1	1	7	2	0	0	0	17
		.0%	3.2%	.5%	.3%	2.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	4	3	0	2	2	0	4	1	1	2	15
		2.7%	.0%	.9%	.7%	.0%	1.7%	.6%	.6%	1.2%	.8%
	5	5	7	4	6	3	6	7	6	1	45
		4.5%	3.7%	1.8%	2.0%	.9%	2.6%	4.5%	3.8%	.6%	2.5%
	6	8	3	1	5	1	3	1	6	1	29
		7.3%	1.6%	.5%	1.7%	.3%	1.3%	.6%	3.8%	.6%	1.6%
	7	6	3	8	16	11	3	3	3	5	58
		5.5%	1.6%	3.6%	5.4%	3.5%	1.3%	1.9%	1.9%	3.1%	3.2%
	8	6	11	26	23	32	18	22	11	11	160
		5.5%	5.9%	11.8%	7.8%	10.1%	7.8%	14.1%	7.0%	6.8%	8.7%
	9	22	14	17	14	24	18	8	28	8	153
		20.0%	7.5%	7.7%	4.7%	7.6%	7.8%	5.1%	17.8%	5.0%	8.3%
	10 Most Important	57	130	161	226	227	170	112	100	132	1315
		51.8%	69.5%	72.9%	76.6%	71.8%	73.3%	71.8%	63.7%	82.0%	71.7%
Total		110	187	221	295	316	232	156	157	161	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Curbside recycling * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Curbside recycling	1 Not at all Important	12 4.6%	13 3.8%	16 4.4%	9 3.0%	13 6.6%	22 6.8%	0 .0%	85 4.7%
	2	0 .0%	7 2.0%	3 .8%	6 2.0%	5 2.5%	4 1.2%	1 3.8%	26 1.4%
	3	0 .0%	5 1.5%	12 3.3%	4 1.3%	3 1.5%	6 1.9%	1 3.8%	31 1.7%
	4	4 1.5%	1 .3%	1 .3%	5 1.6%	5 2.5%	4 1.2%	0 .0%	20 1.1%
	5	24 9.2%	30 8.7%	22 6.0%	25 8.2%	20 10.1%	19 5.9%	3 11.5%	143 7.9%
	6	19 7.3%	13 3.8%	14 3.8%	14 4.6%	9 4.5%	12 3.7%	1 3.8%	82 4.5%
	7	34 13.1%	38 11.1%	48 13.2%	22 7.2%	13 6.6%	17 5.3%	1 3.8%	173 9.5%
	8	31 11.9%	40 11.7%	62 17.0%	39 12.8%	24 12.1%	36 11.2%	3 11.5%	235 12.9%
	9	26 10.0%	36 10.5%	32 8.8%	28 9.2%	16 8.1%	23 7.1%	2 7.7%	163 9.0%
	10 Most Important	110 42.3%	160 46.6%	155 42.5%	153 50.2%	90 45.5%	179 55.6%	14 53.8%	861 47.3%
	Total	260 100.0%	343 100.0%	365 100.0%	305 100.0%	198 100.0%	322 100.0%	26 100.0%	1819 100.0%

Importance: Curbside recycling * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Curbside recycling	1 Not at all Important	40	44	84
		4.9%	4.4%	4.6%
	2	11	13	24
		1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
	3	15	16	31
		1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
	4	11	9	20
		1.3%	.9%	1.1%
	5	66	76	142
		8.1%	7.6%	7.8%
	6	41	41	82
		5.0%	4.1%	4.5%
	7	96	77	173
		11.8%	7.7%	9.6%
	8	114	118	232
		14.0%	11.9%	12.8%
	9	91	71	162
		11.1%	7.1%	8.9%
	10 Most Important	332	529	861
		40.6%	53.2%	47.5%
Total		817	994	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Curbside recycling * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Curbside recycling	1 Not at all Important	24	61	0	0	0	85
		4.2%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%
	2	3	21	0	0	0	24
		.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	3	12	18	0	1	0	31
		2.1%	1.6%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	1.7%
	4	3	16	0	0	1	20
		.5%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.1%
	5	40	92	1	0	8	141
		7.0%	8.1%	7.7%	.0%	12.9%	7.9%
	6	23	56	0	2	1	82
		4.0%	5.0%	.0%	10.0%	1.6%	4.6%
	7	72	86	1	2	9	170
		12.6%	7.6%	7.7%	10.0%	14.5%	9.5%
	8	70	151	2	0	6	229
		12.3%	13.4%	15.4%	.0%	9.7%	12.8%
	9	80	76	0	3	3	162
		14.0%	6.7%	.0%	15.0%	4.8%	9.0%
	10 Most Important	243	553	9	12	34	851
		42.6%	48.9%	69.2%	60.0%	54.8%	47.4%
Total		570	1130	13	20	62	1795
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Curbside recycling * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Curbside recycling	1 Not at all Important	7	7	7	14	15	18	3	3	10	84
		6.5%	3.8%	3.2%	4.8%	4.8%	7.9%	1.9%	2.0%	6.3%	4.6%
	2	0	10	1	3	4	2	3	1	1	25
		.0%	5.4%	.5%	1.0%	1.3%	.9%	1.9%	.7%	.6%	1.4%
	3	6	0	4	4	2	2	3	5	4	30
		5.6%	.0%	1.8%	1.4%	.6%	.9%	1.9%	3.3%	2.5%	1.7%
	4	2	1	0	7	5	1	2	0	3	21
		1.9%	.5%	.0%	2.4%	1.6%	.4%	1.3%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%
	5	8	21	10	24	30	11	19	12	8	143
		7.5%	11.3%	4.5%	8.3%	9.6%	4.8%	12.2%	7.8%	5.1%	7.9%
	6	10	9	17	11	13	9	8	4	2	83
		9.3%	4.8%	7.7%	3.8%	4.2%	3.9%	5.1%	2.6%	1.3%	4.6%
	7	10	18	39	18	22	18	17	19	10	171
		9.3%	9.7%	17.7%	6.2%	7.0%	7.9%	10.9%	12.4%	6.3%	9.4%
	8	20	33	24	39	43	29	13	13	17	231
		18.7%	17.7%	10.9%	13.4%	13.7%	12.7%	8.3%	8.5%	10.8%	12.7%
	9	13	16	28	24	23	19	17	16	7	163
		12.1%	8.6%	12.7%	8.3%	7.3%	8.3%	10.9%	10.5%	4.4%	9.0%
	10 Most Important	31	71	90	146	156	120	71	80	96	861
		29.0%	38.2%	40.9%	50.3%	49.8%	52.4%	45.5%	52.3%	60.8%	47.5%
Total		107	186	220	290	313	229	156	153	158	1812
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Rat removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Rat removal	1 Not at all Important	16 6.3%	19 5.7%	9 2.5%	9 3.0%	10 5.0%	12 3.8%	1 3.7%	76 4.3%
	2	2 .8%	11 3.3%	3 .8%	5 1.7%	3 1.5%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	30 1.7%
	3	0 .0%	4 1.2%	4 1.1%	6 2.0%	0 .0%	4 1.3%	0 .0%	18 1.0%
	4	0 .0%	2 .6%	10 2.8%	2 .7%	2 1.0%	5 1.6%	0 .0%	21 1.2%
	5	10 4.0%	13 3.9%	12 3.3%	9 3.0%	5 2.5%	10 3.2%	1 3.7%	60 3.4%
	6	7 2.8%	9 2.7%	9 2.5%	6 2.0%	4 2.0%	8 2.5%	0 .0%	43 2.4%
	7	13 5.1%	30 9.0%	22 6.1%	8 2.7%	4 2.0%	8 2.5%	0 .0%	85 4.8%
	8	5 2.0%	38 11.4%	36 10.0%	17 5.7%	13 6.5%	16 5.1%	0 .0%	125 7.0%
	9	9 3.6%	23 6.9%	24 6.7%	32 10.7%	8 4.0%	13 4.1%	2 7.4%	111 6.2%
	10 Most Important	191 75.5%	184 55.3%	231 64.2%	205 68.6%	151 75.5%	234 74.1%	23 85.2%	1219 68.2%
	Total	253 100.0%	333 100.0%	360 100.0%	299 100.0%	200 100.0%	316 100.0%	27 100.0%	1788 100.0%

Importance: Rat removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Rat removal	1 Not at all Important	35 4.4%	41 4.2%	76 4.3%
	2	21 2.6%	8 .8%	29 1.6%
	3	11 1.4%	7 .7%	18 1.0%
	4	10 1.3%	10 1.0%	20 1.1%
	5	35 4.4%	24 2.4%	59 3.3%
	6	31 3.9%	12 1.2%	43 2.4%
	7	64 8.0%	22 2.2%	86 4.8%
	8	70 8.8%	53 5.4%	123 6.9%
	9	55 6.9%	55 5.6%	110 6.2%
	10 Most Important	468 58.5%	751 76.4%	1219 68.4%
Total		800 100.0%	983 100.0%	1783 100.0%

Importance: Rat removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: Rat removal	1 Not at all Important	23	50	0	1	1	75
		4.2%	4.4%	.0%	5.6%	1.7%	4.2%
	2	6	23	0	0	0	29
		1.1%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	3	3	14	0	0	0	17
		.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
	4	8	10	0	0	0	18
		1.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
	5	20	27	0	7	5	59
		3.7%	2.4%	.0%	38.9%	8.3%	3.3%
	6	18	22	0	0	1	41
		3.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.3%
	7	39	39	0	0	8	86
		7.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	13.3%	4.9%
	8	65	51	3	1	3	123
		11.9%	4.5%	21.4%	5.6%	5.0%	7.0%
	9	56	42	3	4	4	109
		10.2%	3.7%	21.4%	22.2%	6.7%	6.2%
	10 Most Important	309	851	8	5	38	1211
		56.5%	75.4%	57.1%	27.8%	63.3%	68.5%
Total		547	1129	14	18	60	1768
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Rat removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Rat removal	1 Not at all Important	5	11	7	8	12	10	9	4	9	75
		4.7%	6.0%	3.2%	2.9%	3.9%	4.3%	6.1%	2.5%	5.7%	4.2%
	2	4	5	4	5	6	4	0	1	1	30
		3.8%	2.7%	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	1.7%	.0%	.6%	.6%	1.7%
	3	2	0	0	2	8	4	0	3	0	19
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.7%	2.6%	1.7%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	1.1%
	4	3	0	2	1	3	2	0	8	2	21
		2.8%	.0%	.9%	.4%	1.0%	.9%	.0%	5.1%	1.3%	1.2%
	5	4	6	13	7	15	7	1	6	2	61
		3.8%	3.3%	6.0%	2.5%	4.9%	3.0%	.7%	3.8%	1.3%	3.4%
	6	4	2	8	6	10	1	3	8	2	44
		3.8%	1.1%	3.7%	2.1%	3.3%	.4%	2.0%	5.1%	1.3%	2.5%
	7	3	14	11	13	26	4	4	3	8	86
		2.8%	7.7%	5.0%	4.6%	8.5%	1.7%	2.7%	1.9%	5.0%	4.8%
	8	10	17	14	17	32	8	8	7	11	124
		9.4%	9.3%	6.4%	6.1%	10.4%	3.5%	5.4%	4.4%	6.9%	6.9%
	9	9	14	10	12	20	16	4	19	7	111
		8.5%	7.7%	4.6%	4.3%	6.5%	6.9%	2.7%	12.0%	4.4%	6.2%
	10 Most Important	62	113	149	209	175	175	119	99	117	1218
		58.5%	62.1%	68.3%	74.6%	57.0%	75.8%	80.4%	62.7%	73.6%	68.1%
Total		106	182	218	280	307	231	148	158	159	1789
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: EMS/Ambulance service * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: EMS/Ambulance service	1 Not at all Important	0	0	8	3	1	4	1	17
		.0%	.0%	2.2%	1.0%	.5%	1.2%	4.0%	.9%
	2	0	7	0	0	1	2	1	11
		.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.6%	4.0%	.6%
	3	0	2	0	1	1	2	1	7
		.0%	.6%	.0%	.3%	.5%	.6%	4.0%	.4%
	4	0	6	1	4	0	3	1	15
		.0%	1.7%	.3%	1.3%	.0%	.9%	4.0%	.8%
	5	2	10	13	6	6	8	2	47
		.8%	2.9%	3.6%	2.0%	3.0%	2.5%	8.0%	2.6%
	6	14	6	4	2	2	3	0	31
		5.6%	1.7%	1.1%	.7%	1.0%	.9%	.0%	1.7%
	7	15	21	11	14	5	6	0	72
		6.0%	6.1%	3.0%	4.6%	2.5%	1.9%	.0%	4.0%
	8	18	23	26	27	11	18	1	124
		7.2%	6.7%	7.2%	8.9%	5.6%	5.6%	4.0%	6.9%
	9	17	33	15	16	12	24	1	118
		6.8%	9.6%	4.1%	5.3%	6.1%	7.5%	4.0%	6.5%
	10 Most Important	185	236	285	231	159	252	17	1365
		73.7%	68.6%	78.5%	76.0%	80.3%	78.3%	68.0%	75.5%
Total		251	344	363	304	198	322	25	1807
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: EMS/Ambulance service * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: EMS/Ambulance service	1 Not at all Important	10	7	17
		1.2%	.7%	.9%
	2	6	5	11
		.7%	.5%	.6%
	3	2	4	6
		.2%	.4%	.3%
	4	10	5	15
		1.2%	.5%	.8%
	5	25	22	47
		3.1%	2.2%	2.6%
	6	26	5	31
		3.2%	.5%	1.7%
	7	42	28	70
		5.2%	2.8%	3.9%
	8	57	65	122
		7.1%	6.5%	6.8%
	9	71	47	118
		8.8%	4.7%	6.6%
	10 Most Important	556	808	1364
		69.1%	81.1%	75.7%
Total		805	996	1801
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: EMS/Ambulance service * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: EMS/Ambulance service	1 Not at all Important	6	11	0	0	0	17
		1.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
	2	3	8	0	0	0	11
		.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	3	1	4	0	0	0	5
		.2%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	4	2	12	0	0	0	14
		.4%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	5	11	36	0	0	0	47
		2.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	6	17	15	0	0	0	32
		3.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	7	20	45	0	0	3	68
		3.6%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	3.8%
	8	31	69	3	9	10	122
		5.5%	6.1%	23.1%	45.0%	16.4%	6.8%
	9	62	51	0	3	2	118
		11.0%	4.5%	.0%	15.0%	3.3%	6.6%
	10 Most Important	409	879	10	8	46	1352
		72.8%	77.8%	76.9%	40.0%	75.4%	75.7%
Total		562	1130	13	20	61	1786
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: EMS/Ambulance service * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: EMS/Ambulance service	1 Not at all	0	2	5	3	0	4	1	2	1	18
	Important	.0%	1.1%	2.3%	1.1%	.0%	1.7%	.7%	1.3%	.6%	1.0%
	2	1	0	0	4	0	3	1	1	0	10
		.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	1.3%	.7%	.7%	.0%	.6%
	3	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	6
		.0%	1.1%	.5%	.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	4	1	0	1	1	2	2	6	0	0	13
		.9%	.0%	.5%	.4%	.6%	.9%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	5	8	7	3	2	4	8	6	1	9	48
		7.4%	3.8%	1.4%	.7%	1.3%	3.4%	4.0%	.7%	5.6%	2.7%
	6	2	5	14	2	4	0	3	1	1	32
		1.9%	2.7%	6.4%	.7%	1.3%	.0%	2.0%	.7%	.6%	1.8%
	7	7	9	13	11	13	6	5	0	6	70
		6.5%	4.9%	5.9%	3.9%	4.2%	2.6%	3.3%	.0%	3.8%	3.9%
	8	9	13	26	11	26	11	7	13	6	122
		8.3%	7.1%	11.9%	3.9%	8.4%	4.7%	4.6%	8.6%	3.8%	6.8%
	9	6	6	11	11	31	17	5	18	13	118
		5.6%	3.3%	5.0%	3.9%	10.0%	7.3%	3.3%	11.8%	8.1%	6.6%
	10 Most Important	74	140	145	238	230	179	117	116	124	1363
		68.5%	76.1%	66.2%	83.8%	74.2%	77.2%	77.5%	76.3%	77.5%	75.7%
Total		108	184	219	284	310	232	151	152	160	1800
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service	1 Not at all Important	2	20	9	8	4	13	0	56
		.8%	5.9%	2.5%	2.7%	2.1%	4.1%	.0%	3.1%
	2	6	6	3	0	1	5	1	22
		2.4%	1.8%	.8%	.0%	.5%	1.6%	3.6%	1.2%
	3	4	11	11	4	3	5	1	39
		1.6%	3.3%	3.1%	1.3%	1.5%	1.6%	3.6%	2.2%
	4	8	5	15	7	6	1	0	42
		3.2%	1.5%	4.2%	2.3%	3.1%	.3%	.0%	2.4%
	5	17	29	22	27	12	15	2	124
		6.9%	8.6%	6.1%	9.0%	6.2%	4.7%	7.1%	6.9%
	6	2	19	12	4	4	7	1	49
		.8%	5.6%	3.3%	1.3%	2.1%	2.2%	3.6%	2.7%
	7	44	32	39	24	13	16	1	169
		17.8%	9.5%	10.8%	8.0%	6.7%	5.0%	3.6%	9.5%
	8	26	25	56	35	20	32	2	196
		10.5%	7.4%	15.6%	11.7%	10.3%	10.0%	7.1%	11.0%
	9	26	33	14	21	16	30	4	144
		10.5%	9.8%	3.9%	7.0%	8.2%	9.4%	14.3%	8.1%
	10 Most Important	112	158	179	170	115	196	16	946
		45.3%	46.7%	49.7%	56.7%	59.3%	61.3%	57.1%	52.9%
Total		247	338	360	300	194	320	28	1787
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service	1 Not at all Important	28	28	56
		3.5%	2.8%	3.1%
	2	14	9	23
		1.8%	.9%	1.3%
	3	28	11	39
		3.5%	1.1%	2.2%
	4	30	13	43
		3.8%	1.3%	2.4%
	5	63	61	124
		7.9%	6.1%	6.9%
	6	27	22	49
		3.4%	2.2%	2.7%
	7	94	75	169
		11.8%	7.6%	9.4%
	8	97	99	196
		12.2%	10.0%	10.9%
	9	58	87	145
		7.3%	8.8%	8.1%
	10 Most Important	358	588	946
		44.9%	59.2%	52.8%
Total		797	993	1790
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service	1 Not at all Important	17	36	0	0	2	55
		3.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	3.1%
	2	10	12	0	0	0	22
		1.8%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	3	23	11	0	0	5	39
		4.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.2%
	4	26	13	0	0	4	43
		4.6%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	2.4%
	5	48	70	0	0	1	119
		8.5%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	6.7%
	6	26	21	1	0	1	49
		4.6%	1.9%	7.7%	.0%	1.7%	2.8%
	7	73	74	5	9	6	167
		13.0%	6.6%	38.5%	42.9%	10.3%	9.4%
	8	89	93	2	4	7	195
		15.8%	8.3%	15.4%	19.0%	12.1%	11.0%
	9	40	100	0	3	2	145
		7.1%	8.9%	.0%	14.3%	3.4%	8.2%
	10 Most Important	210	690	5	5	30	940
		37.4%	61.6%	38.5%	23.8%	51.7%	53.0%
Total		562	1120	13	21	58	1774
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: 311 (non-emergency) service	1 Not at all	2	9	2	8	11	9	4	6	5	56
	Important	1.9%	4.8%	.9%	2.8%	3.5%	4.0%	2.7%	3.9%	3.1%	3.1%
	2	5	1	2	2	1	2	3	5	2	23
		4.8%	.5%	.9%	.7%	.3%	.9%	2.0%	3.2%	1.3%	1.3%
	3	0	1	10	5	6	7	0	10	0	39
		.0%	.5%	4.5%	1.7%	1.9%	3.1%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	2.2%
	4	1	16	1	6	8	1	4	4	4	45
		1.0%	8.6%	.5%	2.1%	2.6%	.4%	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%	2.5%
	5	10	21	8	19	24	17	10	14	3	126
		9.6%	11.2%	3.6%	6.6%	7.7%	7.6%	6.7%	9.1%	1.9%	7.0%
	6	7	9	7	3	5	6	3	6	4	50
		6.7%	4.8%	3.2%	1.0%	1.6%	2.7%	2.0%	3.9%	2.5%	2.8%
	7	7	7	35	22	44	15	11	17	12	170
		6.7%	3.7%	15.8%	7.7%	14.1%	6.7%	7.3%	11.0%	7.5%	9.5%
	8	6	13	30	35	37	32	9	17	18	197
		5.8%	7.0%	13.5%	12.2%	11.9%	14.3%	6.0%	11.0%	11.3%	11.0%
	9	5	17	21	27	26	15	16	7	11	145
		4.8%	9.1%	9.5%	9.4%	8.4%	6.7%	10.7%	4.5%	6.9%	8.1%
	10 Most Important	61	93	106	159	149	120	90	68	101	947
		58.7%	49.7%	47.7%	55.6%	47.9%	53.6%	60.0%	44.2%	63.1%	52.7%
Total		104	187	222	286	311	224	150	154	160	1798
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Housing code enforcement * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Housing code enforcement	1 Not at all Important	17	16	18	6	10	18	0	85
		7.0%	4.8%	5.2%	2.1%	5.3%	5.9%	.0%	4.9%
	2	12	8	8	4	3	5	2	42
		4.9%	2.4%	2.3%	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%	8.7%	2.4%
	3	5	11	10	1	2	8	0	37
		2.1%	3.3%	2.9%	.3%	1.1%	2.6%	.0%	2.1%
	4	3	11	6	8	6	12	1	47
		1.2%	3.3%	1.7%	2.7%	3.2%	4.0%	4.3%	2.7%
	5	32	27	29	32	12	26	2	160
		13.2%	8.1%	8.5%	11.0%	6.4%	8.6%	8.7%	9.3%
	6	14	21	28	15	9	9	0	96
		5.8%	6.3%	8.2%	5.1%	4.8%	3.0%	.0%	5.6%
	7	19	42	26	23	16	17	1	144
		7.8%	12.5%	7.6%	7.9%	8.5%	5.6%	4.3%	8.3%
	8	24	45	33	33	26	33	5	199
		9.9%	13.4%	9.6%	11.3%	13.8%	10.9%	21.7%	11.5%
	9	5	7	35	17	14	22	1	101
		2.1%	2.1%	10.2%	5.8%	7.4%	7.3%	4.3%	5.8%
	10 Most Important	112	147	150	153	90	153	11	816
		46.1%	43.9%	43.7%	52.4%	47.9%	50.5%	47.8%	47.2%
Total		243	335	343	292	188	303	23	1727
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Housing code enforcement * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Housing code enforcement	1 Not at all Important	38	47	85
		4.9%	5.0%	4.9%
	2	25	17	42
		3.2%	1.8%	2.4%
	3	26	11	37
		3.3%	1.2%	2.1%
	4	23	24	47
		2.9%	2.5%	2.7%
	5	81	79	160
		10.4%	8.4%	9.3%
	6	48	48	96
		6.2%	5.1%	5.6%
	7	73	70	143
		9.4%	7.4%	8.3%
	8	89	110	199
		11.4%	11.7%	11.5%
	9	51	49	100
		6.5%	5.2%	5.8%
	10 Most Important	326	489	815
		41.8%	51.8%	47.3%
Total		780	944	1724
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Housing code enforcement * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Housing code enforcement	1 Not at all Important	34	47	0	0	4	85
		6.4%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	5.0%
	2	22	20	0	0	0	42
		4.1%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	3	17	18	0	2	0	37
		3.2%	1.6%	.0%	10.5%	.0%	2.2%
	4	18	27	0	0	1	46
		3.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	2.7%
	5	78	77	3	1	0	159
		14.6%	7.1%	25.0%	5.3%	.0%	9.3%
	6	45	46	0	3	3	97
		8.4%	4.2%	.0%	15.8%	5.3%	5.7%
	7	56	71	3	0	11	141
		10.5%	6.5%	25.0%	.0%	19.3%	8.2%
	8	77	102	2	9	9	199
		14.4%	9.3%	16.7%	47.4%	15.8%	11.6%
	9	38	50	1	3	6	98
		7.1%	4.6%	8.3%	15.8%	10.5%	5.7%
	10 Most Important	148	633	3	1	23	808
		27.8%	58.0%	25.0%	5.3%	40.4%	47.2%
Total		533	1091	12	19	57	1712
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Housing code enforcement * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Housing code enforcement	1 Not at all Important	4	16	4	8	16	12	3	8	15	86
		3.8%	9.4%	2.0%	2.9%	5.3%	5.5%	2.0%	5.5%	9.4%	5.0%
	2	4	2	16	6	3	2	3	1	4	41
		3.8%	1.2%	7.8%	2.2%	1.0%	.9%	2.0%	.7%	2.5%	2.4%
	3	3	2	2	6	7	5	6	3	3	37
		2.9%	1.2%	1.0%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	4.0%	2.1%	1.9%	2.1%
	4	1	7	5	9	6	6	4	6	4	48
		1.0%	4.1%	2.5%	3.3%	2.0%	2.8%	2.7%	4.1%	2.5%	2.8%
	5	4	20	11	25	37	26	20	12	6	161
		3.8%	11.7%	5.4%	9.1%	12.3%	11.9%	13.4%	8.2%	3.8%	9.3%
	6	10	10	8	13	12	12	10	17	4	96
		9.6%	5.8%	3.9%	4.7%	4.0%	5.5%	6.7%	11.6%	2.5%	5.6%
	7	6	5	22	31	29	20	3	12	15	143
		5.8%	2.9%	10.8%	11.2%	9.7%	9.2%	2.0%	8.2%	9.4%	8.3%
	8	11	10	38	30	39	25	17	18	12	200
		10.6%	5.8%	18.6%	10.9%	13.0%	11.5%	11.4%	12.3%	7.5%	11.6%
	9	7	21	14	11	14	10	8	4	11	100
		6.7%	12.3%	6.9%	4.0%	4.7%	4.6%	5.4%	2.7%	6.9%	5.8%
	10 Most Important	54	78	84	137	137	100	75	65	85	815
		51.9%	45.6%	41.2%	49.6%	45.7%	45.9%	50.3%	44.5%	53.5%	47.2%
Total		104	171	204	276	300	218	149	146	159	1727
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Water and sewer services * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Water and sewer services	1 Not at all Important	8	1	1	6	3	2	1	22
		3.1%	.3%	.3%	2.0%	1.5%	.6%	3.8%	1.2%
	2	0	3	1	0	3	3	0	10
		.0%	.9%	.3%	.0%	1.5%	.9%	.0%	.5%
	3	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	6
		.0%	.6%	.3%	.3%	.5%	.3%	.0%	.3%
	4	11	7	3	0	2	5	0	28
		4.2%	2.0%	.8%	.0%	1.0%	1.5%	.0%	1.5%
	5	5	17	5	9	2	12	1	51
		1.9%	4.9%	1.4%	3.0%	1.0%	3.7%	3.8%	2.8%
	6	0	12	5	2	2	8	0	29
		.0%	3.5%	1.4%	.7%	1.0%	2.4%	.0%	1.6%
	7	11	25	17	11	6	17	0	87
		4.2%	7.3%	4.6%	3.6%	3.0%	5.2%	.0%	4.8%
	8	18	36	44	32	20	28	1	179
		6.9%	10.5%	12.0%	10.5%	10.0%	8.6%	3.8%	9.8%
	9	11	23	31	30	13	24	3	135
		4.2%	6.7%	8.5%	9.9%	6.5%	7.3%	11.5%	7.4%
	10 Most Important	196	218	258	213	149	227	20	1281
		75.4%	63.4%	70.5%	70.1%	74.1%	69.4%	76.9%	70.1%
Total		260	344	366	304	201	327	26	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Water and sewer services * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Water and sewer services	1 Not at all Important	11 1.3%	11 1.1%	22 1.2%
	2	6 .7%	3 .3%	9 .5%
	3	1 .1%	4 .4%	5 .3%
	4	13 1.6%	14 1.4%	27 1.5%
	5	22 2.7%	30 3.0%	52 2.8%
	6	16 2.0%	12 1.2%	28 1.5%
	7	47 5.7%	40 4.0%	87 4.8%
	8	99 12.1%	80 8.0%	179 9.8%
	9	77 9.4%	58 5.8%	135 7.4%
	10 Most Important	528 64.4%	753 74.9%	1281 70.2%
	Total	820 100.0%	1005 100.0%	1825 100.0%

Importance: Water and sewer services * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Water and sewer services	1 Not at all Important	10	12	0	0	0	22
		1.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	2	3	5	0	0	0	8
		.5%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	3	1	5	0	0	0	6
		.2%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	4	2	24	0	0	1	27
		.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	1.5%
	5	18	32	0	0	1	51
		3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.8%
	6	6	22	0	0	0	28
		1.1%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	7	22	54	5	0	3	84
		3.9%	4.7%	38.5%	.0%	5.0%	4.6%
	8	82	89	0	3	3	177
		14.4%	7.8%	.0%	14.3%	5.0%	9.8%
	9	71	52	1	9	2	135
		12.5%	4.5%	7.7%	42.9%	3.3%	7.5%
	10 Most Important	354	850	7	9	50	1270
		62.2%	74.2%	53.8%	42.9%	83.3%	70.2%
Total		569	1145	13	21	60	1808
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Water and sewer services * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Water and sewer services	1 Not at all	7	2	0	1	6	2	3	0	1	22
	Important	6.4%	1.1%	.0%	.3%	1.9%	.9%	1.9%	.0%	.6%	1.2%
	2	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
		2.7%	1.6%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.4%
	3	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	6
		.9%	.0%	.5%	1.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	4	1	5	11	3	1	3	2	0	2	28
		.9%	2.7%	5.0%	1.0%	.3%	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	1.3%	1.5%
	5	3	18	4	5	7	3	4	6	2	52
		2.7%	9.7%	1.8%	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%	2.6%	3.8%	1.3%	2.8%
	6	1	2	3	7	2	4	7	2	0	28
		.9%	1.1%	1.4%	2.4%	.6%	1.7%	4.5%	1.3%	.0%	1.5%
	7	5	9	6	18	18	12	7	6	7	88
		4.5%	4.8%	2.7%	6.1%	5.7%	5.2%	4.5%	3.8%	4.4%	4.8%
	8	22	18	32	34	21	18	12	14	10	181
		20.0%	9.7%	14.4%	11.6%	6.6%	7.8%	7.7%	9.0%	6.3%	9.9%
	9	6	4	16	12	39	20	13	14	12	136
		5.5%	2.2%	7.2%	4.1%	12.3%	8.6%	8.3%	9.0%	7.5%	7.4%
	10 Most Important	61	125	149	209	222	170	108	113	125	1282
		55.5%	67.2%	67.1%	71.3%	70.0%	73.3%	69.2%	72.4%	78.6%	70.0%
Total		110	186	222	293	317	232	156	156	159	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Tree planting/maintenance * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Tree planting/maintenance	1 Not at all Important	12	10	24	23	15	24	0	108
		4.8%	2.9%	6.5%	7.6%	7.6%	7.5%	.0%	6.0%
	2	6	17	7	12	2	5	0	49
		2.4%	4.9%	1.9%	4.0%	1.0%	1.6%	.0%	2.7%
	3	7	17	9	8	3	2	1	47
		2.8%	4.9%	2.5%	2.7%	1.5%	.6%	3.6%	2.6%
	4	4	13	11	1	4	9	1	43
		1.6%	3.8%	3.0%	.3%	2.0%	2.8%	3.6%	2.4%
	5	26	25	42	22	23	23	3	164
		10.4%	7.3%	11.4%	7.3%	11.6%	7.2%	10.7%	9.1%
	6	10	32	36	24	9	18	1	130
		4.0%	9.3%	9.8%	8.0%	4.5%	5.6%	3.6%	7.2%
	7	40	43	53	39	18	27	3	223
		15.9%	12.5%	14.4%	13.0%	9.1%	8.4%	10.7%	12.3%
	8	46	52	50	41	31	36	4	260
		18.3%	15.1%	13.6%	13.6%	15.7%	11.3%	14.3%	14.4%
	9	7	21	24	22	8	25	4	111
		2.8%	6.1%	6.5%	7.3%	4.0%	7.8%	14.3%	6.1%
	10 Most Important	93	114	111	109	85	151	11	674
		37.1%	33.1%	30.2%	36.2%	42.9%	47.2%	39.3%	37.3%
Total		251	344	367	301	198	320	28	1809
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Tree planting/maintenance * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Tree planting/maintenance	1 Not at all Important	52	55	107
		6.4%	5.5%	5.9%
	2	26	21	47
		3.2%	2.1%	2.6%
	3	24	24	48
		3.0%	2.4%	2.7%
	4	27	18	45
		3.3%	1.8%	2.5%
	5	77	87	164
		9.5%	8.7%	9.1%
	6	74	55	129
		9.1%	5.5%	7.1%
	7	115	107	222
		14.2%	10.7%	12.3%
	8	104	156	260
		12.9%	15.6%	14.4%
	9	40	71	111
		4.9%	7.1%	6.1%
	10 Most Important	270	404	674
		33.4%	40.5%	37.3%
Total		809	998	1807
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Tree planting/maintenance * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Importance: Tree planting/maintenance	1 Not at all Important	27	77	2	0	1	107
		4.8%	6.8%	14.3%	.0%	1.7%	6.0%
	2	2	44	0	0	0	46
		.4%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	3	18	26	0	0	4	48
		3.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	2.7%
	4	19	24	0	0	1	44
		3.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.5%
	5	65	91	1	4	2	163
		11.5%	8.1%	7.1%	19.0%	3.3%	9.1%
	6	62	61	1	0	0	124
		11.0%	5.4%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	6.9%
	7	111	106	2	0	3	222
		19.7%	9.4%	14.3%	.0%	5.0%	12.4%
	8	88	153	3	2	13	259
		15.6%	13.5%	21.4%	9.5%	21.7%	14.5%
	9	26	66	3	9	6	110
		4.6%	5.8%	21.4%	42.9%	10.0%	6.2%
	10 Most Important	145	482	2	6	30	665
		25.8%	42.7%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	37.2%
Total		563	1130	14	21	60	1788
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Tree planting/maintenance * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Tree planting/maintenance	1 Not at all Important	9	10	9	21	16	15	8	10	11	109
		8.2%	5.4%	4.1%	7.4%	5.0%	6.6%	5.3%	6.4%	6.9%	6.0%
	2	1	13	2	1	8	6	9	2	7	49
		.9%	7.0%	.9%	.4%	2.5%	2.6%	5.9%	1.3%	4.4%	2.7%
	3	5	9	1	5	10	8	5	3	2	48
		4.5%	4.8%	.5%	1.8%	3.2%	3.5%	3.3%	1.9%	1.3%	2.7%
	4	0	2	7	5	6	4	4	9	9	46
		.0%	1.1%	3.2%	1.8%	1.9%	1.8%	2.6%	5.8%	5.7%	2.5%
	5	17	10	25	32	16	30	17	13	3	163
		15.5%	5.4%	11.4%	11.3%	5.0%	13.2%	11.2%	8.3%	1.9%	9.0%
	6	6	13	15	18	30	16	9	12	11	130
		5.5%	7.0%	6.8%	6.3%	9.5%	7.0%	5.9%	7.7%	6.9%	7.2%
	7	10	31	45	34	27	27	13	21	14	222
		9.1%	16.7%	20.5%	12.0%	8.5%	11.9%	8.6%	13.5%	8.8%	12.3%
	8	24	34	30	37	54	27	23	17	14	260
		21.8%	18.3%	13.7%	13.0%	17.0%	11.9%	15.1%	10.9%	8.8%	14.4%
	9	12	4	8	19	29	11	6	7	14	110
		10.9%	2.2%	3.7%	6.7%	9.1%	4.8%	3.9%	4.5%	8.8%	6.1%
	10 Most Important	26	60	77	112	121	83	58	62	74	673
		23.6%	32.3%	35.2%	39.4%	38.2%	36.6%	38.2%	39.7%	46.5%	37.2%
Total		110	186	219	284	317	227	152	156	159	1810
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Libraries * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: Libraries	1 Not at all Important	0	1	9	7	6	12	1	36
		.0%	.3%	2.5%	2.3%	3.0%	3.7%	3.8%	2.0%
	2	5	4	4	2	2	3	0	20
		1.9%	1.2%	1.1%	.7%	1.0%	.9%	.0%	1.1%
	3	0	1	4	5	2	1	0	13
		.0%	.3%	1.1%	1.6%	1.0%	.3%	.0%	.7%
	4	0	4	4	5	0	2	1	16
		.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.6%	.0%	.6%	3.8%	.9%
	5	13	17	13	8	11	12	1	75
		5.0%	5.0%	3.6%	2.6%	5.6%	3.7%	3.8%	4.1%
	6	18	15	10	7	4	11	0	65
		6.9%	4.4%	2.7%	2.3%	2.0%	3.4%	.0%	3.6%
	7	25	12	30	19	9	14	0	109
		9.6%	3.5%	8.2%	6.2%	4.6%	4.3%	.0%	6.0%
	8	18	61	43	28	17	28	3	198
		6.9%	18.0%	11.8%	9.2%	8.6%	8.7%	11.5%	10.9%
	9	8	23	23	21	18	25	3	121
		3.1%	6.8%	6.3%	6.9%	9.1%	7.8%	11.5%	6.7%
	10 Most Important	174	201	225	203	128	214	17	1162
		66.7%	59.3%	61.6%	66.6%	65.0%	66.5%	65.4%	64.0%
Total		261	339	365	305	197	322	26	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Libraries * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: Libraries	1 Not at all Important	22	15	37
		2.7%	1.5%	2.0%
	2	14	5	19
		1.7%	.5%	1.0%
	3	8	4	12
		1.0%	.4%	.7%
	4	12	5	17
		1.5%	.5%	.9%
	5	47	27	74
		5.8%	2.7%	4.1%
	6	44	22	66
		5.4%	2.2%	3.6%
	7	71	37	108
		8.7%	3.7%	6.0%
	8	93	105	198
		11.4%	10.5%	10.9%
	9	53	68	121
		6.5%	6.8%	6.7%
	10 Most Important	449	714	1163
		55.2%	71.3%	64.1%
Total		813	1002	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Libraries * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: Libraries	1 Not at all Important	15	13	0	1	7	36
		2.7%	1.1%	.0%	4.8%	11.7%	2.0%
	2	8	5	0	0	5	18
		1.4%	.4%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	1.0%
	3	5	7	0	0	0	12
		.9%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	4	7	10	0	0	0	17
		1.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	5	39	28	0	2	5	74
		6.9%	2.5%	.0%	9.5%	8.3%	4.1%
	6	36	29	2	0	0	67
		6.4%	2.5%	15.4%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
	7	56	38	1	7	3	105
		9.9%	3.3%	7.7%	33.3%	5.0%	5.8%
	8	110	71	6	3	7	197
		19.5%	6.2%	46.2%	14.3%	11.7%	11.0%
	9	42	69	1	2	3	117
		7.4%	6.1%	7.7%	9.5%	5.0%	6.5%
	10 Most Important	247	868	3	6	30	1154
		43.7%	76.3%	23.1%	28.6%	50.0%	64.2%
Total		565	1138	13	21	60	1797
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: Libraries * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: Libraries	1 Not at all Important	3	6	5	4	2	6	3	6	3	38
		2.9%	3.2%	2.3%	1.4%	.6%	2.6%	1.9%	3.8%	1.9%	2.1%
	2	1	1	0	2	1	8	0	6	0	19
		1.0%	.5%	.0%	.7%	.3%	3.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	1.0%
	3	0	1	2	3	0	4	2	0	0	12
		.0%	.5%	.9%	1.0%	.0%	1.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	4	0	1	3	2	7	3	0	1	0	17
		.0%	.5%	1.4%	.7%	2.2%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.9%
	5	6	11	6	13	6	12	3	12	5	74
		5.7%	5.9%	2.7%	4.5%	1.9%	5.2%	1.9%	7.6%	3.1%	4.1%
	6	0	7	18	7	13	10	2	5	3	65
		.0%	3.8%	8.1%	2.4%	4.2%	4.3%	1.3%	3.2%	1.9%	3.6%
	7	9	7	15	13	29	10	10	11	6	110
		8.6%	3.8%	6.8%	4.5%	9.3%	4.3%	6.4%	7.0%	3.8%	6.1%
	8	11	26	19	31	36	20	22	21	11	197
		10.5%	14.1%	8.6%	10.7%	11.5%	8.7%	14.1%	13.4%	6.9%	10.8%
	9	11	4	14	25	23	13	12	11	8	121
		10.5%	2.2%	6.3%	8.6%	7.4%	5.7%	7.7%	7.0%	5.0%	6.7%
	10 Most Important	64	121	139	191	195	144	102	84	124	1164
		61.0%	65.4%	62.9%	65.6%	62.5%	62.6%	65.4%	53.5%	77.5%	64.1%
Total		105	185	221	291	312	230	156	157	160	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City-run pools * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: City-run pools	1 Not at all Important	54	41	55	48	43	65	2	308
		21.6%	12.3%	15.9%	16.3%	22.9%	21.9%	9.1%	17.8%
	2	4	22	7	9	12	9	3	66
		1.6%	6.6%	2.0%	3.1%	6.4%	3.0%	13.6%	3.8%
	3	2	25	18	5	6	6	1	63
		.8%	7.5%	5.2%	1.7%	3.2%	2.0%	4.5%	3.6%
	4	6	20	17	5	2	6	0	56
		2.4%	6.0%	4.9%	1.7%	1.1%	2.0%	.0%	3.2%
	5	44	40	48	34	18	20	0	204
		17.6%	12.0%	13.9%	11.5%	9.6%	6.7%	.0%	11.8%
	6	14	12	27	16	7	22	1	99
		5.6%	3.6%	7.8%	5.4%	3.7%	7.4%	4.5%	5.7%
	7	46	24	31	33	10	20	1	165
		18.4%	7.2%	9.0%	11.2%	5.3%	6.7%	4.5%	9.5%
	8	14	47	34	29	17	24	3	168
		5.6%	14.1%	9.8%	9.8%	9.0%	8.1%	13.6%	9.7%
	9	13	18	18	12	7	8	3	79
		5.2%	5.4%	5.2%	4.1%	3.7%	2.7%	13.6%	4.6%
	10 Most Important	53	85	91	104	66	117	8	524
		21.2%	25.4%	26.3%	35.3%	35.1%	39.4%	36.4%	30.3%
Total		250	334	346	295	188	297	22	1732
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City-run pools * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: City-run pools	1 Not at all Important	145 18.7%	162 17.0%	307 17.7%
	2	35 4.5%	32 3.4%	67 3.9%
	3	40 5.2%	23 2.4%	63 3.6%
	4	33 4.3%	24 2.5%	57 3.3%
	5	124 16.0%	79 8.3%	203 11.7%
	6	47 6.1%	52 5.4%	99 5.7%
	7	68 8.8%	96 10.1%	164 9.5%
	8	59 7.6%	108 11.3%	167 9.6%
	9	28 3.6%	52 5.4%	80 4.6%
	10 Most Important	197 25.4%	327 34.2%	524 30.3%
Total		776 100.0%	955 100.0%	1731 100.0%

Importance: City-run pools * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: City-run pools	1 Not at all Important	152	137	2	5	11	307
		28.6%	12.5%	14.3%	25.0%	19.3%	17.9%
	2	33	31	0	0	1	65
		6.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	3.8%
	3	35	25	2	0	1	63
		6.6%	2.3%	14.3%	.0%	1.8%	3.7%
	4	25	26	1	0	0	52
		4.7%	2.4%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	5	79	111	1	10	3	204
		14.9%	10.1%	7.1%	50.0%	5.3%	11.9%
	6	31	61	1	0	3	96
		5.8%	5.6%	7.1%	.0%	5.3%	5.6%
	7	44	113	3	1	4	165
		8.3%	10.3%	21.4%	5.0%	7.0%	9.6%
	8	43	114	3	0	7	167
		8.1%	10.4%	21.4%	.0%	12.3%	9.7%
	9	17	54	0	3	6	80
		3.2%	4.9%	.0%	15.0%	10.5%	4.7%
	10 Most Important	72	425	1	1	21	520
		13.6%	38.7%	7.1%	5.0%	36.8%	30.3%
Total		531	1097	14	20	57	1719
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City-run pools * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Importance: City-run pools	1 Not at all Important	19	36	39	46	54	33	30	27	22	306
		19.0%	20.0%	18.2%	16.9%	18.3%	14.7%	20.3%	18.8%	14.2%	17.7%
	2	3	9	7	9	7	12	8	11	1	67
		3.0%	5.0%	3.3%	3.3%	2.4%	5.3%	5.4%	7.6%	.6%	3.9%
	3	5	5	3	7	19	12	3	8	2	64
		5.0%	2.8%	1.4%	2.6%	6.4%	5.3%	2.0%	5.6%	1.3%	3.7%
	4	6	2	6	14	4	9	10	2	3	56
		6.0%	1.1%	2.8%	5.1%	1.4%	4.0%	6.8%	1.4%	1.9%	3.2%
	5	13	43	13	37	37	29	7	15	10	204
		13.0%	23.9%	6.1%	13.6%	12.5%	12.9%	4.7%	10.4%	6.5%	11.8%
	6	3	11	5	16	20	11	14	6	13	99
		3.0%	6.1%	2.3%	5.9%	6.8%	4.9%	9.5%	4.2%	8.4%	5.7%
	7	14	10	33	23	34	15	9	13	13	164
		14.0%	5.6%	15.4%	8.5%	11.5%	6.7%	6.1%	9.0%	8.4%	9.5%
	8	9	8	24	34	32	13	10	19	19	168
		9.0%	4.4%	11.2%	12.5%	10.8%	5.8%	6.8%	13.2%	12.3%	9.7%
	9	4	1	25	15	7	11	3	4	10	80
		4.0%	.6%	11.7%	5.5%	2.4%	4.9%	2.0%	2.8%	6.5%	4.6%
	10 Most Important	24	55	59	71	81	80	54	39	62	525
		24.0%	30.6%	27.6%	26.1%	27.5%	35.6%	36.5%	27.1%	40.0%	30.3%
Total		100	180	214	272	295	225	148	144	155	1733
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City parks * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Importance: City parks	1 Not at all Important	9	4	10	8	8	25	0	64
		3.5%	1.2%	2.9%	2.6%	4.0%	8.0%	.0%	3.6%
	2	3	1	4	4	2	5	3	22
		1.2%	.3%	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%	1.6%	12.5%	1.2%
	3	0	8	8	5	3	4	1	29
		.0%	2.4%	2.3%	1.6%	1.5%	1.3%	4.2%	1.6%
	4	13	18	2	10	1	4	0	48
		5.0%	5.3%	.6%	3.3%	.5%	1.3%	.0%	2.7%
	5	20	22	18	19	15	18	0	112
		7.7%	6.5%	5.2%	6.2%	7.5%	5.7%	.0%	6.3%
	6	4	16	18	12	7	16	1	74
		1.5%	4.7%	5.2%	3.9%	3.5%	5.1%	4.2%	4.1%
	7	23	30	38	42	18	29	1	181
		8.9%	8.8%	10.9%	13.8%	9.0%	9.2%	4.2%	10.1%
	8	74	64	72	45	32	39	4	330
		28.6%	18.9%	20.7%	14.8%	16.1%	12.4%	16.7%	18.5%
	9	6	31	29	20	11	22	4	123
		2.3%	9.1%	8.3%	6.6%	5.5%	7.0%	16.7%	6.9%
	10 Most Important	107	145	149	140	102	152	10	805
		41.3%	42.8%	42.8%	45.9%	51.3%	48.4%	41.7%	45.0%
Total		259	339	348	305	199	314	24	1788
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City parks * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Importance: City parks	1 Not at all Important	30	34	64
		3.7%	3.4%	3.6%
	2	10	12	22
		1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
	3	9	20	29
		1.1%	2.0%	1.6%
	4	27	22	49
		3.4%	2.2%	2.7%
	5	48	64	112
		6.0%	6.5%	6.3%
	6	39	34	73
		4.9%	3.4%	4.1%
	7	110	72	182
		13.7%	7.3%	10.2%
	8	163	165	328
		20.3%	16.7%	18.3%
	9	51	73	124
		6.4%	7.4%	6.9%
	10 Most Important	314	491	805
		39.2%	49.7%	45.0%
Total		801	987	1788
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City parks * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Importance: City parks	1 Not at all Important	16	46	0	0	1	63
		2.9%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	3.6%
	2	3	17	0	0	0	20
		.5%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	3	9	19	1	0	0	29
		1.6%	1.7%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	4	13	29	0	0	6	48
		2.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	2.7%
	5	35	68	1	3	5	112
		6.3%	6.1%	7.7%	14.3%	8.3%	6.3%
	6	38	34	0	0	1	73
		6.8%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	4.1%
	7	84	81	3	8	3	179
		15.1%	7.2%	23.1%	38.1%	5.0%	10.1%
	8	150	165	2	4	5	326
		27.0%	14.7%	15.4%	19.0%	8.3%	18.4%
	9	39	74	1	2	6	122
		7.0%	6.6%	7.7%	9.5%	10.0%	6.9%
	10 Most Important	169	587	5	4	33	798
		30.4%	52.4%	38.5%	19.0%	55.0%	45.1%
Total		556	1120	13	21	60	1770
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance: City parks * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total	
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West		
Importance: City parks	1 Not at all	2	2	6	9	14	5	8	7	11	64	
	Important	1.8%	1.2%	2.7%	3.1%	4.6%	2.2%	5.1%	4.6%	6.9%	3.6%	
	2	1	7	0	2	2	4	4	1	1	22	
		.9%	4.0%	.0%	.7%	.7%	1.7%	2.6%	.7%	.6%	1.2%	
	3	3	6	3	3	3	8	3	0	1	30	
		2.7%	3.5%	1.4%	1.0%	1.0%	3.5%	1.9%	.0%	.6%	1.7%	
	4	7	5	6	5	5	4	11	4	2	49	
		6.4%	2.9%	2.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%	7.1%	2.6%	1.3%	2.7%	
	5	6	18	8	23	24	17	8	8	2	114	
		5.5%	10.4%	3.7%	8.0%	7.8%	7.4%	5.1%	5.2%	1.3%	6.3%	
	6	9	6	6	13	10	11	8	3	7	73	
		8.2%	3.5%	2.7%	4.5%	3.3%	4.8%	5.1%	2.0%	4.4%	4.1%	
	7	8	12	20	33	41	19	24	12	14	183	
		7.3%	6.9%	9.1%	11.4%	13.4%	8.3%	15.4%	7.8%	8.8%	10.2%	
	8	9	36	56	57	50	47	21	33	20	329	
		8.2%	20.8%	25.6%	19.7%	16.3%	20.4%	13.5%	21.6%	12.6%	18.3%	
	9	13	4	13	26	34	9	6	8	13	126	
		11.8%	2.3%	5.9%	9.0%	11.1%	3.9%	3.8%	5.2%	8.2%	7.0%	
		10 Most Important	52	77	101	118	124	106	63	77	88	806
			47.3%	44.5%	46.1%	40.8%	40.4%	46.1%	40.4%	50.3%	55.3%	44.9%
Total		110	173	219	289	307	230	156	153	159	1796	
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Rate: Police Protection * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Police Protection	1. Poor	61	61	45	62	21	30	3	283
		23.5%	17.8%	12.3%	20.4%	10.4%	9.1%	11.1%	15.5%
	2. Fair	100	113	141	88	59	81	7	589
		38.5%	32.9%	38.5%	28.9%	29.2%	24.6%	25.9%	32.2%
	3. Good	52	121	123	103	74	135	7	615
		20.0%	35.3%	33.6%	33.9%	36.6%	41.0%	25.9%	33.6%
	4. Excellent	36	37	45	40	38	59	6	261
		13.8%	10.8%	12.3%	13.2%	18.8%	17.9%	22.2%	14.3%
Total	8. No Experience	11	11	12	11	10	24	4	83
		4.2%	3.2%	3.3%	3.6%	5.0%	7.3%	14.8%	4.5%
		260	343	366	304	202	329	27	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Police Protection * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Police Protection	1. Poor	130	151	281
		15.9%	14.9%	15.4%
	2. Fair	236	353	589
		28.9%	34.9%	32.2%
	3. Good	289	325	614
		35.4%	32.1%	33.6%
	4. Excellent	136	124	260
		16.6%	12.3%	14.2%
Total	8. No Experience	26	58	84
		3.2%	5.7%	4.6%
		817	1011	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Police Protection * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Rate: Police Protection	1. Poor	55	214	0	0	11	280
		9.6%	18.7%	.0%	.0%	18.3%	15.5%
	2. Fair	134	414	1	6	24	579
		23.4%	36.2%	7.7%	31.6%	40.0%	32.0%
	3. Good	231	341	9	11	18	610
		40.3%	29.8%	69.2%	57.9%	30.0%	33.7%
	4. Excellent	119	132	2	0	4	257
		20.8%	11.5%	15.4%	.0%	6.7%	14.2%
Total	8. No Experience	34	42	1	2	3	82
		5.9%	3.7%	7.7%	10.5%	5.0%	4.5%
		573	1143	13	19	60	1808
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Police Protection * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Rate: Police Protection	1. Poor	14	25	25	61	31	46	32	17	31	282
		12.7%	13.6%	11.3%	21.0%	9.8%	19.7%	20.6%	10.8%	19.4%	15.4%
	2. Fair	33	63	84	91	88	77	49	47	56	588
		30.0%	34.2%	37.8%	31.3%	27.8%	33.0%	31.6%	29.7%	35.0%	32.1%
	3. Good	28	59	71	91	143	71	53	48	51	615
		25.5%	32.1%	32.0%	31.3%	45.1%	30.5%	34.2%	30.4%	31.9%	33.6%
	4. Excellent	34	28	37	36	34	27	16	33	16	261
		30.9%	15.2%	16.7%	12.4%	10.7%	11.6%	10.3%	20.9%	10.0%	14.3%
8. No Experience		1	9	5	12	21	12	5	13	6	84
		.9%	4.9%	2.3%	4.1%	6.6%	5.2%	3.2%	8.2%	3.8%	4.6%
	Total	110	184	222	291	317	233	155	158	160	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Fire Protection * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Fire Protection	1. Poor	7 2.7%	7 2.0%	7 1.9%	19 6.2%	4 2.0%	7 2.1%	1 3.6%	52 2.8%
	2. Fair	42 16.2%	56 16.3%	61 16.7%	32 10.5%	30 14.8%	28 8.5%	2 7.1%	251 13.7%
	3. Good	101 38.8%	115 33.4%	100 27.3%	109 35.7%	71 35.0%	127 38.7%	7 25.0%	630 34.4%
	4. Excellent	66 25.4%	87 25.3%	115 31.4%	99 32.5%	73 36.0%	123 37.5%	11 39.3%	574 31.3%
	8. No Experience	44 16.9%	79 23.0%	83 22.7%	46 15.1%	25 12.3%	43 13.1%	7 25.0%	327 17.8%
	Total	260 100.0%	344 100.0%	366 100.0%	305 100.0%	203 100.0%	328 100.0%	28 100.0%	1834 100.0%

Rate: Fire Protection * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Fire Protection	1. Poor	24 2.9%	28 2.8%	52 2.8%
	2. Fair	76 9.3%	174 17.2%	250 13.6%
	3. Good	290 35.4%	340 33.5%	630 34.4%
	4. Excellent	280 34.2%	294 29.0%	574 31.3%
	8. No Experience	149 18.2%	178 17.6%	327 17.8%
	Total	819 100.0%	1014 100.0%	1833 100.0%

Rate: Fire Protection * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Rate: Fire Protection	1. Poor	9	43	0	0	0	52
		1.6%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	2. Fair	31	204	1	3	10	249
		5.4%	17.8%	7.1%	15.0%	16.4%	13.7%
	3. Good	172	412	4	10	27	625
		30.0%	35.9%	28.6%	50.0%	44.3%	34.4%
	4. Excellent	198	358	2	3	8	569
		34.6%	31.2%	14.3%	15.0%	13.1%	31.3%
Total	8. No Experience	163	132	7	4	16	322
		28.4%	11.5%	50.0%	20.0%	26.2%	17.7%
		573	1149	14	20	61	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Fire Protection * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Rate: Fire Protection	1. Poor	3	0	5	15	9	6	1	2	11	52
		2.7%	.0%	2.3%	5.1%	2.8%	2.6%	.6%	1.3%	6.9%	2.8%
	2. Fair	22	21	20	47	34	34	23	24	26	251
		20.0%	11.2%	9.0%	16.0%	10.7%	14.5%	14.7%	15.3%	16.3%	13.7%
	3. Good	32	60	79	99	124	90	60	34	53	631
		29.1%	32.1%	35.7%	33.7%	39.0%	38.5%	38.5%	21.7%	33.1%	34.3%
	4. Excellent	39	59	74	88	91	68	52	54	50	575
		35.5%	31.6%	33.5%	29.9%	28.6%	29.1%	33.3%	34.4%	31.3%	31.3%
8. No Experience	14	47	43	45	60	36	20	43	20	328	
	12.7%	25.1%	19.5%	15.3%	18.9%	15.4%	12.8%	27.4%	12.5%	17.9%	
Total		110	187	221	294	318	234	156	157	160	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1. Poor	69	76	78	63	35	43	4	368
		26.3%	22.2%	21.3%	20.7%	17.3%	13.2%	14.3%	20.1%
	2. Fair	115	102	155	101	64	82	5	624
		43.9%	29.7%	42.2%	33.2%	31.7%	25.2%	17.9%	34.1%
	3. Good	46	71	47	72	48	81	7	372
		17.6%	20.7%	12.8%	23.7%	23.8%	24.8%	25.0%	20.3%
	4. Excellent	8	42	40	25	18	34	1	168
		3.1%	12.2%	10.9%	8.2%	8.9%	10.4%	3.6%	9.2%
	8. No Experience	24	52	47	43	37	86	11	300
		9.2%	15.2%	12.8%	14.1%	18.3%	26.4%	39.3%	16.4%
Total		262	343	367	304	202	326	28	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1. Poor	182	185	367
		22.3%	18.3%	20.1%
	2. Fair	256	367	623
		31.4%	36.3%	34.1%
	3. Good	178	194	372
		21.8%	19.2%	20.4%
	4. Excellent	79	88	167
		9.7%	8.7%	9.1%
	8. No Experience	121	177	298
		14.8%	17.5%	16.3%
Total		816	1011	1827
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1. Poor	108	231	0	4	21	364
		19.0%	20.2%	.0%	19.0%	35.0%	20.1%
	2. Fair	158	435	9	5	13	620
		27.8%	38.0%	64.3%	23.8%	21.7%	34.3%
	3. Good	104	239	1	7	13	364
		18.3%	20.9%	7.1%	33.3%	21.7%	20.1%
	4. Excellent	33	131	0	0	3	167
		5.8%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	9.2%
Total	8. No Experience	166	110	4	5	10	295
		29.2%	9.6%	28.6%	23.8%	16.7%	16.3%
		569	1146	14	21	60	1810
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Street and Sidewalk maintenance	1. Poor	22	33	60	68	41	51	37	26	369
		20.0%	17.6%	27.0%	23.5%	12.9%	22.0%	23.7%	16.5%	20.1%
	2. Fair	37	81	90	89	103	67	46	42	623
		33.6%	43.3%	40.5%	30.8%	32.5%	28.9%	29.5%	26.6%	34.0%
	3. Good	21	35	31	55	78	40	34	47	374
		19.1%	18.7%	14.0%	19.0%	24.6%	17.2%	21.8%	29.7%	20.4%
	4. Excellent	15	25	9	23	30	27	11	14	168
		13.6%	13.4%	4.1%	8.0%	9.5%	11.6%	7.1%	8.9%	9.2%
Total	8. No Experience	15	13	32	54	65	47	28	29	299
		13.6%	7.0%	14.4%	18.7%	20.5%	20.3%	17.9%	18.4%	16.3%
		110	187	222	289	317	232	156	158	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: K-12 Education * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Rate: K-12 Education	1. Poor	73	112	120	111	58	68	551
		28.1%	32.6%	32.6%	36.3%	29.0%	20.8%	30.1%
	2. Fair	129	117	149	94	76	105	678
		49.6%	34.0%	40.5%	30.7%	38.0%	32.1%	37.0%
	3. Good	36	67	47	69	40	94	361
		13.8%	19.5%	12.8%	22.5%	20.0%	28.7%	19.7%
	4. Excellent	7	35	29	15	19	36	143
		2.7%	10.2%	7.9%	4.9%	9.5%	11.0%	7.8%
Total	8. No Experience	15	13	23	17	7	24	100
		5.8%	3.8%	6.3%	5.6%	3.5%	7.3%	5.5%
		260	344	368	306	200	327	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: K-12 Education * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: K-12 Education	1. Poor	257	292	549
		31.4%	28.9%	30.0%
	2. Fair	292	386	678
		35.7%	38.1%	37.0%
	3. Good	163	197	360
		19.9%	19.5%	19.7%
	4. Excellent	66	77	143
		8.1%	7.6%	7.8%
Total	8. No Experience	41	60	101
		5.0%	5.9%	5.5%
		819	1012	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: K-12 Education * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: K-12 Education	1. Poor	185	324	5	3	24	541
		32.3%	28.2%	38.5%	15.0%	39.3%	29.8%
	2. Fair	193	439	6	11	23	672
		33.7%	38.3%	46.2%	55.0%	37.7%	37.1%
	3. Good	107	236	1	3	11	358
		18.7%	20.6%	7.7%	15.0%	18.0%	19.7%
	4. Excellent	33	105	0	0	3	141
		5.8%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	7.8%
	8. No Experience	54	43	1	3	0	101
		9.4%	3.7%	7.7%	15.0%	.0%	5.6%
Total		572	1147	13	20	61	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: K-12 Education * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: K-12 Education	1. Poor	26	56	70	102	82	84	46	31	549
		23.9%	29.8%	31.5%	34.9%	25.9%	36.1%	29.9%	19.7%	30.0%
	2. Fair	26	78	89	120	113	81	50	60	679
		23.9%	41.5%	40.1%	41.1%	35.8%	34.8%	32.5%	38.2%	37.1%
	3. Good	32	36	34	45	70	41	37	32	360
		29.4%	19.1%	15.3%	15.4%	22.2%	17.6%	24.0%	20.4%	19.7%
	4. Excellent	19	11	19	9	29	17	10	19	142
		17.4%	5.9%	8.6%	3.1%	9.2%	7.3%	6.5%	12.1%	7.8%
	8. No Experience	6	7	10	16	22	10	11	15	102
		5.5%	3.7%	4.5%	5.5%	7.0%	4.3%	7.1%	9.6%	5.6%
Total		109	188	222	292	316	233	154	157	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street lighting * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Street lighting	1. Poor	28	40	38	48	19	25	5	203
		10.7%	11.8%	10.4%	15.7%	9.4%	7.6%	17.9%	11.1%
	2. Fair	117	128	109	93	60	82	10	599
		44.8%	37.8%	29.7%	30.5%	29.7%	24.9%	35.7%	32.7%
	3. Good	78	113	166	113	88	142	7	707
		29.9%	33.3%	45.2%	37.0%	43.6%	43.2%	25.0%	38.6%
	4. Excellent	31	55	53	50	33	73	4	299
		11.9%	16.2%	14.4%	16.4%	16.3%	22.2%	14.3%	16.3%
Total	8. No Experience	7	3	1	1	2	7	2	23
		2.7%	.9%	.3%	.3%	1.0%	2.1%	7.1%	1.3%
		261	339	367	305	202	329	28	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street lighting * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Street lighting	1. Poor	92	111	203
		11.3%	10.9%	11.1%
	2. Fair	270	329	599
		33.1%	32.4%	32.8%
	3. Good	320	386	706
		39.3%	38.1%	38.6%
	4. Excellent	132	167	299
		16.2%	16.5%	16.3%
Total	8. No Experience	1	21	22
		.1%	2.1%	1.2%
		815	1014	1829
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street lighting * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Street lighting	1. Poor	42	133	1	7	19	202
		7.4%	11.6%	7.1%	35.0%	30.6%	11.1%
	2. Fair	167	394	4	5	21	591
		29.3%	34.3%	28.6%	25.0%	33.9%	32.6%
	3. Good	261	415	5	4	16	701
		45.9%	36.1%	35.7%	20.0%	25.8%	38.7%
	4. Excellent	95	190	4	2	6	297
		16.7%	16.6%	28.6%	10.0%	9.7%	16.4%
Total	8. No Experience	4	16	0	2	0	22
		.7%	1.4%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	1.2%
		569	1148	14	20	62	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Street lighting * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Rate: Street lighting	1. Poor	7	23	17	37	34	26	19	16	24	203
		6.4%	12.5%	7.7%	12.6%	10.8%	11.2%	12.3%	10.2%	14.9%	11.1%
	2. Fair	33	60	84	91	101	90	42	49	48	598
		30.0%	32.6%	38.0%	31.1%	32.0%	38.6%	27.1%	31.2%	29.8%	32.7%
	3. Good	46	77	71	128	128	83	67	53	53	706
		41.8%	41.8%	32.1%	43.7%	40.5%	35.6%	43.2%	33.8%	32.9%	38.6%
	4. Excellent	23	23	45	33	48	32	24	38	33	299
		20.9%	12.5%	20.4%	11.3%	15.2%	13.7%	15.5%	24.2%	20.5%	16.3%
8. No Experience	1	1	4	4	5	2	3	1	3	24	
		.9%	.5%	1.8%	1.4%	1.6%	.9%	1.9%	.6%	1.9%	1.3%
	Total	110	184	221	293	316	233	155	157	161	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Snow removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Snow removal	1. Poor	91	91	118	96	68	80	12	556
		34.9%	26.4%	32.2%	31.3%	33.8%	24.4%	42.9%	30.3%
	2. Fair	80	132	117	95	53	104	7	588
		30.7%	38.3%	32.0%	30.9%	26.4%	31.7%	25.0%	32.0%
	3. Good	54	69	100	79	54	100	4	460
		20.7%	20.0%	27.3%	25.7%	26.9%	30.5%	14.3%	25.1%
	4. Excellent	36	44	31	34	24	39	4	212
		13.8%	12.8%	8.5%	11.1%	11.9%	11.9%	14.3%	11.5%
	8. No Experience	0	9	0	3	2	5	1	20
Total		.0%	2.6%	.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.5%	3.6%	1.1%
		261	345	366	307	201	328	28	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Snow removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Snow removal	1. Poor	243	313	556
		29.6%	30.9%	30.3%
	2. Fair	251	337	588
		30.6%	33.2%	32.1%
	3. Good	224	235	459
		27.3%	23.2%	25.0%
	4. Excellent	98	113	211
		12.0%	11.1%	11.5%
	8. No Experience	4	16	20
Total		.5%	1.6%	1.1%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Snow removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Snow removal	1. Poor	199	322	5	4	20	550
		34.7%	28.0%	35.7%	20.0%	32.8%	30.3%
	2. Fair	165	391	4	4	16	580
		28.7%	34.1%	28.6%	20.0%	26.2%	31.9%
	3. Good	150	277	2	10	17	456
		26.1%	24.1%	14.3%	50.0%	27.9%	25.1%
	4. Excellent	52	151	1	0	7	211
		9.1%	13.2%	7.1%	.0%	11.5%	11.6%
Total	8. No Experience	8	7	2	2	1	20
		1.4%	.6%	14.3%	10.0%	1.6%	1.1%
		574	1148	14	20	61	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Snow removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Snow removal	1. Poor	27	49	64	130	85	59	46	42	555
		24.5%	26.2%	28.8%	44.5%	26.8%	25.2%	29.7%	26.8%	30.3%
	2. Fair	18	76	73	81	111	81	56	57	589
		16.4%	40.6%	32.9%	27.7%	35.0%	34.6%	36.1%	36.3%	32.1%
	3. Good	47	35	61	56	73	66	39	32	458
		42.7%	18.7%	27.5%	19.2%	23.0%	28.2%	25.2%	20.4%	25.0%
	4. Excellent	17	24	20	22	43	25	13	26	212
		15.5%	12.8%	9.0%	7.5%	13.6%	10.7%	8.4%	16.6%	11.6%
Total	8. No Experience	1	3	4	3	5	3	1	0	20
		.9%	1.6%	1.8%	1.0%	1.6%	1.3%	.6%	.0%	1.1%
		110	187	222	292	317	234	155	157	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Trash removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Trash removal	1. Poor	56	91	83	79	34	42	5	390
		21.5%	26.5%	22.7%	25.8%	16.7%	12.8%	17.9%	21.3%
	2. Fair	60	84	95	87	60	72	8	466
		23.0%	24.5%	26.0%	28.4%	29.6%	22.0%	28.6%	25.4%
	3. Good	97	95	128	79	63	124	9	595
		37.2%	27.7%	35.0%	25.8%	31.0%	37.8%	32.1%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	37	54	57	57	40	76	6	327
		14.2%	15.7%	15.6%	18.6%	19.7%	23.2%	21.4%	17.8%
Total	8. No Experience	11	19	3	4	6	14	0	57
		4.2%	5.5%	.8%	1.3%	3.0%	4.3%	.0%	3.1%
		261	343	366	306	203	328	28	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Trash removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Trash removal	1. Poor	153	237	390
		18.7%	23.4%	21.3%
	2. Fair	186	280	466
		22.7%	27.6%	25.4%
	3. Good	285	309	594
		34.8%	30.5%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	166	161	327
		20.2%	15.9%	17.8%
Total	8. No Experience	30	26	56
		3.7%	2.6%	3.1%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Trash removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Trash removal	1. Poor	68	295	4	0	20	387
		11.9%	25.7%	28.6%	.0%	32.3%	21.3%
	2. Fair	115	319	4	5	15	458
		20.1%	27.8%	28.6%	25.0%	24.2%	25.2%
	3. Good	221	341	4	10	13	589
		38.6%	29.7%	28.6%	50.0%	21.0%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	137	177	1	2	9	326
		23.9%	15.4%	7.1%	10.0%	14.5%	18.0%
Total	8. No Experience	32	15	1	3	5	56
		5.6%	1.3%	7.1%	15.0%	8.1%	3.1%
		573	1147	14	20	62	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Trash removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Rate: Trash removal	1. Poor	23	42	38	68	54	58	31	32	44	390
		20.9%	22.5%	17.1%	23.3%	17.1%	25.0%	19.9%	20.4%	27.3%	21.3%
	2. Fair	31	50	37	83	82	58	51	39	34	465
		28.2%	26.7%	16.7%	28.4%	26.0%	25.0%	32.7%	24.8%	21.1%	25.4%
	3. Good	40	62	88	91	96	79	43	42	52	593
		36.4%	33.2%	39.6%	31.2%	30.5%	34.1%	27.6%	26.8%	32.3%	32.4%
	4. Excellent	12	28	48	48	59	33	30	41	28	327
		10.9%	15.0%	21.6%	16.4%	18.7%	14.2%	19.2%	26.1%	17.4%	17.8%
8. No Experience	4	5	11	2	24	4	1	3	3	57	
		3.6%	2.7%	5.0%	.7%	7.6%	1.7%	.6%	1.9%	1.9%	3.1%
Total		110	187	222	292	315	232	156	157	161	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Curbside recycling * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Curbside recycling	1. Poor	43	42	30	31	17	18	0	181
		16.5%	12.2%	8.2%	10.1%	8.4%	5.5%	.0%	9.9%
	2. Fair	93	96	84	67	39	57	10	446
		35.8%	27.9%	23.0%	21.9%	19.3%	17.3%	35.7%	24.3%
	3. Good	53	105	132	101	66	121	7	585
		20.4%	30.5%	36.1%	33.0%	32.7%	36.8%	25.0%	31.9%
	4. Excellent	26	56	88	79	51	77	7	384
		10.0%	16.3%	24.0%	25.8%	25.2%	23.4%	25.0%	20.9%
Total	8. No Experience	45	45	32	28	29	56	4	239
		17.3%	13.1%	8.7%	9.2%	14.4%	17.0%	14.3%	13.0%
		260	344	366	306	202	329	28	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Curbside recycling * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Curbside recycling	1. Poor	89	92	181
		10.9%	9.1%	9.9%
	2. Fair	194	251	445
		23.7%	24.8%	24.3%
	3. Good	291	293	584
		35.5%	28.9%	31.9%
	4. Excellent	163	222	385
		19.9%	21.9%	21.0%
Total	8. No Experience	83	155	238
		10.1%	15.3%	13.0%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Curbside recycling * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Curbside recycling	1. Poor	38	135	0	0	6	179
		6.6%	11.8%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	9.9%
	2. Fair	89	319	4	1	25	438
		15.5%	27.8%	30.8%	5.0%	41.0%	24.1%
	3. Good	204	348	4	12	11	579
		35.5%	30.3%	30.8%	60.0%	18.0%	31.9%
	4. Excellent	201	165	3	4	10	383
		35.0%	14.4%	23.1%	20.0%	16.4%	21.1%
	8. No Experience	42	180	2	3	9	236
		7.3%	15.7%	15.4%	15.0%	14.8%	13.0%
Total		574	1147	13	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Curbside recycling * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Curbside recycling	1. Poor	14	17	5	33	31	24	16	18	182
		12.7%	9.1%	2.3%	11.2%	9.8%	10.3%	10.3%	11.5%	9.9%
	2. Fair	28	44	60	61	74	52	47	37	444
		25.5%	23.5%	27.1%	20.7%	23.3%	22.4%	30.3%	23.6%	24.2%
	3. Good	48	68	70	89	104	67	49	40	585
		43.6%	36.4%	31.7%	30.3%	32.8%	28.9%	31.6%	25.5%	31.9%
	4. Excellent	8	30	64	67	68	46	30	47	384
		7.3%	16.0%	29.0%	22.8%	21.5%	19.8%	19.4%	29.9%	20.9%
	8. No Experience	12	28	22	44	40	43	13	15	239
		10.9%	15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	12.6%	18.5%	8.4%	9.6%	13.0%
Total		110	187	221	294	317	232	155	157	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Rat removal * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Rat removal	1. Poor	85	133	114	121	69	93	11	626
		32.4%	38.6%	31.1%	39.9%	34.0%	28.4%	39.3%	34.1%
	2. Fair	57	52	76	68	47	64	5	369
		21.8%	15.1%	20.8%	22.4%	23.2%	19.5%	17.9%	20.1%
	3. Good	45	48	40	41	25	59	2	260
		17.2%	13.9%	10.9%	13.5%	12.3%	18.0%	7.1%	14.2%
	4. Excellent	6	14	7	15	8	27	2	79
		2.3%	4.1%	1.9%	5.0%	3.9%	8.2%	7.1%	4.3%
	8. No Experience	69	98	129	58	54	85	8	501
		26.3%	28.4%	35.2%	19.1%	26.6%	25.9%	28.6%	27.3%
Total	262	345	366	303	203	328	28	1835	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Rate: Rat removal * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Rat removal	1. Poor	259	367	626
		31.6%	36.2%	34.2%
	2. Fair	195	174	369
		23.8%	17.2%	20.1%
	3. Good	135	124	259
		16.5%	12.2%	14.1%
	4. Excellent	32	47	79
8. No Experience		3.9%	4.6%	4.3%
		199	301	500
		24.3%	29.7%	27.3%
Total		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Rat removal * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Rat removal	1. Poor	137	458	5	0	19	619
		23.9%	39.9%	35.7%	.0%	31.1%	34.1%
	2. Fair	104	233	1	8	22	368
		18.2%	20.3%	7.1%	40.0%	36.1%	20.3%
	3. Good	65	182	0	2	10	259
		11.3%	15.9%	.0%	10.0%	16.4%	14.3%
	4. Excellent	23	55	0	0	0	78
		4.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
Total	8. No Experience	244	220	8	10	10	492
		42.6%	19.2%	57.1%	50.0%	16.4%	27.1%
		573	1148	14	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Rat removal * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Rat removal	1. Poor	25	60	67	97	66	108	60	66	625
		22.7%	32.1%	30.2%	33.2%	20.9%	46.2%	38.5%	41.8%	34.0%
	2. Fair	26	25	49	55	68	50	29	40	370
		23.6%	13.4%	22.1%	18.8%	21.5%	21.4%	18.6%	25.3%	20.2%
	3. Good	27	27	22	37	61	29	20	6	261
		24.5%	14.4%	9.9%	12.7%	19.3%	12.4%	12.8%	3.8%	14.2%
	4. Excellent	1	6	8	14	14	11	7	15	80
		.9%	3.2%	3.6%	4.8%	4.4%	4.7%	4.5%	9.5%	4.4%
Total	8. No Experience	31	69	76	89	107	36	40	31	500
		28.2%	36.9%	34.2%	30.5%	33.9%	15.4%	25.6%	19.6%	27.2%
		110	187	222	292	316	234	156	158	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: EMS/Ambulance service * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: EMS/Ambulance service	1. Poor	11	19	8	11	4	10	1	64
		4.2%	5.5%	2.2%	3.6%	2.0%	3.0%	3.7%	3.5%
	2. Fair	55	48	51	49	23	23	5	254
		21.2%	14.0%	13.9%	16.0%	11.4%	7.0%	18.5%	13.9%
	3. Good	82	81	115	100	63	119	4	564
		31.5%	23.6%	31.4%	32.7%	31.3%	36.2%	14.8%	30.8%
	4. Excellent	42	91	74	73	59	98	5	442
		16.2%	26.5%	20.2%	23.9%	29.4%	29.8%	18.5%	24.1%
Total	8. No Experience	70	104	118	73	52	79	12	508
		26.9%	30.3%	32.2%	23.9%	25.9%	24.0%	44.4%	27.7%
		260	343	366	306	201	329	27	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: EMS/Ambulance service * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: EMS/Ambulance service	1. Poor	25	40	65
		3.0%	4.0%	3.5%
	2. Fair	99	155	254
		12.1%	15.3%	13.9%
	3. Good	273	291	564
		33.3%	28.8%	30.8%
	4. Excellent	200	242	442
		24.4%	23.9%	24.1%
Total	8. No Experience	223	284	507
		27.2%	28.1%	27.7%
		820	1012	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: EMS/Ambulance service * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: EMS/Ambulance service	1. Poor	9	52	0	0	1	62
		1.6%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	3.4%
	2. Fair	46	192	0	7	7	252
		8.0%	16.7%	.0%	35.0%	11.5%	13.9%
	3. Good	135	404	1	3	19	562
		23.6%	35.2%	7.1%	15.0%	31.1%	30.9%
	4. Excellent	140	280	2	0	16	438
Total	8. No Experience	243	220	11	10	18	502
		42.4%	19.2%	78.6%	50.0%	29.5%	27.6%
		573	1148	14	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: EMS/Ambulance service * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: EMS/Ambulance service	1. Poor	6	4	4	13	6	8	14	4	64
		5.5%	2.1%	1.8%	4.4%	1.9%	3.4%	9.0%	2.6%	3.5%
	2. Fair	11	26	20	31	60	44	21	20	255
		10.0%	13.8%	9.0%	10.6%	18.9%	18.9%	13.5%	13.0%	13.9%
	3. Good	36	53	64	97	93	71	54	42	564
		32.7%	28.2%	28.8%	33.1%	29.3%	30.5%	34.8%	27.3%	30.8%
	4. Excellent	27	38	62	67	64	56	41	38	440
Total	8. No Experience	30	67	72	85	94	54	25	50	509
		27.3%	35.6%	32.4%	29.0%	29.7%	23.2%	16.1%	32.5%	27.8%
		110	188	222	293	317	233	155	154	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service	1. Poor	8 3.1%	41 11.9%	24 6.5%	17 5.6%	17 8.4%	15 4.6%	3 10.7%	125 6.8%
	2. Fair	76 29.6%	59 17.1%	83 22.6%	61 20.0%	33 16.3%	36 11.0%	3 10.7%	351 19.2%
	3. Good	61 23.7%	92 26.7%	116 31.6%	107 35.1%	74 36.6%	116 35.4%	13 46.4%	579 31.6%
	4. Excellent	48 18.7%	76 22.0%	94 25.6%	76 24.9%	45 22.3%	92 28.0%	6 21.4%	437 23.9%
	8. No Experience	64 24.9%	77 22.3%	50 13.6%	44 14.4%	33 16.3%	69 21.0%	3 10.7%	340 18.6%
		257	345	367	305	202	328	28	1832
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service	1. Poor	63 7.7%	61 6.0%	124 6.8%
	2. Fair	151 18.4%	199 19.7%	350 19.1%
	3. Good	239 29.1%	340 33.7%	579 31.6%
	4. Excellent	209 25.5%	228 22.6%	437 23.9%
	8. No Experience	159 19.4%	181 17.9%	340 18.6%
		821	1009	1830
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service	1. Poor	41	71	0	0	8	120
		7.2%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	13.3%	6.6%
	2. Fair	85	237	4	11	12	349
		14.8%	20.7%	30.8%	57.9%	20.0%	19.3%
	3. Good	190	357	3	3	17	570
		33.2%	31.2%	23.1%	15.8%	28.3%	31.5%
	4. Excellent	120	301	3	0	13	437
		20.9%	26.3%	23.1%	.0%	21.7%	24.2%
Total	8. No Experience	137	178	3	5	10	333
		23.9%	15.6%	23.1%	26.3%	16.7%	18.4%
		573	1144	13	19	60	1809
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: 311 (non-emergency) service	1. Poor	7	3	19	25	12	22	15	12	124
		6.4%	1.6%	8.6%	8.7%	3.8%	9.4%	9.6%	7.6%	6.8%
	2. Fair	24	37	32	51	67	47	24	38	351
		21.8%	19.8%	14.5%	17.6%	21.1%	20.2%	15.4%	24.1%	19.2%
	3. Good	28	46	87	106	107	73	45	37	581
		25.5%	24.6%	39.4%	36.7%	33.8%	31.3%	28.8%	23.4%	31.7%
	4. Excellent	23	47	57	65	68	51	43	40	437
		20.9%	25.1%	25.8%	22.5%	21.5%	21.9%	27.6%	25.3%	23.9%
Total	8. No Experience	28	54	26	42	63	40	29	31	339
		25.5%	28.9%	11.8%	14.5%	19.9%	17.2%	18.6%	19.6%	18.5%
		110	187	221	289	317	233	156	158	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Housing code enforcement * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Housing code enforcement	1. Poor	36	62	76	80	49	73	4	380
		14.5%	18.1%	21.0%	26.8%	24.5%	22.5%	14.8%	21.1%
	2. Fair	117	132	134	100	61	85	8	637
		47.2%	38.5%	37.0%	33.4%	30.5%	26.2%	29.6%	35.3%
	3. Good	35	66	52	45	34	61	3	296
		14.1%	19.2%	14.4%	15.1%	17.0%	18.8%	11.1%	16.4%
	4. Excellent	6	16	17	21	12	17	1	90
		2.4%	4.7%	4.7%	7.0%	6.0%	5.2%	3.7%	5.0%
	8. No Experience	54	67	83	53	44	89	11	401
		21.8%	19.5%	22.9%	17.7%	22.0%	27.4%	40.7%	22.2%
Total	248	343	362	299	200	325	27	1804	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Rate: Housing code enforcement * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Housing code enforcement	1. Poor	157	223	380
		19.2%	22.6%	21.1%
	2. Fair	305	332	637
		37.3%	33.7%	35.3%
	3. Good	152	143	295
		18.6%	14.5%	16.4%
	4. Excellent	45	44	89
Total		5.5%	4.5%	4.9%
	8. No Experience	158	244	402
		19.3%	24.7%	22.3%
Total		817	986	1803
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Housing code enforcement * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Rate: Housing code enforcement	1. Poor	105	255	4	0	11	375
		18.4%	22.6%	30.8%	.0%	19.6%	21.0%
	2. Fair	191	403	1	15	21	631
		33.5%	35.8%	7.7%	83.3%	37.5%	35.4%
	3. Good	75	201	2	0	16	294
		13.2%	17.9%	15.4%	.0%	28.6%	16.5%
	4. Excellent	19	66	0	0	4	89
Total	8. No Experience	180	201	6	3	4	394
		31.6%	17.9%	46.2%	16.7%	7.1%	22.1%
		570	1126	13	18	56	1783
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Housing code enforcement * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Rate: Housing code enforcement	1. Poor	24	28	34	57	56	54	35	30	62	380
		22.0%	15.5%	16.0%	19.7%	18.1%	23.2%	22.6%	19.5%	39.0%	21.1%
	2. Fair	50	67	84	108	107	85	53	47	37	638
		45.9%	37.0%	39.6%	37.2%	34.5%	36.5%	34.2%	30.5%	23.3%	35.4%
	3. Good	17	34	20	42	55	37	31	28	31	295
		15.6%	18.8%	9.4%	14.5%	17.7%	15.9%	20.0%	18.2%	19.5%	16.4%
	4. Excellent	7	16	6	9	16	13	6	10	6	89
		6.4%	8.8%	2.8%	3.1%	5.2%	5.6%	3.9%	6.5%	3.8%	4.9%
8. No Experience	11	36	68	74	76	44	30	39	23	401	
		10.1%	19.9%	32.1%	25.5%	24.5%	18.9%	19.4%	25.3%	14.5%	22.2%
	Total	109	181	212	290	310	233	155	154	159	1803
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Water and sewer services * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Water and sewer services	1. Poor	43	33	47	53	25	34	7	242
		16.5%	9.6%	12.8%	17.4%	12.4%	10.4%	25.0%	13.2%
	2. Fair	76	123	99	83	48	72	5	506
		29.1%	35.8%	27.0%	27.2%	23.8%	22.0%	17.9%	27.6%
	3. Good	96	100	157	108	82	147	10	700
		36.8%	29.1%	42.9%	35.4%	40.6%	45.0%	35.7%	38.2%
	4. Excellent	20	59	45	43	34	52	2	255
		7.7%	17.2%	12.3%	14.1%	16.8%	15.9%	7.1%	13.9%
	8. No Experience	26	29	18	18	13	22	4	130
		10.0%	8.4%	4.9%	5.9%	6.4%	6.7%	14.3%	7.1%
Total		261	344	366	305	202	327	28	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Water and sewer services * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Water and sewer services	1. Poor	111	129	240
		13.5%	12.7%	13.1%
	2. Fair	204	304	508
		24.8%	30.0%	27.7%
	3. Good	327	373	700
		39.8%	36.8%	38.2%
	4. Excellent	134	122	256
		16.3%	12.0%	14.0%
	8. No Experience	45	85	130
		5.5%	8.4%	7.1%
Total		821	1013	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Water and sewer services * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Water and sewer services	1. Poor	60	165	0	1	10	236
		10.5%	14.4%	.0%	5.0%	16.4%	13.0%
	2. Fair	110	367	4	6	18	505
		19.2%	32.0%	28.6%	30.0%	29.5%	27.8%
	3. Good	239	410	8	11	25	693
		41.7%	35.7%	57.1%	55.0%	41.0%	38.2%
	4. Excellent	111	138	1	0	5	255
		19.4%	12.0%	7.1%	.0%	8.2%	14.0%
Total	8. No Experience	53	68	1	2	3	127
		9.2%	5.9%	7.1%	10.0%	4.9%	7.0%
		573	1148	14	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Water and sewer services * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Water and sewer services	1. Poor	8	12	50	41	31	42	16	17	240
		7.3%	6.4%	22.5%	14.0%	9.8%	17.9%	10.3%	10.9%	13.1%
	2. Fair	29	60	67	83	77	57	59	40	507
		26.6%	32.1%	30.2%	28.3%	24.3%	24.4%	38.1%	25.6%	27.6%
	3. Good	36	82	71	112	135	85	61	54	700
		33.0%	43.9%	32.0%	38.2%	42.6%	36.3%	39.4%	34.6%	38.2%
	4. Excellent	23	17	27	39	45	31	11	36	255
		21.1%	9.1%	12.2%	13.3%	14.2%	13.2%	7.1%	23.1%	13.9%
Total	8. No Experience	13	16	7	18	29	19	8	9	132
		11.9%	8.6%	3.2%	6.1%	9.1%	8.1%	5.2%	5.8%	7.2%
		109	187	222	293	317	234	155	156	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Tree planting/maintenance * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: Tree planting/maintenance	1. Poor	49	51	55	54	26	38	3	276
		18.8%	14.9%	14.9%	17.6%	13.0%	11.6%	10.7%	15.1%
	2. Fair	93	104	154	113	67	82	9	622
		35.6%	30.3%	41.8%	36.9%	33.5%	25.1%	32.1%	33.9%
	3. Good	59	88	79	72	60	101	8	467
		22.6%	25.7%	21.5%	23.5%	30.0%	30.9%	28.6%	25.5%
	4. Excellent	17	36	33	32	18	37	3	176
		6.5%	10.5%	9.0%	10.5%	9.0%	11.3%	10.7%	9.6%
	8. No Experience	43	64	47	35	29	69	5	292
		16.5%	18.7%	12.8%	11.4%	14.5%	21.1%	17.9%	15.9%
Total		261	343	368	306	200	327	28	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Tree planting/maintenance * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Tree planting/maintenance	1. Poor	131	144	275
		16.0%	14.2%	15.0%
	2. Fair	289	333	622
		35.2%	32.9%	34.0%
	3. Good	208	259	467
		25.3%	25.6%	25.5%
	4. Excellent	95	82	177
		11.6%	8.1%	9.7%
	8. No Experience	98	193	291
		11.9%	19.1%	15.9%
Total		821	1011	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Tree planting/maintenance * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Tree planting/maintenance	1. Poor	74	185	1	2	12	274
		12.9%	16.1%	7.7%	9.5%	20.0%	15.1%
	2. Fair	181	404	1	6	21	613
		31.6%	35.3%	7.7%	28.6%	35.0%	33.8%
	3. Good	185	253	2	10	13	463
		32.3%	22.1%	15.4%	47.6%	21.7%	25.5%
	4. Excellent	43	128	0	0	5	176
		7.5%	11.2%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	9.7%
Total	8. No Experience	90	176	9	3	9	287
		15.7%	15.4%	69.2%	14.3%	15.0%	15.8%
		573	1146	13	21	60	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Tree planting/maintenance * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Tree planting/maintenance	1. Poor	9	18	36	49	41	43	24	24	277
		8.2%	9.6%	16.2%	16.8%	12.9%	18.5%	15.6%	15.2%	15.1%
	2. Fair	30	94	81	88	87	76	56	58	622
		27.3%	50.3%	36.5%	30.1%	27.4%	32.6%	36.4%	36.7%	33.9%
	3. Good	42	33	67	76	100	48	29	32	467
		38.2%	17.6%	30.2%	26.0%	31.4%	20.6%	18.8%	20.3%	25.5%
	4. Excellent	16	11	18	25	31	23	20	17	177
		14.5%	5.9%	8.1%	8.6%	9.7%	9.9%	13.0%	10.8%	9.7%
Total	8. No Experience	13	31	20	54	59	43	25	27	291
		11.8%	16.6%	9.0%	18.5%	18.6%	18.5%	16.2%	17.1%	15.9%
		110	187	222	292	318	233	154	158	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Libraries * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Rate: Libraries	1. Poor	12	11	19	15	14	15	86
		4.6%	3.2%	5.2%	4.9%	7.0%	4.6%	4.7%
	2. Fair	53	59	74	63	37	34	324
		20.4%	17.2%	20.2%	20.7%	18.4%	10.3%	17.7%
	3. Good	114	116	148	106	65	119	676
		43.8%	33.8%	40.3%	34.8%	32.3%	36.2%	36.9%
	4. Excellent	69	95	96	91	62	99	524
		26.5%	27.7%	26.2%	29.8%	30.8%	30.1%	28.6%
Total	8. No Experience	12	62	30	30	23	62	223
		4.6%	18.1%	8.2%	9.8%	11.4%	18.8%	12.2%
		260	343	367	305	201	329	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Libraries * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: Libraries	1. Poor	41	45	86
		5.0%	4.4%	4.7%
	2. Fair	166	159	325
		20.3%	15.7%	17.7%
	3. Good	304	371	675
		37.1%	36.6%	36.8%
	4. Excellent	201	323	524
		24.5%	31.9%	28.6%
Total	8. No Experience	107	115	222
		13.1%	11.4%	12.1%
		819	1013	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Libraries * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Rate: Libraries	1. Poor	23	63	0	0	0	86
		4.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%
	2. Fair	71	228	2	9	12	322
		12.4%	19.9%	15.4%	45.0%	20.0%	17.8%
	3. Good	205	430	6	5	23	669
		35.8%	37.5%	46.2%	25.0%	38.3%	36.9%
	4. Excellent	175	326	2	3	13	519
		30.5%	28.4%	15.4%	15.0%	21.7%	28.6%
Total	8. No Experience	99	100	3	3	12	217
		17.3%	8.7%	23.1%	15.0%	20.0%	12.0%
		573	1147	13	20	60	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: Libraries * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Rate: Libraries	1. Poor	2	4	5	13	22	19	8	1	85
		1.8%	2.2%	2.3%	4.4%	6.9%	8.2%	5.2%	.6%	4.6%
	2. Fair	16	28	40	62	59	52	28	15	324
		14.7%	15.1%	18.0%	21.2%	18.6%	22.4%	18.1%	9.6%	17.7%
	3. Good	34	78	87	120	104	66	61	63	675
		31.2%	41.9%	39.2%	41.0%	32.8%	28.4%	39.4%	40.1%	36.8%
	4. Excellent	47	47	68	67	91	58	44	54	526
		43.1%	25.3%	30.6%	22.9%	28.7%	25.0%	28.4%	34.4%	28.7%
Total	8. No Experience	10	29	22	31	41	37	14	24	222
		9.2%	15.6%	9.9%	10.6%	12.9%	15.9%	9.0%	15.3%	12.1%
		109	186	222	293	317	232	155	157	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City-run pools * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: City-run pools	1. Poor	31	32	45	36	18	23	4	189
		11.9%	9.3%	12.3%	11.8%	8.9%	7.0%	14.3%	10.3%
	2. Fair	78	81	85	73	37	53	3	410
		30.0%	23.5%	23.2%	23.9%	18.3%	16.2%	10.7%	22.4%
	3. Good	29	66	42	67	32	43	5	284
		11.2%	19.2%	11.5%	22.0%	15.8%	13.1%	17.9%	15.5%
	4. Excellent	17	19	19	14	13	14	1	97
		6.5%	5.5%	5.2%	4.6%	6.4%	4.3%	3.6%	5.3%
8. No Experience		105	146	175	115	102	194	15	852
		40.4%	42.4%	47.8%	37.7%	50.5%	59.3%	53.6%	46.5%
	Total	260	344	366	305	202	327	28	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City-run pools * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: City-run pools	1. Poor	74	114	188
		9.0%	11.3%	10.3%
	2. Fair	179	231	410
		21.8%	22.8%	22.4%
	3. Good	140	143	283
		17.1%	14.1%	15.5%
	4. Excellent	40	57	97
		4.9%	5.6%	5.3%
Total	8. No Experience	387	466	853
		47.2%	46.1%	46.6%
		820	1011	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City-run pools * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Rate: City-run pools	1. Poor	34	148	0	0	5	187
		6.0%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	10.3%
	2. Fair	63	318	2	8	14	405
		11.0%	27.7%	15.4%	40.0%	23.3%	22.4%
	3. Good	43	230	1	0	8	282
		7.5%	20.1%	7.7%	.0%	13.3%	15.6%
	4. Excellent	19	73	1	2	2	97
		3.3%	6.4%	7.7%	10.0%	3.3%	5.4%
	8. No Experience	412	378	9	10	31	840
		72.2%	33.0%	69.2%	50.0%	51.7%	46.4%
	Total	571	1147	13	20	60	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City-run pools * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Rate: City-run pools	1. Poor	7	13	15	35	21	32	22	13	32	190
		6.4%	7.0%	6.8%	12.1%	6.7%	13.7%	14.1%	8.3%	19.9%	10.4%
	2. Fair	30	34	53	72	69	60	32	29	30	409
		27.3%	18.3%	24.0%	24.8%	21.9%	25.6%	20.5%	18.5%	18.6%	22.3%
	3. Good	24	19	30	36	58	32	21	17	44	281
		21.8%	10.2%	13.6%	12.4%	18.4%	13.7%	13.5%	10.8%	27.3%	15.4%
	4. Excellent	6	14	13	8	12	12	10	13	10	98
		5.5%	7.5%	5.9%	2.8%	3.8%	5.1%	6.4%	8.3%	6.2%	5.4%
	8. No Experience	43	106	110	139	155	98	71	85	45	852
		39.1%	57.0%	49.8%	47.9%	49.2%	41.9%	45.5%	54.1%	28.0%	46.6%
Total	110	186	221	290	315	234	156	157	161	1830	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Rate: City parks * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Rate: City parks	1. Poor	33	27	41	26	16	22	0	165
		12.7%	7.8%	11.2%	8.6%	8.0%	6.7%	.0%	9.0%
	2. Fair	93	142	111	109	66	67	8	596
		35.8%	41.3%	30.3%	35.9%	32.8%	20.5%	29.6%	32.6%
	3. Good	93	107	137	105	72	119	9	642
		35.8%	31.1%	37.4%	34.5%	35.8%	36.4%	33.3%	35.1%
	4. Excellent	26	41	40	32	22	42	2	205
		10.0%	11.9%	10.9%	10.5%	10.9%	12.8%	7.4%	11.2%
	8. No Experience	15	27	37	32	25	77	8	221
		5.8%	7.8%	10.1%	10.5%	12.4%	23.5%	29.6%	12.1%
Total		260	344	366	304	201	327	27	1829
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City parks * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Rate: City parks	1. Poor	69	97	166
		8.4%	9.6%	9.1%
	2. Fair	273	323	596
		33.3%	32.0%	32.6%
	3. Good	299	341	640
		36.5%	33.8%	35.0%
	4. Excellent	106	100	206
		12.9%	9.9%	11.3%
Total	8. No Experience	73	149	222
		8.9%	14.8%	12.1%
		820	1010	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City parks * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Rate: City parks	1. Poor	29	129	0	0	6	164
		5.1%	11.3%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	9.1%
	2. Fair	187	359	9	13	21	589
		32.7%	31.4%	69.2%	65.0%	34.4%	32.5%
	3. Good	207	401	1	3	23	635
		36.2%	35.1%	7.7%	15.0%	37.7%	35.1%
	4. Excellent	74	124	3	0	3	204
		12.9%	10.8%	23.1%	.0%	4.9%	11.3%
Total	8. No Experience	75	131	0	4	8	218
		13.1%	11.5%	.0%	20.0%	13.1%	12.0%
		572	1144	13	20	61	1810
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate: City parks * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total	
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast		West
Rate: City parks	1. Poor	8	5	24	23	24	27	20	8	27	166
		7.3%	2.7%	10.9%	7.9%	7.6%	11.6%	12.8%	5.1%	16.8%	9.1%
	2. Fair	34	68	52	110	98	82	55	54	43	596
		31.2%	36.6%	23.5%	37.7%	31.0%	35.2%	35.3%	34.6%	26.7%	32.6%
	3. Good	45	64	93	96	115	73	35	51	69	641
		41.3%	34.4%	42.1%	32.9%	36.4%	31.3%	22.4%	32.7%	42.9%	35.0%
	4. Excellent	17	16	29	29	26	24	22	31	11	205
		15.6%	8.6%	13.1%	9.9%	8.2%	10.3%	14.1%	19.9%	6.8%	11.2%
8. No Experience		5	33	23	34	53	27	24	12	11	222
		4.6%	17.7%	10.4%	11.6%	16.8%	11.6%	15.4%	7.7%	6.8%	12.1%
	Total	109	186	221	292	316	233	156	156	161	1830
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal dumping * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How big a problem: Illegal dumping	1. Not a problem	7 2.7%	28 8.1%	22 6.0%	15 4.9%	11 5.4%	30 9.1%	0 .0%
	2. A moderate problem	97 37.3%	97 28.2%	124 33.8%	68 22.2%	48 23.8%	63 19.1%	6 21.4%
	3. A serious problem	41 15.8%	84 24.4%	89 24.3%	95 31.0%	72 35.6%	112 33.9%	13 46.4%
	4. A very serious problem	87 33.5%	91 26.5%	92 25.1%	105 34.3%	52 25.7%	84 25.5%	7 25.0%
	8. Don't Know	28 10.8%	44 12.8%	40 10.9%	23 7.5%	19 9.4%	41 12.4%	2 7.1%
	Total	260 100.0%	344 100.0%	367 100.0%	306 100.0%	202 100.0%	330 100.0%	28 100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal dumping * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Illegal dumping	1. Not a problem	50 6.1%	63 6.2%	113 6.2%
	2. A moderate problem	282 34.3%	220 21.7%	502 27.4%
	3. A serious problem	218 26.6%	288 28.4%	506 27.6%
	4. A very serious problem	198 24.1%	319 31.5%	517 28.2%
	8. Don't Know	73 8.9%	124 12.2%	197 10.7%
	Total	821 100.0%	1014 100.0%	1835 100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal dumping * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How big a problem: Illegal dumping	1. Not a problem	45	60	2	1	2	110
		7.8%	5.2%	15.4%	5.0%	3.3%	6.1%
	2. A moderate problem	217	250	4	12	16	499
		37.7%	21.8%	30.8%	60.0%	26.2%	27.5%
	3. A serious problem	118	359	3	0	19	499
		20.5%	31.3%	23.1%	.0%	31.1%	27.5%
	4. A very serious problem	95	397	1	2	19	514
		16.5%	34.6%	7.7%	10.0%	31.1%	28.3%
	8. Don't Know	100	81	3	5	5	194
		17.4%	7.1%	23.1%	25.0%	8.2%	10.7%
Total		575	1147	13	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal dumping * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Illegal dumping	1. Not a problem	8	4	9	13	20	14	9	26	10	113
		7.3%	2.1%	4.1%	4.5%	6.3%	6.0%	5.8%	16.5%	6.3%	6.2%
	2. A moderate problem	33	82	60	84	80	66	30	42	25	502
		30.0%	43.4%	27.0%	28.8%	25.2%	28.3%	19.4%	26.6%	15.6%	27.3%
	3. A serious problem	19	44	36	88	98	69	59	43	49	505
		17.3%	23.3%	16.2%	30.1%	30.9%	29.6%	38.1%	27.2%	30.6%	27.5%
	4. A very serious problem	26	46	88	79	78	65	39	29	69	519
		23.6%	24.3%	39.6%	27.1%	24.6%	27.9%	25.2%	18.4%	43.1%	28.3%
	8. Don't Know	24	13	29	28	41	19	18	18	7	197
		21.8%	6.9%	13.1%	9.6%	12.9%	8.2%	11.6%	11.4%	4.4%	10.7%
Total		110	189	222	292	317	233	155	158	160	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal drug use * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Illegal drug use	1. Not a problem	2 .8%	11 3.2%	9 2.5%	3 1.0%	3 1.5%	11 3.3%	0 .0%	39 2.1%
	2. A moderate problem	18 6.9%	22 6.4%	16 4.4%	16 5.2%	14 6.9%	13 4.0%	0 .0%	99 5.4%
	3. A serious problem	63 24.2%	86 25.1%	104 28.3%	80 26.1%	57 28.1%	100 30.4%	7 25.0%	497 27.1%
	4. A very serious problem	171 65.8%	216 63.0%	230 62.7%	196 64.1%	124 61.1%	191 58.1%	19 67.9%	1147 62.5%
	8. Don't Know	6 2.3%	8 2.3%	8 2.2%	11 3.6%	5 2.5%	14 4.3%	2 7.1%	54 2.9%
	Total	260 100.0%	343 100.0%	367 100.0%	306 100.0%	203 100.0%	329 100.0%	28 100.0%	1836 100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal drug use * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Illegal drug use	1. Not a problem	18 2.2%	22 2.2%	40 2.2%
	2. A moderate problem	58 7.1%	40 3.9%	98 5.3%
	3. A serious problem	276 33.6%	221 21.8%	497 27.1%
	4. A very serious problem	444 54.1%	702 69.2%	1146 62.5%
	8. Don't Know	25 3.0%	29 2.9%	54 2.9%
	Total	821 100.0%	1014 100.0%	1835 100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal drug use * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Illegal drug use	1. Not a problem	18 3.1%	19 1.7%	0 .0%	2 10.0%	2 3.2%	41 2.3%
	2. A moderate problem	39 6.8%	52 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 11.3%	98 5.4%
	3. A serious problem	182 31.8%	277 24.1%	6 46.2%	14 70.0%	15 24.2%	494 27.2%
	4. A very serious problem	306 53.4%	784 68.2%	7 53.8%	4 20.0%	32 51.6%	1133 62.3%
	8. Don't Know	28 4.9%	18 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 9.7%	52 2.9%
	Total	573 100.0%	1150 100.0%	13 100.0%	20 100.0%	62 100.0%	1818 100.0%

How big a problem: Illegal drug use * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total	
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast		West
How big a problem: Illegal drug use	1. Not a problem	4	2	7	2	5	1	5	14	1	41
		3.6%	1.1%	3.2%	.7%	1.6%	.4%	3.2%	8.9%	.6%	2.2%
	2. A moderate problem	9	5	4	16	18	19	12	10	6	99
		8.2%	2.7%	1.8%	5.5%	5.7%	8.1%	7.7%	6.3%	3.8%	5.4%
	3. A serious problem	27	57	63	79	112	48	28	42	41	497
		24.5%	30.3%	28.4%	27.0%	35.3%	20.5%	17.9%	26.6%	25.6%	27.0%
	4. A very serious problem	66	119	143	193	171	156	108	79	110	1145
		60.0%	63.3%	64.4%	65.9%	53.9%	66.7%	69.2%	50.0%	68.8%	62.3%
8. Don't Know	4	5	5	3	11	10	3	13	2	56	
	3.6%	2.7%	2.3%	1.0%	3.5%	4.3%	1.9%	8.2%	1.3%	3.0%	
Total		110	188	222	293	317	234	156	158	160	1838
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Traffic congestion * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Traffic congestion	1. Not a problem	66	76	57	38	20	55	3	315
		25.4%	22.2%	15.5%	12.4%	10.0%	16.7%	10.7%	17.2%
	2. A moderate problem	121	144	158	133	84	118	12	770
		46.5%	42.0%	43.1%	43.5%	41.8%	35.9%	42.9%	42.0%
	3. A serious problem	37	71	104	66	54	80	8	420
		14.2%	20.7%	28.3%	21.6%	26.9%	24.3%	28.6%	22.9%
	4. A very serious problem	22	43	28	53	37	37	2	222
		8.5%	12.5%	7.6%	17.3%	18.4%	11.2%	7.1%	12.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	14	9	20	16	6	39	3	107
		5.4%	2.6%	5.4%	5.2%	3.0%	11.9%	10.7%	5.8%
		260	343	367	306	201	329	28	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Traffic congestion * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Traffic congestion	1. Not a problem	137	179	316
		16.7%	17.7%	17.2%
	2. A moderate problem	358	412	770
		43.7%	40.7%	42.0%
	3. A serious problem	219	199	418
		26.7%	19.6%	22.8%
	4. A very serious problem	79	143	222
		9.6%	14.1%	12.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	27	80	107
		3.3%	7.9%	5.8%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Traffic congestion * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Traffic congestion	1. Not a problem	87	210	1	5	12	315
		15.2%	18.3%	7.7%	25.0%	19.7%	17.3%
	2. A moderate problem	262	460	9	4	27	762
		45.6%	40.0%	69.2%	20.0%	44.3%	41.9%
	3. A serious problem	153	242	1	9	10	415
		26.7%	21.1%	7.7%	45.0%	16.4%	22.8%
	4. A very serious problem	52	154	2	2	11	221
Total	8. Don't Know	20	83	0	0	1	104
		3.5%	7.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	5.7%
		574	1149	13	20	61	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Traffic congestion * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Traffic congestion	1. Not a problem	16	36	27	51	54	56	31	24	22	317
		14.5%	19.3%	12.2%	17.3%	17.0%	24.0%	19.9%	15.3%	13.7%	17.3%
	2. A moderate problem	53	68	102	116	143	84	62	70	73	771
		48.2%	36.4%	46.2%	39.5%	45.1%	36.1%	39.7%	44.6%	45.3%	42.0%
	3. A serious problem	17	58	53	64	75	49	30	34	38	418
		15.5%	31.0%	24.0%	21.8%	23.7%	21.0%	19.2%	21.7%	23.6%	22.8%
	4. A very serious problem	17	18	27	42	28	29	25	19	18	223
		15.5%	9.6%	12.2%	14.3%	8.8%	12.4%	16.0%	12.1%	11.2%	12.1%
	8. Don't Know	7	7	12	21	17	15	8	10	10	107
	6.4%	3.7%	5.4%	7.1%	5.4%	6.4%	5.1%	6.4%	6.2%	5.8%	
Total		110	187	221	294	317	233	156	157	161	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1. Not a problem	22	60	43	25	14	26	3	193
		8.5%	17.4%	11.7%	8.2%	6.9%	7.9%	10.7%	10.5%
	2. A moderate problem	82	103	116	75	49	75	4	504
		31.5%	29.9%	31.6%	24.5%	24.1%	22.7%	14.3%	27.4%
	3. A serious problem	51	90	96	107	74	107	8	533
		19.6%	26.1%	26.2%	35.0%	36.5%	32.4%	28.6%	29.0%
	4. A very serious problem	95	83	93	89	60	88	9	517
		36.5%	24.1%	25.3%	29.1%	29.6%	26.7%	32.1%	28.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	10	9	19	10	6	34	4	92
		3.8%	2.6%	5.2%	3.3%	3.0%	10.3%	14.3%	5.0%
		260	345	367	306	203	330	28	1839
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1. Not a problem	118	73	191
		14.4%	7.2%	10.4%
	2. A moderate problem	229	275	504
		27.9%	27.1%	27.5%
	3. A serious problem	239	293	532
		29.1%	28.9%	29.0%
	4. A very serious problem	203	313	516
		24.7%	30.8%	28.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	32	61	93
		3.9%	6.0%	5.1%
		821	1015	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1. Not a problem	73	103	1	2	12	191
		12.7%	9.0%	7.7%	10.0%	19.7%	10.5%
	2. A moderate problem	196	272	9	14	8	499
		34.2%	23.7%	69.2%	70.0%	13.1%	27.5%
	3. A serious problem	152	356	2	2	13	525
		26.5%	31.0%	15.4%	10.0%	21.3%	28.9%
	4. A very serious problem	133	352	1	2	23	511
		23.2%	30.6%	7.7%	10.0%	37.7%	28.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	19	66	0	0	5	90
		3.3%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	8.2%	5.0%
		573	1149	13	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How big a problem: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1. Not a problem	12	29	21	15	43	23	19	24	191
		10.9%	15.4%	9.5%	5.1%	13.6%	9.8%	12.2%	15.4%	10.4%
	2. A moderate problem	27	50	54	79	97	67	41	48	503
		24.5%	26.6%	24.4%	26.9%	30.6%	28.6%	26.3%	30.8%	27.4%
	3. A serious problem	31	50	52	92	86	82	53	37	532
		28.2%	26.6%	23.5%	31.3%	27.1%	35.0%	34.0%	23.7%	29.0%
	4. A very serious problem	29	51	86	96	70	47	34	42	517
		26.4%	27.1%	38.9%	32.7%	22.1%	20.1%	21.8%	26.9%	28.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	11	8	8	12	21	15	9	5	93
		10.0%	4.3%	3.6%	4.1%	6.6%	6.4%	5.8%	3.2%	5.1%
		110	188	221	294	317	234	156	156	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Violent crime * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How big a problem: Violent crime	1. Not a problem	7 2.7%	3 .9%	4 1.1%	1 .3%	3 1.5%	9 2.7%	27 1.5%
	2. A moderate problem	8 3.1%	24 7.0%	29 7.9%	23 7.5%	13 6.4%	21 6.4%	122 6.6%
	3. A serious problem	65 25.1%	88 25.7%	114 31.1%	107 34.9%	61 30.2%	111 33.7%	555 32.1%
	4. A very serious problem	168 64.9%	213 62.1%	215 58.6%	171 55.7%	121 59.9%	179 54.4%	1081 50.0%
	8. Don't Know	11 4.2%	15 4.4%	5 1.4%	5 1.6%	4 2.0%	9 2.7%	50 2.7%
		259	343	367	307	202	329	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total							

How big a problem: Violent crime * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Violent crime	1. Not a problem	15 1.8%	12 1.2%	27 1.5%
	2. A moderate problem	71 8.6%	51 5.0%	122 6.6%
	3. A serious problem	268 32.6%	286 28.2%	554 30.2%
	4. A very serious problem	441 53.7%	642 63.3%	1083 59.0%
	8. Don't Know	26 3.2%	24 2.4%	50 2.7%
		821	1015	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total			

How big a problem: Violent crime * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How big a problem: Violent crime	1. Not a problem	11 1.9%	9 .8%	0 .0%	2 10.0%	5 8.2%	27 1.5%
	2. A moderate problem	60 10.5%	55 4.8%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	5 8.2%	121 6.7%
	3. A serious problem	184 32.1%	333 29.0%	3 23.1%	10 50.0%	20 32.8%	550 30.3%
	4. A very serious problem	294 51.2%	735 64.0%	8 61.5%	5 25.0%	26 42.6%	1068 58.8%
	8. Don't Know	25 4.4%	16 1.4%	1 7.7%	3 15.0%	5 8.2%	50 2.8%
	Total	574 100.0%	1148 100.0%	13 100.0%	20 100.0%	61 100.0%	1816 100.0%

How big a problem: Violent crime * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
How big a problem: Violent crime	1. Not a problem	4	0	4	5	4	6	2	2	0	27
		3.6%	.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	1.5%
	2. A moderate problem	19	11	12	12	21	10	10	19	9	123
		17.3%	5.9%	5.4%	4.1%	6.6%	4.3%	6.4%	12.1%	5.6%	6.7%
	3. A serious problem	40	38	56	90	109	77	47	62	34	553
		36.4%	20.3%	25.2%	30.7%	34.4%	33.0%	30.1%	39.5%	21.3%	30.1%
	4. A very serious problem	47	129	149	181	162	135	96	68	116	1083
		42.7%	69.0%	67.1%	61.8%	51.1%	57.9%	61.5%	43.3%	72.5%	59.0%
8. Don't Know	0	9	1	5	21	5	1	6	1	49	
	.0%	4.8%	.5%	1.7%	6.6%	2.1%	.6%	3.8%	.6%	2.7%	
Total		110	187	222	293	317	233	156	157	160	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Property crime * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Property crime	1. Not a problem	31	28	12	28	13	26	1	139
		11.9%	8.2%	3.3%	9.2%	6.4%	7.9%	3.6%	7.6%
	2. A moderate problem	88	89	113	96	58	86	7	537
		33.8%	25.9%	30.8%	31.4%	28.6%	26.1%	25.0%	29.2%
	3. A serious problem	64	121	127	96	72	111	7	598
		24.6%	35.3%	34.6%	31.4%	35.5%	33.6%	25.0%	32.6%
	4. A very serious problem	61	72	89	72	44	63	6	407
		23.5%	21.0%	24.3%	23.5%	21.7%	19.1%	21.4%	22.2%
	8. Don't Know	16	33	26	14	16	44	7	156
		6.2%	9.6%	7.1%	4.6%	7.9%	13.3%	25.0%	8.5%
Total		260	343	367	306	203	330	28	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Property crime * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Property crime	1. Not a problem	68	71	139
		8.3%	7.0%	7.6%
	2. A moderate problem	258	278	536
		31.4%	27.4%	29.2%
	3. A serious problem	265	332	597
		32.3%	32.7%	32.5%
	4. A very serious problem	177	230	407
		21.6%	22.7%	22.2%
	8. Don't Know	53	104	157
		6.5%	10.2%	8.6%
Total		821	1015	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Property crime * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Property crime	1. Not a problem	30	92	0	10	7	139
		5.2%	8.0%	.0%	50.0%	11.5%	7.6%
	2. A moderate problem	175	330	4	3	22	534
		30.5%	28.7%	30.8%	15.0%	36.1%	29.4%
	3. A serious problem	212	352	4	1	19	588
		36.9%	30.6%	30.8%	5.0%	31.1%	32.3%
	4. A very serious problem	117	273	4	2	10	406
		20.4%	23.7%	30.8%	10.0%	16.4%	22.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	40	103	1	4	3	151
		7.0%	9.0%	7.7%	20.0%	4.9%	8.3%
		574	1150	13	20	61	1818
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Property crime * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Property crime	1. Not a problem	13	6	10	20	24	25	21	10	9	138
		11.8%	3.2%	4.5%	6.8%	7.5%	10.8%	13.5%	6.4%	5.6%	7.5%
	2. A moderate problem	25	66	67	90	85	65	42	50	46	536
		22.7%	35.1%	30.2%	30.7%	26.7%	28.0%	27.1%	31.8%	28.6%	29.2%
	3. A serious problem	40	42	74	92	120	70	58	47	55	598
		36.4%	22.3%	33.3%	31.4%	37.7%	30.2%	37.4%	29.9%	34.2%	32.6%
	4. A very serious problem	20	60	56	72	51	51	24	35	39	408
		18.2%	31.9%	25.2%	24.6%	16.0%	22.0%	15.5%	22.3%	24.2%	22.2%
	8. Don't Know	12	14	15	19	38	21	10	15	12	156
		10.9%	7.4%	6.8%	6.5%	11.9%	9.1%	6.5%	9.6%	7.5%	8.5%
Total		110	188	222	293	318	232	155	157	161	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Graffiti * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Graffiti	1. Not a problem	102	105	90	69	44	79	6	495
		39.2%	30.5%	24.6%	22.5%	21.8%	24.0%	21.4%	27.0%
	2. A moderate problem	79	125	164	128	88	116	11	711
		30.4%	36.3%	44.8%	41.8%	43.6%	35.3%	39.3%	38.7%
	3. A serious problem	34	51	54	50	37	54	3	283
		13.1%	14.8%	14.8%	16.3%	18.3%	16.4%	10.7%	15.4%
	4. A very serious problem	11	36	27	38	19	30	1	162
		4.2%	10.5%	7.4%	12.4%	9.4%	9.1%	3.6%	8.8%
	8. Don't Know	34	27	31	21	14	50	7	184
Total		13.1%	7.8%	8.5%	6.9%	6.9%	15.2%	25.0%	10.0%
		260	344	366	306	202	329	28	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Graffiti * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Graffiti	1. Not a problem	256	239	495
		31.2%	23.6%	27.0%
	2. A moderate problem	334	376	710
		40.7%	37.1%	38.7%
	3. A serious problem	111	171	282
		13.5%	16.9%	15.4%
	4. A very serious problem	64	98	162
Total		7.8%	9.7%	8.8%
	8. Don't Know	55	129	184
		6.7%	12.7%	10.0%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Graffiti * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How big a problem: Graffiti	1. Not a problem	144	315	3	9	19	490
		25.1%	27.4%	23.1%	45.0%	31.1%	27.0%
	2. A moderate problem	261	423	4	4	13	705
		45.5%	36.8%	30.8%	20.0%	21.3%	38.8%
	3. A serious problem	84	176	5	1	14	280
		14.7%	15.3%	38.5%	5.0%	23.0%	15.4%
	4. A very serious problem	35	117	0	3	3	158
Total	8. Don't Know	49	117	1	3	12	182
		8.6%	10.2%	7.7%	15.0%	19.7%	10.0%
		573	1148	13	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Graffiti * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Graffiti	1. Not a problem	27	47	59	87	96	66	37	42	36	497
		24.3%	25.0%	26.5%	29.6%	30.3%	28.3%	23.7%	26.6%	22.4%	27.0%
	2. A moderate problem	38	87	98	106	111	81	62	69	59	711
		34.2%	46.3%	43.9%	36.1%	35.0%	34.8%	39.7%	43.7%	36.6%	38.6%
	3. A serious problem	29	16	34	46	41	48	19	22	30	285
		26.1%	8.5%	15.2%	15.6%	12.9%	20.6%	12.2%	13.9%	18.6%	15.5%
	4. A very serious problem	12	23	13	22	21	23	17	14	18	163
		10.8%	12.2%	5.8%	7.5%	6.6%	9.9%	10.9%	8.9%	11.2%	8.9%
	8. Don't Know	5	15	19	33	48	15	21	11	18	185
		4.5%	8.0%	8.5%	11.2%	15.1%	6.4%	13.5%	7.0%	11.2%	10.0%
Total		111	188	223	294	317	233	156	158	161	1841
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Homelessness * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How big a problem: Homelessness	1. Not a problem	27	18	6	10	7	19	87
		10.3%	5.2%	1.6%	3.3%	3.5%	5.8%	4.7%
	2. A moderate problem	23	39	74	37	27	50	252
		8.8%	11.3%	20.2%	12.1%	13.4%	15.2%	13.7%
	3. A serious problem	70	103	124	113	72	129	625
		26.8%	29.9%	33.9%	37.0%	35.6%	39.1%	34.0%
	4. A very serious problem	124	179	148	137	90	105	792
		47.5%	51.9%	40.4%	44.9%	44.6%	31.8%	43.1%
	8. Don't Know	17	6	14	8	6	27	81
		6.5%	1.7%	3.8%	2.6%	3.0%	8.2%	4.4%
Total		261	345	366	305	202	330	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Homelessness * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Homelessness	1. Not a problem	59	28	87
		7.2%	2.8%	4.7%
	2. A moderate problem	150	102	252
		18.3%	10.1%	13.7%
	3. A serious problem	274	350	624
		33.4%	34.5%	34.0%
	4. A very serious problem	302	489	791
		36.8%	48.2%	43.1%
	8. Don't Know	35	45	80
		4.3%	4.4%	4.4%
Total		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Homelessness * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How big a problem: Homelessness	1. Not a problem	29	41	0	9	7	86
		5.1%	3.6%	.0%	45.0%	11.5%	4.7%
	2. A moderate problem	120	113	2	7	6	248
		20.9%	9.8%	15.4%	35.0%	9.8%	13.7%
	3. A serious problem	225	371	6	2	16	620
		39.3%	32.3%	46.2%	10.0%	26.2%	34.2%
	4. A very serious problem	162	587	5	2	26	782
		28.3%	51.1%	38.5%	10.0%	42.6%	43.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	37	36	0	0	6	79
		6.5%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	4.4%
		573	1148	13	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Homelessness * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Homelessness	1. Not a problem	3	4	15	9	24	8	6	8	10	87
		2.7%	2.1%	6.8%	3.1%	7.6%	3.4%	3.8%	5.1%	6.3%	4.7%
	2. A moderate problem	16	41	27	35	39	28	22	27	17	252
		14.5%	21.8%	12.2%	11.9%	12.3%	12.1%	14.1%	17.2%	10.6%	13.7%
	3. A serious problem	27	56	65	114	121	75	52	64	51	625
		24.5%	29.8%	29.3%	38.9%	38.2%	32.3%	33.3%	40.8%	31.9%	34.1%
	4. A very serious problem	58	86	104	119	109	111	71	55	78	791
		52.7%	45.7%	46.8%	40.6%	34.4%	47.8%	45.5%	35.0%	48.8%	43.1%
8. Don't Know		6	1	11	16	24	10	5	3	4	80
		5.5%	.5%	5.0%	5.5%	7.6%	4.3%	3.2%	1.9%	2.5%	4.4%
	Total	110	188	222	293	317	232	156	157	160	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1. Not a problem	19	9	18	12	7	19	1	85
		7.3%	2.6%	4.9%	3.9%	3.4%	5.8%	3.6%	4.6%
	2. A moderate problem	33	43	37	33	19	28	2	195
		12.7%	12.5%	10.1%	10.7%	9.4%	8.5%	7.1%	10.6%
	3. A serious problem	85	82	120	94	70	117	10	578
		32.7%	23.9%	32.8%	30.6%	34.5%	35.5%	35.7%	31.5%
	4. A very serious problem	117	202	182	164	99	146	13	923
		45.0%	58.9%	49.7%	53.4%	48.8%	44.2%	46.4%	50.2%
	8. Don't Know	6	7	9	4	8	20	2	56
		2.3%	2.0%	2.5%	1.3%	3.9%	6.1%	7.1%	3.0%
Total		260	343	366	307	203	330	28	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1. Not a problem	48	37	85
		5.9%	3.6%	4.6%
	2. A moderate problem	99	96	195
		12.1%	9.5%	10.6%
	3. A serious problem	273	303	576
		33.3%	29.9%	31.4%
	4. A very serious problem	383	539	922
		46.7%	53.2%	50.3%
	8. Don't Know	17	39	56
		2.1%	3.8%	3.1%
Total		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1. Not a problem	43	32	0	2	9	86
		7.5%	2.8%	.0%	10.0%	15.0%	4.7%
	2. A moderate problem	105	76	2	3	8	194
		18.3%	6.6%	15.4%	15.0%	13.3%	10.7%
	3. A serious problem	184	362	4	9	12	571
		32.1%	31.5%	30.8%	45.0%	20.0%	31.4%
	4. A very serious problem	203	672	6	3	29	913
Total		35.4%	58.5%	46.2%	15.0%	48.3%	50.3%
	8. Don't Know	39	7	1	3	2	52
		6.8%	.6%	7.7%	15.0%	3.3%	2.9%
Total		574	1149	13	20	60	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How big a problem: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1. Not a problem	12	1	5	17	16	7	9	15	85
		11.0%	.5%	2.3%	5.8%	5.0%	3.0%	5.8%	9.5%	4.6%
	2. A moderate problem	12	25	25	31	27	31	6	28	194
		11.0%	13.4%	11.3%	10.6%	8.5%	13.2%	3.8%	17.7%	10.6%
	3. A serious problem	26	52	76	99	105	59	56	56	578
		23.9%	27.8%	34.4%	33.8%	33.1%	25.2%	35.9%	35.4%	31.5%
	4. A very serious problem	54	105	109	138	155	127	81	54	922
Total		49.5%	56.1%	49.3%	47.1%	48.9%	54.3%	51.9%	34.2%	50.2%
	8. Don't Know	5	4	6	8	14	10	4	5	58
		4.6%	2.1%	2.7%	2.7%	4.4%	4.3%	2.6%	3.2%	3.2%
Total		109	187	221	293	317	234	156	158	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1. Not a problem	28	23	32	20	15	26	2	146
		10.8%	6.7%	8.7%	6.5%	7.5%	7.9%	7.1%	8.0%
	2. A moderate problem	59	94	101	90	59	78	7	488
		22.7%	27.4%	27.6%	29.4%	29.4%	23.8%	25.0%	26.6%
	3. A serious problem	92	99	133	99	71	109	9	612
		35.4%	28.9%	36.3%	32.4%	35.3%	33.2%	32.1%	33.4%
	4. A very serious problem	53	115	85	86	46	78	8	471
		20.4%	33.5%	23.2%	28.1%	22.9%	23.8%	28.6%	25.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	28	12	15	11	10	37	2	115
		10.8%	3.5%	4.1%	3.6%	5.0%	11.3%	7.1%	6.3%
		260	343	366	306	201	328	28	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1. Not a problem	75	72	147
		9.1%	7.1%	8.0%
	2. A moderate problem	266	222	488
		32.4%	21.9%	26.6%
	3. A serious problem	275	338	613
		33.5%	33.4%	33.4%
	4. A very serious problem	170	300	470
		20.7%	29.6%	25.6%
Total	8. Don't Know	34	81	115
		4.1%	8.0%	6.3%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1. Not a problem	52	74	1	9	11	147
		9.1%	6.4%	7.7%	45.0%	18.0%	8.1%
	2. A moderate problem	208	251	3	4	18	484
		36.3%	21.8%	23.1%	20.0%	29.5%	26.7%
	3. A serious problem	169	417	5	5	14	610
		29.5%	36.3%	38.5%	25.0%	23.0%	33.6%
	4. A very serious problem	102	341	1	1	15	460
		17.8%	29.7%	7.7%	5.0%	24.6%	25.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	42	66	3	1	3	115
		7.3%	5.7%	23.1%	5.0%	4.9%	6.3%
		573	1149	13	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
How big a problem: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1. Not a problem	10	6	16	25	26	13	18	25	8	147
		9.1%	3.2%	7.2%	8.5%	8.2%	5.6%	11.5%	16.0%	5.0%	8.0%
	2. A moderate problem	16	51	67	82	92	58	36	46	39	487
		14.5%	27.1%	30.3%	28.0%	29.1%	24.9%	23.1%	29.5%	24.2%	26.6%
	3. A serious problem	42	77	64	101	91	85	54	49	50	613
		38.2%	41.0%	29.0%	34.5%	28.8%	36.5%	34.6%	31.4%	31.1%	33.4%
	4. A very serious problem	32	47	56	68	84	62	40	26	56	471
		29.1%	25.0%	25.3%	23.2%	26.6%	26.6%	25.6%	16.7%	34.8%	25.7%
	8. Don't Know	10	7	18	17	23	15	8	10	8	116
	9.1%	3.7%	8.1%	5.8%	7.3%	6.4%	5.1%	6.4%	5.0%	6.3%	
Total		110	188	221	293	316	233	156	156	161	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing	1. Not a problem	29	45	40	24	11	24	174
		11.2%	13.0%	10.9%	7.8%	5.4%	7.3%	9.5%
	2. A moderate problem	60	79	76	42	35	54	351
		23.1%	22.9%	20.8%	13.7%	17.2%	16.5%	19.1%
	3. A serious problem	59	81	112	93	66	108	529
		22.7%	23.5%	30.6%	30.4%	32.5%	32.9%	28.8%
	4. A very serious problem	77	111	107	119	72	81	575
		29.6%	32.2%	29.2%	38.9%	35.5%	24.7%	31.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	35	29	31	28	19	61	207
		13.5%	8.4%	8.5%	9.2%	9.4%	18.6%	11.3%
		260	345	366	306	203	328	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing	1. Not a problem	106	68	174
		12.9%	6.7%	9.5%
	2. A moderate problem	196	154	350
		23.9%	15.2%	19.1%
	3. A serious problem	211	318	529
		25.7%	31.4%	28.8%
	4. A very serious problem	226	348	574
		27.6%	34.3%	31.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	81	126	207
		9.9%	12.4%	11.3%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing	1. Not a problem	99	59	2	9	6	175
		17.3%	5.1%	15.4%	45.0%	9.8%	9.6%
	2. A moderate problem	159	170	6	6	5	346
		27.7%	14.8%	46.2%	30.0%	8.2%	19.1%
	3. A serious problem	132	366	3	2	18	521
		23.0%	31.9%	23.1%	10.0%	29.5%	28.7%
	4. A very serious problem	93	456	0	0	20	569
Total		16.2%	39.7%	.0%	.0%	32.8%	31.3%
	8. Don't Know	90	98	2	3	12	205
		15.7%	8.5%	15.4%	15.0%	19.7%	11.3%
		573	1149	13	20	61	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How big a problem: A lack of affordable housing	1. Not a problem	11	20	16	22	37	22	16	25	175
		9.9%	10.7%	7.2%	7.5%	11.7%	9.4%	10.3%	15.8%	9.5%
	2. A moderate problem	29	46	53	53	53	44	23	30	351
		26.1%	24.6%	23.9%	18.0%	16.7%	18.8%	14.7%	19.0%	19.1%
	3. A serious problem	24	39	61	90	92	62	50	51	530
		21.6%	20.9%	27.5%	30.6%	29.0%	26.5%	32.1%	32.3%	28.8%
	4. A very serious problem	35	67	69	92	85	85	42	34	576
Total		31.5%	35.8%	31.1%	31.3%	26.8%	36.3%	26.9%	21.5%	31.3%
	8. Don't Know	12	15	23	37	50	21	25	18	208
		10.8%	8.0%	10.4%	12.6%	15.8%	9.0%	16.0%	11.4%	11.3%
		111	187	222	294	317	234	156	158	1840
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1. Not a problem	129	180	182	146	96	151	14	898
		49.6%	52.5%	49.7%	47.9%	47.8%	45.9%	50.0%	49.0%
	2. A moderate problem	69	60	65	72	40	64	6	376
		26.5%	17.5%	17.8%	23.6%	19.9%	19.5%	21.4%	20.5%
	3. A serious problem	22	28	52	39	24	48	4	217
		8.5%	8.2%	14.2%	12.8%	11.9%	14.6%	14.3%	11.8%
	4. A very serious problem	38	70	52	42	32	44	1	279
Total		14.6%	20.4%	14.2%	13.8%	15.9%	13.4%	3.6%	15.2%
	8. Don't Know	2	5	15	6	9	22	3	62
		.8%	1.5%	4.1%	2.0%	4.5%	6.7%	10.7%	3.4%
		260	343	366	305	201	329	28	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1. Not a problem	412	485	897
		50.2%	47.9%	49.0%
	2. A moderate problem	197	179	376
		24.0%	17.7%	20.5%
	3. A serious problem	78	139	217
		9.5%	13.7%	11.8%
	4. A very serious problem	115	164	279
Total		14.0%	16.2%	15.2%
	8. Don't Know	18	45	63
		2.2%	4.4%	3.4%
		820	1012	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1. Not a problem	294	545	6	12	33	890
		51.3%	47.5%	46.2%	63.2%	55.0%	49.1%
	2. A moderate problem	117	239	4	2	12	374
		20.4%	20.8%	30.8%	10.5%	20.0%	20.6%
	3. A serious problem	68	137	0	4	4	213
		11.9%	11.9%	.0%	21.1%	6.7%	11.8%
	4. A very serious problem	81	181	3	0	10	275
Total		14.1%	15.8%	23.1%	.0%	16.7%	15.2%
	8. Don't Know	13	45	0	1	1	60
		2.3%	3.9%	.0%	5.3%	1.7%	3.3%
		573	1147	13	19	60	1812
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How big a problem: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1. Not a problem	38	77	122	157	229	105	75	26	898
		34.9%	41.2%	55.0%	53.6%	72.2%	45.1%	48.4%	16.7%	49.0%
	2. A moderate problem	29	65	37	54	42	34	35	36	376
		26.6%	34.8%	16.7%	18.4%	13.2%	14.6%	22.6%	23.1%	20.5%
	3. A serious problem	16	19	30	32	24	31	21	33	216
		14.7%	10.2%	13.5%	10.9%	7.6%	13.3%	13.5%	21.2%	11.8%
	4. A very serious problem	19	22	31	45	9	46	19	56	279
Total		17.4%	11.8%	14.0%	15.4%	2.8%	19.7%	12.3%	35.9%	15.2%
	8. Don't Know	7	4	2	5	13	17	5	5	63
		6.4%	2.1%	.9%	1.7%	4.1%	7.3%	3.2%	3.2%	3.4%
		109	187	222	293	317	233	155	156	1832
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas	1. Not a problem	44	93	74	67	37	60	5	380
		17.1%	27.1%	20.3%	21.9%	18.4%	18.2%	17.9%	20.8%
	2. A moderate problem	112	123	133	87	59	78	5	597
		43.6%	35.9%	36.4%	28.4%	29.4%	23.7%	17.9%	32.6%
	3. A serious problem	45	50	83	72	52	87	6	395
		17.5%	14.6%	22.7%	23.5%	25.9%	26.4%	21.4%	21.6%
	4. A very serious problem	43	62	54	66	31	46	6	308
		16.7%	18.1%	14.8%	21.6%	15.4%	14.0%	21.4%	16.8%
Total	8. Don't Know	13	15	21	14	22	58	6	149
		5.1%	4.4%	5.8%	4.6%	10.9%	17.6%	21.4%	8.1%
		257	343	365	306	201	329	28	1829
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas	1. Not a problem	180	199	379
		22.0%	19.7%	20.7%
	2. A moderate problem	304	291	595
		37.1%	28.9%	32.5%
	3. A serious problem	167	230	397
		20.4%	22.8%	21.7%
	4. A very serious problem	126	183	309
		15.4%	18.2%	16.9%
Total	8. Don't Know	43	105	148
		5.2%	10.4%	8.1%
		820	1008	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas	1. Not a problem	148	202	7	3	16	376
		25.7%	17.7%	50.0%	15.8%	25.8%	20.7%
	2. A moderate problem	222	330	3	16	21	592
		38.6%	28.9%	21.4%	84.2%	33.9%	32.7%
	3. A serious problem	115	269	1	0	9	394
		20.0%	23.5%	7.1%	.0%	14.5%	21.7%
	4. A very serious problem	55	237	2	0	11	305
Total	8. Don't Know	35	105	1	0	5	146
		6.1%	9.2%	7.1%	.0%	8.1%	8.1%
		575	1143	14	19	62	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How big a problem: Finding parking in commercial areas	1. Not a problem	26	35	48	49	77	45	34	35	380
		24.1%	18.9%	21.7%	17.0%	24.3%	19.2%	21.9%	22.3%	20.8%
	2. A moderate problem	34	69	95	87	111	62	50	44	596
		31.5%	37.3%	43.0%	30.1%	35.0%	26.5%	32.3%	28.0%	32.6%
	3. A serious problem	11	24	41	69	61	57	36	49	396
		10.2%	13.0%	18.6%	23.9%	19.2%	24.4%	23.2%	31.2%	21.7%
	4. A very serious problem	27	38	25	60	44	42	25	18	306
Total	8. Don't Know	10	19	12	24	24	28	10	11	148
		9.3%	10.3%	5.4%	8.3%	7.6%	12.0%	6.5%	7.0%	8.1%
		108	185	221	289	317	234	155	157	1826
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Panhandling * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How big a problem: Panhandling	1. Not a problem	70	71	47	64	37	64	4	357
		26.9%	20.7%	12.8%	20.8%	18.3%	19.6%	14.3%	19.5%
	2. A moderate problem	80	99	153	103	73	104	6	618
		30.8%	28.9%	41.7%	33.6%	36.1%	31.8%	21.4%	33.7%
	3. A serious problem	57	88	81	65	47	67	12	417
		21.9%	25.7%	22.1%	21.2%	23.3%	20.5%	42.9%	22.7%
	4. A very serious problem	21	74	63	61	35	44	2	300
Total		8.1%	21.6%	17.2%	19.9%	17.3%	13.5%	7.1%	16.4%
	8. Don't Know	32	11	23	14	10	48	4	142
		12.3%	3.2%	6.3%	4.6%	5.0%	14.7%	14.3%	7.7%
		260	343	367	307	202	327	28	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Panhandling * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How big a problem: Panhandling	1. Not a problem	207	151	358
		25.2%	14.9%	19.5%
	2. A moderate problem	310	308	618
		37.8%	30.4%	33.7%
	3. A serious problem	157	260	417
		19.1%	25.7%	22.7%
	4. A very serious problem	101	197	298
Total		12.3%	19.4%	16.3%
	8. Don't Know	45	97	142
		5.5%	9.6%	7.7%
		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Panhandling * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How big a problem: Panhandling	1. Not a problem	124	200	2	10	19	355
		21.6%	17.4%	14.3%	50.0%	31.1%	19.6%
	2. A moderate problem	220	358	7	4	23	612
		38.4%	31.2%	50.0%	20.0%	37.7%	33.7%
	3. A serious problem	120	277	4	2	10	413
		20.9%	24.1%	28.6%	10.0%	16.4%	22.8%
	4. A very serious problem	52	237	0	2	5	296
		9.1%	20.7%	.0%	10.0%	8.2%	16.3%
Total	8. Don't Know	57	75	1	2	4	139
		9.9%	6.5%	7.1%	10.0%	6.6%	7.7%
		573	1147	14	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How big a problem: Panhandling * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How big a problem: Panhandling	1. Not a problem	15	22	60	54	77	43	25	30	30	356
		13.8%	11.7%	27.0%	18.5%	24.3%	18.5%	16.1%	19.0%	18.8%	19.4%
	2. A moderate problem	35	65	80	99	98	62	55	70	54	618
		32.1%	34.6%	36.0%	33.9%	30.9%	26.6%	35.5%	44.3%	33.8%	33.7%
	3. A serious problem	24	56	57	67	69	53	38	23	30	417
		22.0%	29.8%	25.7%	22.9%	21.8%	22.7%	24.5%	14.6%	18.8%	22.7%
	4. A very serious problem	29	30	17	42	37	59	29	21	36	300
		26.6%	16.0%	7.7%	14.4%	11.7%	25.3%	18.7%	13.3%	22.5%	16.4%
8. Don't Know		6	15	8	30	36	16	8	14	10	143
		5.5%	8.0%	3.6%	10.3%	11.4%	6.9%	5.2%	8.9%	6.3%	7.8%
	Total	109	188	222	292	317	233	155	158	160	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping	1 Getting Much Worse	7 2.7%	26 7.9%	30 9.5%	37 12.5%	17 8.8%	26 8.4%	144 8.3%
	2 Getting Worse	64 24.6%	77 23.5%	93 29.3%	98 33.0%	64 33.0%	74 24.0%	479 27.7%
	3 About the Same	106 40.8%	134 40.9%	111 35.0%	93 31.3%	65 33.5%	118 38.3%	640 37.0%
	4 Getting Better	22 8.5%	31 9.5%	24 7.6%	30 10.1%	19 9.8%	28 9.1%	154 8.9%
	5 Getting Much Better	4 1.5%	2 .6%	1 .3%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	3 1.0%	12 .7%
	8 Don't know	57 21.9%	58 17.7%	58 18.3%	39 13.1%	27 13.9%	59 19.2%	303 17.5%
	Total	260 100.0%	328 100.0%	317 100.0%	297 100.0%	194 100.0%	308 100.0%	1732 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping	1 Getting Much Worse	59 7.7%	84 8.7%	143 8.3%
	2 Getting Worse	219 28.6%	260 26.9%	479 27.7%
	3 About the Same	302 39.4%	338 35.0%	640 37.0%
	4 Getting Better	81 10.6%	73 7.6%	154 8.9%
	5 Getting Much Better	3 .4%	8 .8%	11 .6%
	8 Don't know	102 13.3%	202 20.9%	304 17.6%
	Total	766 100.0%	965 100.0%	1731 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping	1 Getting Much Worse	19	110	1	0	11	141
		3.8%	9.8%	7.7%	.0%	18.3%	8.2%
	2 Getting Worse	88	368	0	2	18	476
		17.6%	32.7%	.0%	10.0%	30.0%	27.7%
	3 About the Same	216	388	6	9	15	634
		43.2%	34.4%	46.2%	45.0%	25.0%	36.9%
	4 Getting Better	30	117	3	0	4	154
		6.0%	10.4%	23.1%	.0%	6.7%	9.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	5	5	0	0	2	12
		1.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.7%
	8 Don't know	142	139	3	9	10	303
		28.4%	12.3%	23.1%	45.0%	16.7%	17.6%
Total		500	1127	13	20	60	1720
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal dumping	1 Getting Much Worse	4	17	20	24	16	17	10	13	141
		4.1%	10.3%	9.7%	8.3%	5.2%	7.5%	6.6%	9.4%	8.1%
	2 Getting Worse	40	59	38	74	79	62	42	33	480
		41.2%	35.8%	18.4%	25.6%	25.8%	27.4%	27.6%	23.7%	27.7%
	3 About the Same	26	37	98	114	118	83	55	48	638
		26.8%	22.4%	47.6%	39.4%	38.6%	36.7%	36.2%	34.5%	36.9%
	4 Getting Better	6	28	14	20	19	26	16	16	154
		6.2%	17.0%	6.8%	6.9%	6.2%	11.5%	10.5%	11.5%	8.9%
	5 Getting Much Better	5	1	1	0	1	3	1	1	13
		5.2%	.6%	.5%	.0%	.3%	1.3%	.7%	.7%	.8%
	8 Don't know	16	23	35	57	73	35	28	28	305
		16.5%	13.9%	17.0%	19.7%	23.9%	15.5%	18.4%	20.1%	17.6%
Total		97	165	206	289	306	226	152	139	1731
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use	1 Getting Much Worse	31	71	71	59	35	66	335
		11.9%	20.9%	20.2%	19.7%	17.8%	20.6%	18.6%
	2 Getting Worse	141	116	135	124	83	126	733
		54.2%	34.1%	38.4%	41.3%	42.1%	39.3%	40.8%
	3 About the Same	49	110	109	80	49	85	493
		18.8%	32.4%	31.0%	26.7%	24.9%	26.5%	27.4%
	4 Getting Better	9	20	20	14	13	13	91
		3.5%	5.9%	5.7%	4.7%	6.6%	4.0%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	1	1	2	0	2	10
		1.5%	.3%	.3%	.7%	.0%	.6%	.6%
	8 Don't know	26	22	16	21	17	29	136
Total		10.0%	6.5%	4.5%	7.0%	8.6%	9.0%	7.6%
		260	340	352	300	197	321	1798
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use	1 Getting Much Worse	120	215	335
		14.9%	21.7%	18.7%
	2 Getting Worse	325	407	732
		40.3%	41.1%	40.8%
	3 About the Same	265	227	492
		32.9%	22.9%	27.4%
	4 Getting Better	44	47	91
		5.5%	4.7%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	3	7	10
		.4%	.7%	.6%
	8 Don't know	49	87	136
Total		6.1%	8.8%	7.6%
		806	990	1796
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use	1 Getting Much Worse	78	232	2	1	16	329
		14.3%	20.4%	14.3%	5.0%	26.2%	18.5%
	2 Getting Worse	184	515	4	5	18	726
		33.7%	45.2%	28.6%	25.0%	29.5%	40.8%
	3 About the Same	195	272	5	2	16	490
		35.7%	23.9%	35.7%	10.0%	26.2%	27.5%
	4 Getting Better	20	62	0	7	2	91
		3.7%	5.4%	.0%	35.0%	3.3%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	9	0	0	1	10
		.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.6%
	8 Don't know	69	49	3	5	8	134
Total		12.6%	4.3%	21.4%	25.0%	13.1%	7.5%
		546	1139	14	20	61	1780
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Illegal drug use	1 Getting Much Worse	29	26	31	48	44	49	41	28	38	334
		27.4%	14.3%	14.2%	16.5%	14.1%	21.1%	26.5%	19.9%	23.8%	18.6%
	2 Getting Worse	43	71	93	134	117	91	63	49	73	734
		40.6%	39.0%	42.7%	46.0%	37.4%	39.2%	40.6%	34.8%	45.6%	40.8%
	3 About the Same	18	68	68	76	82	69	35	41	35	492
		17.0%	37.4%	31.2%	26.1%	26.2%	29.7%	22.6%	29.1%	21.9%	27.4%
	4 Getting Better	6	7	10	12	23	9	9	7	9	92
		5.7%	3.8%	4.6%	4.1%	7.3%	3.9%	5.8%	5.0%	5.6%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	10
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
8 Don't know	6	10	16	18	44	14	7	16	5	136	
	5.7%	5.5%	7.3%	6.2%	14.1%	6.0%	4.5%	11.3%	3.1%	7.6%	
Total		106	182	218	291	313	232	155	141	160	1798
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion	1 Getting Much Worse	6 2.4%	18 5.3%	17 5.0%	22 7.3%	17 8.6%	16 5.1%	99 5.6%
	2 Getting Worse	69 27.3%	84 24.6%	111 32.6%	92 30.7%	74 37.4%	102 32.8%	535 30.2%
	3 About the Same	131 51.8%	196 57.5%	158 46.3%	142 47.3%	81 40.9%	127 40.8%	852 48.1%
	4 Getting Better	22 8.7%	28 8.2%	23 6.7%	19 6.3%	15 7.6%	12 3.9%	119 6.7%
	5 Getting Much Better	4 1.6%	0 .0%	6 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .6%	12 .7%
	8 Don't know	21 8.3%	15 4.4%	26 7.6%	25 8.3%	11 5.6%	52 16.7%	155 8.7%
	Total	253 100.0%	341 100.0%	341 100.0%	300 100.0%	198 100.0%	311 100.0%	1772 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion	1 Getting Much Worse	39 4.9%	60 6.1%	99 5.6%
	2 Getting Worse	267 33.7%	268 27.3%	535 30.2%
	3 About the Same	362 45.7%	490 50.0%	852 48.1%
	4 Getting Better	72 9.1%	47 4.8%	119 6.7%
	5 Getting Much Better	6 .8%	6 .6%	12 .7%
	8 Don't know	46 5.8%	109 11.1%	155 8.7%
	Total	792 100.0%	980 100.0%	1772 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion	1 Getting Much Worse	28	65	0	0	6	99
		5.1%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	10.2%	5.6%
	2 Getting Worse	204	290	3	7	27	531
		37.3%	26.0%	21.4%	35.0%	45.8%	30.2%
	3 About the Same	255	560	9	3	16	843
		46.6%	50.1%	64.3%	15.0%	27.1%	48.0%
	4 Getting Better	25	83	1	7	4	120
		4.6%	7.4%	7.1%	35.0%	6.8%	6.8%
	5 Getting Much Better	2	5	0	1	4	12
		.4%	.4%	.0%	5.0%	6.8%	.7%
	8 Don't know	33	114	1	2	2	152
Total		6.0%	10.2%	7.1%	10.0%	3.4%	8.7%
		547	1117	14	20	59	1757
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Traffic congestion	1 Getting Much Worse	9	5	16	15	7	11	10	11	15	99
		8.7%	2.8%	7.5%	5.3%	2.3%	4.8%	6.5%	7.4%	9.9%	5.6%
	2 Getting Worse	45	42	79	78	85	67	43	51	43	533
		43.7%	23.5%	36.9%	27.5%	27.7%	29.3%	27.9%	34.2%	28.3%	30.1%
	3 About the Same	36	104	95	139	153	114	80	61	71	853
		35.0%	58.1%	44.4%	48.9%	49.8%	49.8%	51.9%	40.9%	46.7%	48.2%
	4 Getting Better	3	13	9	24	31	13	9	6	11	119
		2.9%	7.3%	4.2%	8.5%	10.1%	5.7%	5.8%	4.0%	7.2%	6.7%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	1	0	1	1	0	1	4	0	12
		3.9%	.6%	.0%	.4%	.3%	.0%	.6%	2.7%	.0%	.7%
Total	8 Don't know	6	14	15	27	30	24	11	16	12	155
		5.8%	7.8%	7.0%	9.5%	9.8%	10.5%	7.1%	10.7%	7.9%	8.8%
		103	179	214	284	307	229	154	149	152	1771
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1 Getting Much Worse	27	39	45	46	32	39	2	230
		10.9%	11.8%	13.2%	15.3%	16.4%	12.3%	7.1%	13.1%
	2 Getting Worse	109	75	123	111	75	98	9	600
		44.1%	22.7%	36.0%	37.0%	38.5%	31.0%	32.1%	34.1%
	3 About the Same	72	163	131	104	59	113	13	655
		29.1%	49.2%	38.3%	34.7%	30.3%	35.8%	46.4%	37.2%
	4 Getting Better	25	26	20	17	21	14	0	123
		10.1%	7.9%	5.8%	5.7%	10.8%	4.4%	.0%	7.0%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.9%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	8 Don't know	14	25	23	21	8	52	4	147
		5.7%	7.6%	6.7%	7.0%	4.1%	16.5%	14.3%	8.4%
		247	331	342	300	195	316	28	1759
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1 Getting Much Worse	103	127	230
		13.3%	12.9%	13.1%
	2 Getting Worse	254	345	599
		32.9%	35.0%	34.0%
	3 About the Same	306	349	655
		39.6%	35.4%	37.2%
	4 Getting Better	49	74	123
		6.3%	7.5%	7.0%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	3	2	5
		.4%	.2%	.3%
	8 Don't know	58	90	148
		7.5%	9.1%	8.4%
		773	987	1760
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1 Getting Much Worse	76	141	1	1	10	229
		14.1%	12.7%	7.1%	5.0%	16.1%	13.1%
	2 Getting Worse	159	407	3	4	20	593
		29.4%	36.7%	21.4%	20.0%	32.3%	34.0%
	3 About the Same	234	384	10	5	18	651
		43.3%	34.6%	71.4%	25.0%	29.0%	37.3%
	4 Getting Better	27	86	0	8	2	123
		5.0%	7.7%	.0%	40.0%	3.2%	7.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	4	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	8 Don't know	44	88	0	2	12	146
Total		8.1%	7.9%	.0%	10.0%	19.4%	8.4%
		540	1110	14	20	62	1746
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: Drivers disobeying traffic laws	1 Getting Much Worse	6	15	36	49	25	30	23	21	231
		5.9%	8.7%	17.6%	17.0%	8.0%	13.0%	15.3%	14.1%	13.1%
	2 Getting Worse	42	66	69	108	99	67	46	41	600
		41.6%	38.4%	33.7%	37.4%	31.7%	29.1%	30.7%	27.5%	34.0%
	3 About the Same	36	68	71	88	107	101	61	70	656
		35.6%	39.5%	34.6%	30.4%	34.3%	43.9%	40.7%	47.0%	37.2%
	4 Getting Better	5	10	15	27	29	15	10	6	123
		5.0%	5.8%	7.3%	9.3%	9.3%	6.5%	6.7%	4.0%	7.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	8 Don't know	12	13	14	16	52	15	10	11	149
Total		11.9%	7.6%	6.8%	5.5%	16.7%	6.5%	6.7%	7.4%	8.4%
		101	172	205	289	312	230	150	149	1764
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime	1 Getting Much Worse	40	69	77	59	44	67	2	358
		15.6%	20.4%	21.4%	19.3%	22.2%	20.6%	7.1%	19.8%
	2 Getting Worse	137	146	126	138	86	135	11	779
		53.3%	43.2%	35.0%	45.2%	43.4%	41.4%	39.3%	43.0%
	3 About the Same	37	67	109	76	46	91	11	437
		14.4%	19.8%	30.3%	24.9%	23.2%	27.9%	39.3%	24.1%
	4 Getting Better	30	36	33	24	15	18	2	158
		11.7%	10.7%	9.2%	7.9%	7.6%	5.5%	7.1%	8.7%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
		.0%	.6%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.3%
	8 Don't know	13	18	13	8	7	15	1	75
		5.1%	5.3%	3.6%	2.6%	3.5%	4.6%	3.6%	4.1%
		257	338	360	305	198	326	28	1812
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime	1 Getting Much Worse	109	248	357
		13.4%	24.9%	19.7%
	2 Getting Worse	332	446	778
		40.8%	44.8%	43.0%
	3 About the Same	232	204	436
		28.5%	20.5%	24.1%
	4 Getting Better	100	58	158
		12.3%	5.8%	8.7%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	2	3	5
		.2%	.3%	.3%
	8 Don't know	38	37	75
		4.7%	3.7%	4.1%
		813	996	1809
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime	1 Getting Much Worse	58	275	2	1	15	351
		10.3%	24.2%	14.3%	5.0%	25.0%	19.6%
	2 Getting Worse	197	543	5	1	25	771
		35.0%	47.8%	35.7%	5.0%	41.7%	43.0%
	3 About the Same	189	229	3	3	9	433
		33.6%	20.2%	21.4%	15.0%	15.0%	24.1%
	4 Getting Better	75	64	3	11	5	158
		13.3%	5.6%	21.4%	55.0%	8.3%	8.8%
	5 Getting Much Better	1	3	0	0	1	5
		.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.3%
	8 Don't know	43	22	1	4	5	75
		7.6%	1.9%	7.1%	20.0%	8.3%	4.2%
Total		563	1136	14	20	60	1793
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Violent crime	1 Getting Much Worse	15	14	48	64	59	52	29	31	46	358
		14.3%	7.7%	21.8%	22.0%	18.9%	22.5%	18.7%	20.1%	28.9%	19.8%
	2 Getting Worse	43	84	92	131	119	106	78	50	75	778
		41.0%	46.2%	41.8%	45.0%	38.1%	45.9%	50.3%	32.5%	47.2%	43.0%
	3 About the Same	28	57	46	78	79	47	33	47	20	435
		26.7%	31.3%	20.9%	26.8%	25.3%	20.3%	21.3%	30.5%	12.6%	24.0%
	4 Getting Better	12	18	30	11	23	20	10	18	16	158
		11.4%	9.9%	13.6%	3.8%	7.4%	8.7%	6.5%	11.7%	10.1%	8.7%
5 Getting Much Better	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	5	
	1.9%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
8 Don't know	5	8	4	7	31	6	4	8	2	75	
	4.8%	4.4%	1.8%	2.4%	9.9%	2.6%	2.6%	5.2%	1.3%	4.1%	
Total		105	182	220	291	312	231	155	154	159	1809
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime	1 Getting Much Worse	0	24	35	24	16	25	1	125
		.0%	7.2%	10.2%	7.9%	8.2%	8.0%	3.6%	7.1%
	2 Getting Worse	90	88	96	108	64	89	7	542
		35.0%	26.4%	28.1%	35.5%	32.8%	28.3%	25.0%	30.6%
	3 About the Same	107	134	145	116	80	132	11	725
		41.6%	40.2%	42.4%	38.2%	41.0%	42.0%	39.3%	40.9%
	4 Getting Better	27	33	30	27	15	13	2	147
		10.5%	9.9%	8.8%	8.9%	7.7%	4.1%	7.1%	8.3%
	5 Getting Much Better	3	11	0	1	0	1	0	16
		1.2%	3.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.9%
	8 Don't know	30	43	36	28	20	54	7	218
		11.7%	12.9%	10.5%	9.2%	10.3%	17.2%	25.0%	12.3%
Total		257	333	342	304	195	314	28	1773
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime	1 Getting Much Worse	52	72	124
		6.5%	7.4%	7.0%
	2 Getting Worse	264	277	541
		32.9%	28.6%	30.6%
	3 About the Same	328	396	724
		40.9%	41.0%	40.9%
	4 Getting Better	71	76	147
		8.9%	7.9%	8.3%
	5 Getting Much Better	13	3	16
		1.6%	.3%	.9%
	8 Don't know	74	143	217
		9.2%	14.8%	12.3%
Total		802	967	1769
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime	1 Getting Much Worse	34 6.3%	83 7.4%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	4 6.7%	122 7.0%
	2 Getting Worse	174 32.1%	335 30.0%	2 14.3%	3 15.0%	22 36.7%	536 30.6%
	3 About the Same	237 43.7%	438 39.2%	7 50.0%	13 65.0%	26 43.3%	721 41.1%
	4 Getting Better	38 7.0%	107 9.6%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	147 8.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	1 .2%	13 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	15 .9%
	8 Don't know	58 10.7%	141 12.6%	3 21.4%	4 20.0%	6 10.0%	212 12.1%
	Total	542 100.0%	1117 100.0%	14 100.0%	20 100.0%	60 100.0%	1753 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: Property crime	1 Getting Much Worse	8 8.2%	20 11.2%	13 6.0%	16 5.6%	17 5.5%	13 5.7%	9 5.9%	15 10.1%	124 7.0%
	2 Getting Worse	36 37.1%	49 27.4%	85 39.5%	94 32.8%	80 26.0%	64 28.1%	29 19.1%	55 37.2%	542 30.6%
	3 About the Same	31 32.0%	68 38.0%	80 37.2%	120 41.8%	136 44.2%	90 39.5%	76 50.0%	56 37.8%	724 40.9%
	4 Getting Better	11 11.3%	25 14.0%	17 7.9%	21 7.3%	14 4.5%	23 10.1%	22 14.5%	2 1.4%	146 8.3%
	5 Getting Much Better	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .3%	11 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 .8%
	8 Don't know	11 11.3%	17 9.5%	20 9.3%	35 12.2%	50 16.2%	38 16.7%	16 10.5%	20 13.5%	218 12.3%
	Total	97 100.0%	179 100.0%	215 100.0%	287 100.0%	308 100.0%	228 100.0%	152 100.0%	148 100.0%	1769 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti	1 Getting Much Worse	7 2.7%	12 3.6%	7 2.1%	17 5.7%	5 2.6%	14 4.6%	0 .0%	62 3.6%
	2 Getting Worse	27 10.5%	37 11.2%	40 12.0%	59 19.7%	33 17.5%	37 12.3%	1 3.6%	234 13.5%
	3 About the Same	115 44.9%	163 49.2%	167 50.3%	134 44.8%	92 48.7%	141 46.7%	15 53.6%	827 47.6%
	4 Getting Better	42 16.4%	49 14.8%	59 17.8%	46 15.4%	32 16.9%	28 9.3%	4 14.3%	260 15.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	8 3.1%	17 5.1%	5 1.5%	3 1.0%	2 1.1%	2 .7%	0 .0%	37 2.1%
	8 Don't know	57 22.3%	53 16.0%	54 16.3%	40 13.4%	25 13.2%	80 26.5%	8 28.6%	317 18.2%
	Total	256 100.0%	331 100.0%	332 100.0%	299 100.0%	189 100.0%	302 100.0%	28 100.0%	1737 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti	1 Getting Much Worse	23 3.0%	39 4.0%	62 3.6%
	2 Getting Worse	133 17.3%	100 10.4%	233 13.4%
	3 About the Same	346 44.9%	480 49.7%	826 47.6%
	4 Getting Better	135 17.5%	126 13.1%	261 15.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	16 2.1%	22 2.3%	38 2.2%
	8 Don't know	118 15.3%	198 20.5%	316 18.2%
	Total	771 100.0%	965 100.0%	1736 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti	1 Getting Much Worse	19	42	0	0	1	62
		3.7%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	3.6%
	2 Getting Worse	56	154	1	1	14	226
		11.0%	13.8%	7.7%	5.0%	23.7%	13.1%
	3 About the Same	264	514	7	14	22	821
		51.8%	46.0%	53.8%	70.0%	37.3%	47.7%
	4 Getting Better	67	186	2	0	2	257
		13.1%	16.6%	15.4%	.0%	3.4%	14.9%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	4	31	0	2	2	39
		.8%	2.8%	.0%	10.0%	3.4%	2.3%
	8 Don't know	100	191	3	3	18	315
		19.6%	17.1%	23.1%	15.0%	30.5%	18.3%
		510	1118	13	20	59	1720
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: Graffiti	1 Getting Much Worse	8	13	7	8	4	8	7	3	63
		7.9%	7.6%	3.4%	2.8%	1.3%	3.5%	4.7%	2.2%	3.6%
	2 Getting Worse	25	26	23	26	24	41	18	13	233
		24.8%	15.1%	11.1%	9.2%	7.8%	17.8%	12.0%	9.5%	13.4%
	3 About the Same	48	54	93	140	152	119	75	78	827
		47.5%	31.4%	44.7%	49.3%	49.7%	51.7%	50.0%	56.9%	47.6%
	4 Getting Better	6	51	33	41	35	34	17	25	262
		5.9%	29.7%	15.9%	14.4%	11.4%	14.8%	11.3%	18.2%	15.1%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	5	3	4	4	15	0	4	0	38
		5.0%	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%	4.9%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	2.2%
	8 Don't know	9	25	48	65	76	28	29	18	316
		8.9%	14.5%	23.1%	22.9%	24.8%	12.2%	19.3%	13.1%	18.2%
		101	172	208	284	306	230	150	137	1739
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness	1 Getting Much Worse	35	62	64	59	34	42	2	298
		13.5%	18.2%	18.8%	19.9%	17.2%	13.5%	7.1%	16.8%
	2 Getting Worse	126	150	142	145	99	120	14	796
		48.5%	44.1%	41.6%	48.8%	50.0%	38.5%	50.0%	44.8%
	3 About the Same	40	84	96	63	41	102	6	432
		15.4%	24.7%	28.2%	21.2%	20.7%	32.7%	21.4%	24.3%
	4 Getting Better	30	24	11	15	11	16	1	108
		11.5%	7.1%	3.2%	5.1%	5.6%	5.1%	3.6%	6.1%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	5
		.0%	.6%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%
	8 Don't know	29	18	28	13	13	31	5	137
		11.2%	5.3%	8.2%	4.4%	6.6%	9.9%	17.9%	7.7%
		260	340	341	297	198	312	28	1776
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness	1 Getting Much Worse	104	194	298
		13.2%	19.6%	16.8%
	2 Getting Worse	343	454	797
		43.5%	46.0%	44.9%
	3 About the Same	217	215	432
		27.5%	21.8%	24.3%
	4 Getting Better	67	41	108
		8.5%	4.1%	6.1%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	4	4
		.0%	.4%	.2%
	8 Don't know	58	80	138
		7.4%	8.1%	7.8%
		789	988	1777
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness	1 Getting Much Worse	69	210	2	2	13	296
		12.9%	18.5%	15.4%	9.5%	21.3%	16.8%
	2 Getting Worse	184	569	5	3	28	789
		34.4%	50.2%	38.5%	14.3%	45.9%	44.8%
	3 About the Same	183	224	4	12	5	428
		34.2%	19.8%	30.8%	57.1%	8.2%	24.3%
	4 Getting Better	31	71	0	2	5	109
Total		5.8%	6.3%	.0%	9.5%	8.2%	6.2%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	4	0	0	1	5
		.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.3%
	8 Don't know	68	55	2	2	9	136
		12.7%	4.9%	15.4%	9.5%	14.8%	7.7%
		535	1133	13	21	61	1763
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Homelessness	1 Getting Much Worse	17	31	36	47	32	40	33	27	35	298
		16.3%	17.9%	16.4%	16.3%	10.4%	17.1%	21.9%	18.6%	22.2%	16.7%
	2 Getting Worse	59	82	91	148	113	108	70	48	79	798
		56.7%	47.4%	41.6%	51.2%	36.8%	46.2%	46.4%	33.1%	50.0%	44.8%
	3 About the Same	19	42	45	58	103	56	33	43	33	432
		18.3%	24.3%	20.5%	20.1%	33.6%	23.9%	21.9%	29.7%	20.9%	24.3%
	4 Getting Better	3	13	11	16	24	12	3	20	7	109
		2.9%	7.5%	5.0%	5.5%	7.8%	5.1%	2.0%	13.8%	4.4%	6.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.6%	.9%	.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total	8 Don't know	6	4	34	19	34	18	12	7	4	138
		5.8%	2.3%	15.5%	6.6%	11.1%	7.7%	7.9%	4.8%	2.5%	7.8%
		104	173	219	289	307	234	151	145	158	1780
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1 Getting Much Worse	56	62	77	88	40	61	5	389
		21.5%	18.5%	21.7%	29.3%	20.2%	19.5%	17.9%	21.7%
	2 Getting Worse	117	152	171	117	92	137	14	800
		45.0%	45.2%	48.2%	39.0%	46.5%	43.8%	50.0%	44.7%
	3 About the Same	58	78	62	70	50	71	4	393
		22.3%	23.2%	17.5%	23.3%	25.3%	22.7%	14.3%	22.0%
	4 Getting Better	16	19	28	11	5	7	2	88
		6.2%	5.7%	7.9%	3.7%	2.5%	2.2%	7.1%	4.9%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	7
		.0%	.9%	.0%	.3%	.5%	.6%	.0%	.4%
Total	8 Don't know	13	22	17	13	10	35	3	113
		5.0%	6.5%	4.8%	4.3%	5.1%	11.2%	10.7%	6.3%
		260	336	355	300	198	313	28	1790
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1 Getting Much Worse	130	259	389
		16.3%	26.2%	21.8%
	2 Getting Worse	376	423	799
		47.1%	42.8%	44.7%
	3 About the Same	196	197	393
		24.5%	19.9%	22.0%
	4 Getting Better	52	35	87
		6.5%	3.5%	4.9%
	5 Getting Much Better	5	1	6
		.6%	.1%	.3%
Total	8 Don't know	40	73	113
		5.0%	7.4%	6.3%
		799	988	1787
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1 Getting Much Worse	57	311	1	2	11	382
		10.5%	27.5%	7.7%	9.5%	18.0%	21.6%
	2 Getting Worse	240	524	2	3	22	791
		44.1%	46.3%	15.4%	14.3%	36.1%	44.7%
	3 About the Same	139	222	6	9	17	393
		25.6%	19.6%	46.2%	42.9%	27.9%	22.2%
	4 Getting Better	36	42	1	2	7	88
		6.6%	3.7%	7.7%	9.5%	11.5%	5.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	1	5	0	0	0	6
		.2%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	8 Don't know	71	28	3	5	4	111
Total		13.1%	2.5%	23.1%	23.8%	6.6%	6.3%
		544	1132	13	21	61	1771
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Vacant or abandoned buildings	1 Getting Much Worse	18	51	51	62	53	42	34	26	53	390
		18.2%	27.4%	23.4%	21.5%	17.4%	18.2%	21.9%	17.7%	33.1%	21.8%
	2 Getting Worse	50	75	100	138	123	113	70	59	71	799
		50.5%	40.3%	45.9%	47.9%	40.3%	48.9%	45.2%	40.1%	44.4%	44.7%
	3 About the Same	17	43	41	60	77	51	35	42	26	392
		17.2%	23.1%	18.8%	20.8%	25.2%	22.1%	22.6%	28.6%	16.3%	21.9%
	4 Getting Better	9	10	16	11	9	8	5	14	7	89
		9.1%	5.4%	7.3%	3.8%	3.0%	3.5%	3.2%	9.5%	4.4%	5.0%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	1	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	7
		.0%	.5%	.9%	.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Total	8 Don't know	5	6	8	16	43	14	11	6	3	112
		5.1%	3.2%	3.7%	5.6%	14.1%	6.1%	7.1%	4.1%	1.9%	6.3%
		99	186	218	288	305	231	155	147	160	1789
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1 Getting Much Worse	22	26	27	48	20	30	0	173
		8.5%	7.8%	7.7%	16.0%	10.1%	9.6%	.0%	9.7%
	2 Getting Worse	78	111	130	106	85	93	14	617
		30.0%	33.3%	37.1%	35.3%	42.9%	29.8%	50.0%	34.6%
	3 About the Same	110	162	146	117	71	126	10	742
		42.3%	48.6%	41.7%	39.0%	35.9%	40.4%	35.7%	41.7%
	4 Getting Better	15	17	23	11	9	14	1	90
		5.8%	5.1%	6.6%	3.7%	4.5%	4.5%	3.6%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	0	2	1	1	2	0	10
		1.5%	.0%	.6%	.3%	.5%	.6%	.0%	.6%
Total	8 Don't know	31	17	22	17	12	47	3	149
		11.9%	5.1%	6.3%	5.7%	6.1%	15.1%	10.7%	8.4%
		260	333	350	300	198	312	28	1781
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1 Getting Much Worse	63	109	172
		7.9%	11.1%	9.7%
	2 Getting Worse	257	359	616
		32.1%	36.6%	34.6%
	3 About the Same	388	354	742
		48.5%	36.1%	41.7%
	4 Getting Better	45	46	91
		5.6%	4.7%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	2	7	9
		.3%	.7%	.5%
Total	8 Don't know	45	105	150
		5.6%	10.7%	8.4%
		800	980	1780
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1 Getting Much Worse	36	124	0	0	4	164
		6.7%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	9.3%
	2 Getting Worse	167	418	3	2	19	609
		30.9%	37.0%	25.0%	10.0%	31.7%	34.6%
	3 About the Same	258	437	6	15	24	740
		47.7%	38.7%	50.0%	75.0%	40.0%	42.0%
	4 Getting Better	23	65	0	0	2	90
		4.3%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	3	6	0	0	1	10
		.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.6%
Total	8 Don't know	54	79	3	3	10	149
		10.0%	7.0%	25.0%	15.0%	16.7%	8.5%
		541	1129	12	20	60	1762
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Poorly maintained homes and properties	1 Getting Much Worse	10	16	28	25	22	19	20	11	22	173
		9.5%	8.7%	12.9%	8.6%	7.3%	8.2%	13.2%	7.6%	14.0%	9.7%
	2 Getting Worse	49	58	69	99	108	80	34	47	73	617
		46.7%	31.5%	31.8%	34.1%	35.6%	34.5%	22.4%	32.4%	46.5%	34.6%
	3 About the Same	26	91	85	116	132	104	75	70	43	742
		24.8%	49.5%	39.2%	40.0%	43.6%	44.8%	49.3%	48.3%	27.4%	41.6%
	4 Getting Better	9	9	11	24	8	8	6	8	8	91
		8.6%	4.9%	5.1%	8.3%	2.6%	3.4%	3.9%	5.5%	5.1%	5.1%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	1	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	11
		3.8%	.5%	.5%	.3%	1.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total	8 Don't know	7	9	23	25	30	20	17	9	11	151
		6.7%	4.9%	10.6%	8.6%	9.9%	8.6%	11.2%	6.2%	7.0%	8.5%
		105	184	217	290	303	232	152	145	157	1785
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing	1 Getting Much Worse	24	60	51	56	27	30	4	252
		9.2%	18.2%	14.5%	18.7%	13.8%	9.5%	14.3%	14.2%
	2 Getting Worse	105	116	132	130	86	104	11	684
		40.4%	35.2%	37.6%	43.5%	43.9%	32.9%	39.3%	38.4%
	3 About the Same	71	85	103	72	51	104	9	495
		27.3%	25.8%	29.3%	24.1%	26.0%	32.9%	32.1%	27.8%
	4 Getting Better	21	36	34	10	11	15	0	127
		8.1%	10.9%	9.7%	3.3%	5.6%	4.7%	.0%	7.1%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	7
		.0%	.9%	.0%	.3%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	8 Don't know	39	30	31	30	18	63	4	215
		15.0%	9.1%	8.8%	10.0%	9.2%	19.9%	14.3%	12.1%
		260	330	351	299	196	316	28	1780
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing	1 Getting Much Worse	91	160	251
		11.5%	16.2%	14.1%
	2 Getting Worse	300	385	685
		37.8%	39.1%	38.5%
	3 About the Same	267	228	495
		33.7%	23.1%	27.8%
	4 Getting Better	54	72	126
		6.8%	7.3%	7.1%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	5	2	7
		.6%	.2%	.4%
	8 Don't know	76	138	214
		9.6%	14.0%	12.0%
		793	985	1778
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing	1 Getting Much Worse	32	195	2	0	18	247
		6.0%	17.2%	20.0%	.0%	29.5%	14.0%
	2 Getting Worse	158	494	2	2	21	677
		29.5%	43.4%	20.0%	10.0%	34.4%	38.4%
	3 About the Same	190	280	2	13	8	493
		35.4%	24.6%	20.0%	65.0%	13.1%	27.9%
	4 Getting Better	63	60	1	2	1	127
		11.8%	5.3%	10.0%	10.0%	1.6%	7.2%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	1	6	0	0	0	7
		.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	8 Don't know	92	102	3	3	13	213
		17.2%	9.0%	30.0%	15.0%	21.3%	12.1%
		536	1137	10	20	61	1764
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: A lack of affordable housing	1 Getting Much Worse	12	21	27	47	44	29	17	26	254
		11.7%	11.8%	12.4%	16.3%	14.2%	12.6%	11.2%	17.7%	14.3%
	2 Getting Worse	52	72	58	110	117	99	58	57	685
		50.5%	40.4%	26.7%	38.2%	37.7%	43.0%	38.2%	38.8%	38.4%
	3 About the Same	23	61	77	79	71	63	46	29	497
		22.3%	34.3%	35.5%	27.4%	22.9%	27.4%	30.3%	19.7%	27.9%
	4 Getting Better	4	11	30	18	27	7	6	15	125
		3.9%	6.2%	13.8%	6.3%	8.7%	3.0%	3.9%	10.2%	7.0%
Total	5 Getting Much Better	0	0	1	0	1	3	2	0	7
		.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	.4%
	8 Don't know	12	13	24	34	50	29	23	20	214
		11.7%	7.3%	11.1%	11.8%	16.1%	12.6%	15.1%	13.6%	12.0%
		103	178	217	288	310	230	152	147	1782
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1 Getting Much Worse	9	29	34	18	19	21	1	131
		3.6%	8.6%	9.9%	6.1%	9.9%	6.9%	3.6%	7.5%
	2 Getting Worse	41	77	73	75	42	65	4	377
		16.5%	22.8%	21.2%	25.3%	21.9%	21.2%	14.3%	21.5%
	3 About the Same	151	177	184	159	101	150	18	940
		60.6%	52.4%	53.3%	53.7%	52.6%	49.0%	64.3%	53.6%
	4 Getting Better	20	24	12	19	6	19	0	100
		8.0%	7.1%	3.5%	6.4%	3.1%	6.2%	.0%	5.7%
	5 Getting Much Better	8	3	1	2	1	1	0	16
		3.2%	.9%	.3%	.7%	.5%	.3%	.0%	.9%
Total	8 Don't know	20	28	41	23	23	50	5	190
		8.0%	8.3%	11.9%	7.8%	12.0%	16.3%	17.9%	10.8%
		249	338	345	296	192	306	28	1754
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1 Getting Much Worse	57	74	131
		7.3%	7.7%	7.5%
	2 Getting Worse	172	206	378
		21.9%	21.3%	21.6%
	3 About the Same	415	524	939
		52.9%	54.2%	53.6%
	4 Getting Better	56	43	99
		7.1%	4.4%	5.7%
	5 Getting Much Better	5	10	15
		.6%	1.0%	.9%
Total	8 Don't know	80	110	190
		10.2%	11.4%	10.8%
		785	967	1752
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1 Getting Much Worse	53	71	2	0	4	130
		9.7%	6.5%	15.4%	.0%	6.7%	7.5%
	2 Getting Worse	127	222	3	2	17	371
		23.3%	20.2%	23.1%	10.5%	28.3%	21.3%
	3 About the Same	274	614	7	13	24	932
		50.2%	55.8%	53.8%	68.4%	40.0%	53.6%
	4 Getting Better	23	75	0	0	2	100
		4.2%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	5.8%
	5 Getting Much Better	1	13	0	0	1	15
		.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.9%
Total	8 Don't know	68	105	1	4	12	190
		12.5%	9.5%	7.7%	21.1%	20.0%	10.9%
		546	1100	13	19	60	1738
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in your neighborhood	1 Getting Much Worse	16	8	7	18	9	23	8	33	9	131
		15.8%	4.4%	3.3%	6.4%	2.9%	10.2%	5.4%	21.7%	6.2%	7.5%
	2 Getting Worse	16	39	53	57	31	53	35	59	36	379
		15.8%	21.4%	24.9%	20.2%	10.1%	23.5%	23.6%	38.8%	24.8%	21.6%
	3 About the Same	52	112	111	158	199	110	73	48	77	940
		51.5%	61.5%	52.1%	56.0%	64.8%	48.7%	49.3%	31.6%	53.1%	53.5%
	4 Getting Better	5	13	8	18	22	2	15	1	15	99
		5.0%	7.1%	3.8%	6.4%	7.2%	.9%	10.1%	.7%	10.3%	5.6%
	5 Getting Much Better	4	1	1	2	4	3	0	0	1	16
		4.0%	.5%	.5%	.7%	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.9%
8 Don't know		8	9	33	29	42	35	17	11	7	191
		7.9%	4.9%	15.5%	10.3%	13.7%	15.5%	11.5%	7.2%	4.8%	10.9%
	Total	101	182	213	282	307	226	148	152	145	1756
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas	1 Getting Much Worse	19	21	25	23	12	21	1	122
		7.6%	6.3%	7.3%	7.7%	6.1%	6.8%	3.6%	7.0%
	2 Getting Worse	84	75	114	98	63	84	8	526
		33.7%	22.4%	33.4%	33.0%	32.1%	27.3%	28.6%	30.0%
	3 About the Same	86	162	153	134	90	122	9	756
		34.5%	48.4%	44.9%	45.1%	45.9%	39.6%	32.1%	43.1%
	4 Getting Better	28	49	23	10	8	11	1	130
		11.2%	14.6%	6.7%	3.4%	4.1%	3.6%	3.6%	7.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.9%	.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	8 Don't know	32	25	25	31	23	70	9	215
		12.9%	7.5%	7.3%	10.4%	11.7%	22.7%	32.1%	12.3%
Total		249	335	341	297	196	308	28	1754
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas	1 Getting Much Worse	46	75	121
		5.8%	7.8%	6.9%
	2 Getting Worse	237	288	525
		30.0%	29.9%	30.0%
	3 About the Same	345	410	755
		43.7%	42.6%	43.1%
	4 Getting Better	84	46	130
		10.6%	4.8%	7.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	1	4	5
		.1%	.4%	.3%
	8 Don't know	76	139	215
		9.6%	14.4%	12.3%
Total		789	962	1751
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas	1 Getting Much Worse	21	96	1	0	2	120
		3.8%	8.7%	7.7%	.0%	3.4%	6.9%
	2 Getting Worse	134	365	2	2	16	519
		24.5%	33.2%	15.4%	10.0%	27.1%	29.9%
	3 About the Same	286	420	5	16	26	753
		52.2%	38.3%	38.5%	80.0%	44.1%	43.3%
	4 Getting Better	39	83	1	0	6	129
		7.1%	7.6%	7.7%	.0%	10.2%	7.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	4	1	0	0	5
		.0%	.4%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	8 Don't know	68	130	3	2	9	212
		12.4%	11.8%	23.1%	10.0%	15.3%	12.2%
Total		548	1098	13	20	59	1738
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Has it gotten better or worse: Finding parking in commercial areas	1 Getting Much Worse	8	12	18	19	13	14	19	10	122
		8.2%	6.6%	8.5%	6.8%	4.3%	6.2%	12.7%	6.7%	7.0%
	2 Getting Worse	28	60	65	92	70	71	45	42	524
		28.9%	32.8%	30.5%	32.7%	23.0%	31.6%	30.0%	28.0%	29.9%
	3 About the Same	40	88	72	123	138	96	58	75	755
		41.2%	48.1%	33.8%	43.8%	45.2%	42.7%	38.7%	50.0%	43.1%
	4 Getting Better	11	3	26	10	45	8	14	4	131
		11.3%	1.6%	12.2%	3.6%	14.8%	3.6%	9.3%	2.7%	7.5%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	8 Don't know	10	20	30	37	39	34	14	19	215
		10.3%	10.9%	14.1%	13.2%	12.8%	15.1%	9.3%	12.7%	12.3%
Total		97	183	213	281	305	225	150	150	1751
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling	1 Getting Much Worse	6 2.3%	39 11.7%	38 10.8%	40 13.7%	21 10.8%	20 6.5%	1 3.6%	165 9.4%
	2 Getting Worse	62 24.2%	105 31.5%	108 30.8%	105 35.8%	52 26.7%	83 27.0%	11 39.3%	526 29.8%
	3 About the Same	110 43.0%	152 45.6%	159 45.3%	109 37.2%	85 43.6%	130 42.3%	12 42.9%	757 42.9%
	4 Getting Better	24 9.4%	12 3.6%	18 5.1%	13 4.4%	16 8.2%	13 4.2%	0 .0%	96 5.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	0 .0%	8 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .7%	0 .0%	10 .6%
	8 Don't know	54 21.1%	17 5.1%	28 8.0%	26 8.9%	21 10.8%	59 19.2%	4 14.3%	209 11.9%
	Total	256 100.0%	333 100.0%	351 100.0%	293 100.0%	195 100.0%	307 100.0%	28 100.0%	1763 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling	1 Getting Much Worse	63 7.9%	101 10.4%	164 9.3%
	2 Getting Worse	239 30.1%	287 29.6%	526 29.8%
	3 About the Same	367 46.2%	390 40.3%	757 42.9%
	4 Getting Better	39 4.9%	56 5.8%	95 5.4%
	5 Getting Much Better	7 .9%	4 .4%	11 .6%
	8 Don't know	80 10.1%	130 13.4%	210 11.9%
	Total	795 100.0%	968 100.0%	1763 100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling	1 Getting Much Worse	38	124	0	2	2	166
		7.1%	11.1%	.0%	9.5%	3.3%	9.5%
	2 Getting Worse	142	354	3	3	19	521
		26.4%	31.7%	21.4%	14.3%	31.1%	29.8%
	3 About the Same	242	461	9	12	26	750
		45.0%	41.3%	64.3%	57.1%	42.6%	42.8%
	4 Getting Better	23	68	0	2	3	96
		4.3%	6.1%	.0%	9.5%	4.9%	5.5%
	5 Getting Much Better	3	6	0	0	2	11
		.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.6%
	8 Don't know	90	104	2	2	9	207
Total		538	1117	14	21	61	1751
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Has it gotten better or worse: Panhandling	1 Getting Much Worse	10	14	17	25	14	33	12	16	24	165
		9.7%	7.7%	8.0%	8.7%	4.6%	14.5%	8.1%	10.7%	15.6%	9.3%
	2 Getting Worse	38	63	67	83	81	61	51	39	43	526
		36.9%	34.8%	31.6%	28.9%	26.5%	26.9%	34.5%	26.2%	27.9%	29.8%
	3 About the Same	45	80	83	120	142	91	65	69	62	757
		43.7%	44.2%	39.2%	41.8%	46.4%	40.1%	43.9%	46.3%	40.3%	42.8%
	4 Getting Better	4	8	18	14	19	10	8	8	8	97
		3.9%	4.4%	8.5%	4.9%	6.2%	4.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.2%	5.5%
	5 Getting Much Better	0	0	2	1	0	7	0	0	0	10
		.0%	.0%	.9%	.3%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total	8 Don't know	6	16	25	44	50	25	12	17	17	212
		5.8%	8.8%	11.8%	15.3%	16.3%	11.0%	8.1%	11.4%	11.0%	12.0%
		103	181	212	287	306	227	148	149	154	1767
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	10	7	9	9	3	4	0	42
		3.8%	2.0%	2.5%	2.9%	1.5%	1.2%	.0%	2.3%
	2. Unsafe	35	23	32	33	14	23	2	162
		13.5%	6.7%	8.7%	10.8%	6.9%	7.0%	7.1%	8.8%
	3. Safe	138	202	190	157	110	206	18	1021
		53.1%	58.7%	51.9%	51.3%	54.5%	62.6%	64.3%	55.6%
	4. Very Safe	77	110	133	106	74	94	6	600
		29.6%	32.0%	36.3%	34.6%	36.6%	28.6%	21.4%	32.7%
	8. Don't Know	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	10
		.0%	.6%	.5%	.3%	.5%	.6%	7.1%	.5%
Total		260	344	366	306	202	329	28	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	16	26	42
		2.0%	2.6%	2.3%
	2. Unsafe	76	84	160
		9.3%	8.3%	8.7%
	3. Safe	397	624	1021
		48.4%	61.6%	55.7%
	4. Very Safe	328	272	600
		40.0%	26.9%	32.7%
	8. Don't Know	3	7	10
		.4%	.7%	.5%
Total		820	1013	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	6	35	0	1	0	42
		1.0%	3.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	2.3%
	2. Unsafe	43	113	0	2	3	161
		7.5%	9.8%	.0%	10.0%	4.9%	8.9%
	3. Safe	284	671	10	8	37	1010
		49.6%	58.4%	71.4%	40.0%	60.7%	55.6%
	4. Very Safe	239	321	4	9	21	594
		41.7%	27.9%	28.6%	45.0%	34.4%	32.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	1	9	0	0	0	10
		.2%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
		573	1149	14	20	61	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	1	7	2	5	1	11	4	2	10	43
		.9%	3.7%	.9%	1.7%	.3%	4.7%	2.5%	1.3%	6.2%	2.3%
	2. Unsafe	18	24	21	29	14	28	9	3	15	161
		16.4%	12.8%	9.5%	9.9%	4.4%	12.0%	5.7%	1.9%	9.3%	8.8%
	3. Safe	62	106	108	161	159	138	96	96	98	1024
		56.4%	56.7%	48.6%	54.9%	50.0%	59.0%	61.1%	61.1%	60.5%	55.7%
	4. Very Safe	29	50	91	96	142	56	45	55	37	601
		26.4%	26.7%	41.0%	32.8%	44.7%	23.9%	28.7%	35.0%	22.8%	32.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	1	2	11
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.6%	.4%	1.9%	.6%	1.2%	.6%
		110	187	222	293	318	234	157	157	162	1840
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night?	1. Very Unsafe	15	20	39	28	17	15	1	135
		5.8%	5.8%	10.6%	9.2%	8.4%	4.6%	3.6%	7.4%
	2. Unsafe	98	75	65	82	54	107	7	488
		37.7%	21.8%	17.7%	26.8%	26.7%	32.5%	25.0%	26.6%
	3. Safe	112	206	198	136	88	148	14	902
		43.1%	59.9%	54.0%	44.4%	43.6%	45.0%	50.0%	49.1%
	4. Very Safe	33	40	62	57	35	38	5	270
Total	8. Don't Know	2	3	3	3	8	21	1	41
		.8%	.9%	.8%	1.0%	4.0%	6.4%	3.6%	2.2%
		260	344	367	306	202	329	28	1836
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night?	1. Very Unsafe	56	78	134
		6.8%	7.7%	7.3%
	2. Unsafe	183	304	487
		22.3%	30.0%	26.6%
	3. Safe	424	479	903
		51.7%	47.2%	49.2%
	4. Very Safe	147	123	270
Total	8. Don't Know	10	30	40
		1.2%	3.0%	2.2%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night?	1. Very Unsafe	39	87	0	1	5	132
		6.8%	7.6%	.0%	5.0%	8.2%	7.3%
	2. Unsafe	152	309	2	4	12	479
		26.5%	26.9%	15.4%	20.0%	19.7%	26.4%
	3. Safe	293	540	9	15	37	894
		51.1%	47.0%	69.2%	75.0%	60.7%	49.3%
	4. Very Safe	79	184	2	0	5	270
		13.8%	16.0%	15.4%	.0%	8.2%	14.9%
Total	8. Don't Know	10	28	0	0	2	40
		1.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	2.2%
		573	1148	13	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How safe or unsafe do you feel in your neighborhood at night?	1. Very Unsafe	7	25	8	20	11	33	11	7	134
		6.4%	13.3%	3.6%	6.8%	3.5%	14.2%	7.1%	4.5%	7.3%
	2. Unsafe	27	51	69	74	66	59	35	42	487
		24.5%	27.1%	31.2%	25.3%	20.9%	25.3%	22.4%	26.8%	26.5%
	3. Safe	56	82	118	143	164	112	83	83	903
		50.9%	43.6%	53.4%	49.0%	51.9%	48.1%	53.2%	52.9%	49.2%
	4. Very Safe	17	27	23	50	68	24	21	22	270
		15.5%	14.4%	10.4%	17.1%	21.5%	10.3%	13.5%	14.0%	14.7%
Total	8. Don't Know	3	3	3	5	7	5	6	3	41
		2.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	2.2%	2.1%	3.8%	1.9%	2.2%
		110	188	221	292	316	233	156	157	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	0	9	16	9	3	10	47
		.0%	2.6%	4.4%	2.9%	1.5%	3.1%	2.6%
	2. Unsafe	34	46	47	43	26	49	251
		13.1%	13.4%	12.8%	14.0%	12.9%	15.1%	13.7%
	3. Safe	137	221	216	173	109	158	1027
		52.7%	64.2%	59.0%	56.4%	54.2%	48.6%	56.1%
	4. Very Safe	82	52	68	58	37	32	334
		31.5%	15.1%	18.6%	18.9%	18.4%	9.8%	18.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	7	16	19	24	26	76	172
		2.7%	4.7%	5.2%	7.8%	12.9%	23.4%	9.4%
		260	344	366	307	201	325	1831
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	26	22	48
		3.2%	2.2%	2.6%
	2. Unsafe	82	169	251
		10.0%	16.7%	13.7%
	3. Safe	453	573	1026
		55.4%	56.7%	56.1%
	4. Very Safe	210	123	333
		25.7%	12.2%	18.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	47	123	170
		5.7%	12.2%	9.3%
		818	1010	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	7	39	0	0	1	47
		1.2%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	2.6%
	2. Unsafe	61	178	0	9	3	251
		10.7%	15.5%	.0%	45.0%	4.8%	13.8%
	3. Safe	309	642	11	10	41	1013
		54.1%	56.0%	78.6%	50.0%	66.1%	55.9%
	4. Very Safe	144	180	2	0	7	333
		25.2%	15.7%	14.3%	.0%	11.3%	18.4%
Total	8. Don't Know	50	107	1	1	10	169
		8.8%	9.3%	7.1%	5.0%	16.1%	9.3%
		571	1146	14	20	62	1813
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	0	15	4	10	2	7	2	4	4	48
		.0%	8.0%	1.8%	3.4%	.6%	3.0%	1.3%	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%
	2. Unsafe	15	14	25	39	60	29	29	19	21	251
		13.6%	7.5%	11.4%	13.3%	19.0%	12.6%	18.6%	12.1%	13.1%	13.7%
	3. Safe	71	94	108	169	162	161	84	77	100	1026
		64.5%	50.3%	49.3%	57.7%	51.3%	70.0%	53.8%	49.0%	62.5%	56.1%
	4. Very Safe	21	41	72	47	55	13	24	36	23	332
		19.1%	21.9%	32.9%	16.0%	17.4%	5.7%	15.4%	22.9%	14.4%	18.2%
	8. Don't Know	3	23	10	28	37	20	17	21	12	171
	2.7%	12.3%	4.6%	9.6%	11.7%	8.7%	10.9%	13.4%	7.5%	9.4%	
Total		110	187	219	293	316	230	156	157	160	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night?	1. Very Unsafe	48	54	59	38	24	38	1	262
		18.5%	15.7%	16.3%	12.5%	11.9%	11.8%	3.6%	14.4%
	2. Unsafe	138	130	149	131	73	96	9	726
		53.1%	37.8%	41.0%	43.0%	36.3%	29.7%	32.1%	39.8%
	3. Safe	38	114	121	78	53	52	5	461
		14.6%	33.1%	33.3%	25.6%	26.4%	16.1%	17.9%	25.3%
	4. Very Safe	20	18	11	11	4	8	2	74
		7.7%	5.2%	3.0%	3.6%	2.0%	2.5%	7.1%	4.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	16	28	23	47	47	129	11	301
		6.2%	8.1%	6.3%	15.4%	23.4%	39.9%	39.3%	16.5%
		260	344	363	305	201	323	28	1824
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night?	1. Very Unsafe	99	162	261
		12.1%	16.1%	14.3%
	2. Unsafe	304	421	725
		37.3%	41.8%	39.8%
	3. Safe	267	195	462
		32.8%	19.4%	25.4%
	4. Very Safe	43	31	74
		5.3%	3.1%	4.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	102	198	300
		12.5%	19.7%	16.5%
		815	1007	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night?	1. Very Unsafe	61	190	0	2	6	259
		10.7%	16.6%	.0%	10.0%	10.0%	14.3%
	2. Unsafe	253	428	3	13	18	715
		44.5%	37.5%	21.4%	65.0%	30.0%	39.6%
	3. Safe	159	274	8	1	18	460
		27.9%	24.0%	57.1%	5.0%	30.0%	25.5%
	4. Very Safe	24	48	1	0	1	74
		4.2%	4.2%	7.1%	.0%	1.7%	4.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	72	202	2	4	17	297
		12.7%	17.7%	14.3%	20.0%	28.3%	16.5%
		569	1142	14	20	60	1805
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How safe or unsafe do you feel downtown at night?	1. Very Unsafe	12	22	36	57	43	30	19	17	26	262
		10.9%	11.7%	16.4%	19.5%	13.7%	13.0%	12.3%	10.8%	16.1%	14.3%
	2. Unsafe	43	75	89	98	131	107	70	56	58	727
		39.1%	39.9%	40.6%	33.4%	41.7%	46.3%	45.5%	35.7%	36.0%	39.8%
	3. Safe	33	44	67	82	54	55	36	43	50	464
		30.0%	23.4%	30.6%	28.0%	17.2%	23.8%	23.4%	27.4%	31.1%	25.4%
	4. Very Safe	8	12	7	9	19	3	2	11	3	74
		7.3%	6.4%	3.2%	3.1%	6.1%	1.3%	1.3%	7.0%	1.9%	4.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	14	35	20	47	67	36	27	30	24	300
		12.7%	18.6%	9.1%	16.0%	21.3%	15.6%	17.5%	19.1%	14.9%	16.4%
		110	188	219	293	314	231	154	157	161	1827
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	3	4	4	7	3	6	27
		1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	2.3%	1.5%	1.9%	1.5%
	2. Unsafe	15	39	40	45	25	45	213
		5.7%	11.5%	11.0%	14.8%	12.4%	14.0%	11.7%
	3. Safe	168	229	233	178	111	136	1065
		64.4%	67.6%	63.8%	58.4%	55.0%	42.4%	58.5%
	4. Very Safe	55	40	50	25	16	15	203
		21.1%	11.8%	13.7%	8.2%	7.9%	4.7%	11.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	20	27	38	50	47	119	313
		7.7%	8.0%	10.4%	16.4%	23.3%	37.1%	17.2%
		261	339	365	305	202	321	1821
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	15	13	28
		1.8%	1.3%	1.5%
	2. Unsafe	78	134	212
		9.6%	13.3%	11.6%
	3. Safe	500	566	1066
		61.3%	56.3%	58.6%
	4. Very Safe	125	77	202
		15.3%	7.7%	11.1%
Total	8. Don't Know	97	215	312
		11.9%	21.4%	17.1%
		815	1005	1820
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	6	20	0	0	1	27
		1.1%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	1.5%
	2. Unsafe	64	140	1	2	3	210
		11.2%	12.3%	7.7%	10.0%	5.1%	11.7%
	3. Safe	300	689	8	16	42	1055
		52.6%	60.5%	61.5%	80.0%	71.2%	58.6%
	4. Very Safe	99	98	2	0	3	202
		17.4%	8.6%	15.4%	.0%	5.1%	11.2%
Total	8. Don't Know	101	192	2	2	10	307
		17.7%	16.9%	15.4%	10.0%	16.9%	17.0%
		570	1139	13	20	59	1801
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
How safe or unsafe do you feel In city parks during the day?	1. Very Unsafe	3	3	1	6	5	1	1	4	4	28
		2.8%	1.6%	.5%	2.1%	1.6%	.4%	.7%	2.5%	2.5%	1.5%
	2. Unsafe	12	18	19	26	39	38	18	15	27	212
		11.0%	9.7%	8.6%	9.0%	12.4%	16.5%	11.8%	9.6%	16.8%	11.6%
	3. Safe	67	101	121	176	175	152	91	89	94	1066
		61.5%	54.6%	55.0%	60.7%	55.7%	65.8%	59.5%	56.7%	58.4%	58.6%
	4. Very Safe	17	25	44	25	22	12	12	30	15	202
		15.6%	13.5%	20.0%	8.6%	7.0%	5.2%	7.8%	19.1%	9.3%	11.1%
	8. Don't Know	10	38	35	57	73	28	31	19	21	312
		9.2%	20.5%	15.9%	19.7%	23.2%	12.1%	20.3%	12.1%	13.0%	17.1%
Total		109	185	220	290	314	231	153	157	161	1820
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers?	1. Very Familiar	4	7	12	15	9	14	61
		1.5%	2.0%	3.3%	4.9%	4.5%	4.2%	3.3%
	2. Familiar	32	50	58	55	39	55	299
		12.3%	14.5%	15.8%	18.0%	19.3%	16.7%	16.3%
	3. Unfamiliar	85	109	129	101	70	120	618
		32.7%	31.7%	35.2%	33.1%	34.7%	36.4%	33.7%
	4. Very Unfamiliar	40	75	56	41	40	60	315
		15.4%	21.8%	15.3%	13.4%	19.8%	18.2%	17.2%
Total	8. Never heard of them	99	103	111	93	44	81	542
		38.1%	29.9%	30.3%	30.5%	21.8%	24.5%	29.5%
		260	344	366	305	202	330	1835
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers?	1. Very Familiar	20	39	59
		2.4%	3.8%	3.2%
	2. Familiar	132	167	299
		16.1%	16.5%	16.3%
	3. Unfamiliar	275	344	619
		33.5%	33.9%	33.8%
	4. Very Unfamiliar	145	171	316
		17.7%	16.9%	17.2%
Total	8. Never heard of them	248	293	541
		30.2%	28.9%	29.5%
		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers?	1. Very Familiar	11	42	0	0	6	59
		1.9%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	3.3%
	2. Familiar	42	233	1	7	14	297
		7.3%	20.3%	7.7%	35.0%	23.0%	16.4%
	3. Unfamiliar	196	390	5	2	18	611
		34.2%	34.0%	38.5%	10.0%	29.5%	33.7%
	4. Very Unfamiliar	127	179	0	4	4	314
		22.2%	15.6%	.0%	20.0%	6.6%	17.3%
Total	8. Never heard of them	197	304	7	7	19	534
		34.4%	26.5%	53.8%	35.0%	31.1%	29.4%
		573	1148	13	20	61	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
How familiar would you say you are with Baltimore City's Community Action Centers?	1. Very Familiar	2	5	6	13	8	10	7	7	61
		1.8%	2.7%	2.7%	4.4%	2.5%	4.3%	4.5%	4.4%	3.3%
	2. Familiar	11	45	32	57	57	28	17	25	299
		10.0%	23.9%	14.5%	19.5%	18.0%	12.0%	10.9%	15.8%	16.3%
	3. Unfamiliar	33	60	81	98	102	91	49	52	619
		30.0%	31.9%	36.7%	33.4%	32.2%	38.9%	31.4%	32.9%	33.7%
	4. Very Unfamiliar	16	34	46	61	55	29	27	23	316
		14.5%	18.1%	20.8%	20.8%	17.4%	12.4%	17.3%	14.6%	17.2%
Total	8. Never heard of them	48	44	56	64	95	76	56	51	542
		43.6%	23.4%	25.3%	21.8%	30.0%	32.5%	35.9%	32.3%	29.5%
		110	188	221	293	317	234	156	158	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station?	1. Yes	67	130	165	156	87	154	12	771
		25.8%	37.8%	45.1%	51.1%	43.3%	46.7%	42.9%	42.0%
	2. No	193	207	196	144	110	169	15	1034
		74.2%	60.2%	53.6%	47.2%	54.7%	51.2%	53.6%	56.4%
	8. Don't Know	0	7	5	5	4	7	1	29
		.0%	2.0%	1.4%	1.6%	2.0%	2.1%	3.6%	1.6%
Total		260	344	366	305	201	330	28	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station?	1. Yes	348	422	770
		42.4%	41.6%	42.0%
	2. No	463	571	1034
		56.5%	56.3%	56.4%
	8. Don't Know	9	21	30
		1.1%	2.1%	1.6%
Total		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station?	1. Yes	150	586	2	0	24	762
		26.2%	51.0%	15.4%	.0%	40.0%	42.0%
	2. No	412	547	11	19	34	1023
		71.9%	47.6%	84.6%	95.0%	56.7%	56.4%
	8. Don't Know	11	15	0	1	2	29
		1.9%	1.3%	.0%	5.0%	3.3%	1.6%

Total	573	1148	13	20	60	1814
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Have you ever watched TV25, the City's government access station?	1. Yes	29	77	86	126	127	96	79	56	95	771
		26.4%	41.2%	38.9%	43.2%	40.1%	41.4%	51.0%	35.4%	59.0%	42.1%
	2. No	79	105	134	160	187	132	74	98	66	1035
		71.8%	56.1%	60.6%	54.8%	59.0%	56.9%	47.7%	62.0%	41.0%	56.5%
	8. Don't Know	2	5	1	6	3	4	2	4	0	27
		1.8%	2.7%	.5%	2.1%	.9%	1.7%	1.3%	2.5%	.0%	1.5%
Total		110	187	221	292	317	232	155	158	161	1833
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer?	1. Yes	7	19	35	36	22	35	3	157
		10.4%	14.6%	21.2%	23.1%	25.0%	22.7%	25.0%	20.3%
	2. No	60	110	130	120	64	118	9	611
		89.6%	84.6%	78.8%	76.9%	72.7%	76.6%	75.0%	79.1%
	8. Don't Know	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	4
		.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.6%	.0%	.5%
Total		67	130	165	156	88	154	12	772
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer?	1. Yes	65	91	156
		18.7%	21.6%	20.3%
	2. No	280	330	610
		80.5%	78.2%	79.2%
	8. Don't Know	3	1	4
		.9%	.2%	.5%
Total		348	422	770

	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
--	--------	--------	--------

Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity				
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	5. Other	Total
Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer?	1. Yes	13	134	0	6	153
		8.7%	22.8%	.0%	25.0%	20.1%
	2. No	135	450	2	18	605
		90.0%	76.7%	100.0%	75.0%	79.3%
	8. Don't Know	2	3	0	0	5
		1.3%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Total		150	587	2	24	763
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Do you consider yourself a regular TV25 viewer?	1. Yes	10	17	9	19	31	22	18	12	19	157
		34.5%	22.1%	10.5%	15.1%	24.4%	22.7%	22.5%	21.8%	20.0%	20.3%
	2. No	18	60	76	106	95	75	62	43	76	611
		62.1%	77.9%	88.4%	84.1%	74.8%	77.3%	77.5%	78.2%	80.0%	79.1%
	8. Don't Know	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
		3.4%	.0%	1.2%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Total		29	77	86	126	127	97	80	55	95	772
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	Total
Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25?	1. Yes	40	77	115	112	60	88	8	500
		59.7%	59.2%	70.1%	71.8%	69.0%	57.5%	66.7%	65.0%
	2. No	23	42	43	34	18	47	3	210
		34.3%	32.3%	26.2%	21.8%	20.7%	30.7%	25.0%	27.3%
	8. Don't Know	4	11	6	10	9	18	1	59
		6.0%	8.5%	3.7%	6.4%	10.3%	11.8%	8.3%	7.7%

Total	67	130	164	156	87	153	12	769
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25?	1. Yes	196	303	499
		56.3%	71.8%	64.8%
	2. No	121	89	210
		34.8%	21.1%	27.3%
	8. Don't Know	31	30	61
		8.9%	7.1%	7.9%
Total		348	422	770
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity				Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	5. Other	
Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25?	1. Yes	90	387	1	20	498
		60.4%	66.0%	33.3%	83.3%	65.4%
	2. No	46	154	1	4	205
		30.9%	26.3%	33.3%	16.7%	26.9%
	8. Don't Know	13	45	1	0	59
		8.7%	7.7%	33.3%	.0%	7.7%
Total		149	586	3	24	762
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Would you like to see more government meetings and hearings on TV25?	1. Yes	22	47	50	77	89	70	43	38	500
		75.9%	61.0%	58.8%	61.1%	70.1%	72.9%	54.4%	67.9%	64.9%
	2. No	3	20	29	36	32	23	32	11	211
		10.3%	26.0%	34.1%	28.6%	25.2%	24.0%	40.5%	19.6%	27.4%
	8. Don't Know	4	10	6	13	6	3	4	7	59
		13.8%	13.0%	7.1%	10.3%	4.7%	3.1%	5.1%	12.5%	7.7%

Total	29	77	85	126	127	96	79	56	95	770
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25?	1. Continue	96	147	182	160	84	138	11	818
		84.2%	86.5%	80.9%	87.0%	75.7%	69.7%	100.0%	80.8%
	2. Discontinue	8	11	14	9	7	16	0	65
		7.0%	6.5%	6.2%	4.9%	6.3%	8.1%	.0%	6.4%
	8. Don't know	10	12	29	15	20	44	0	130
		8.8%	7.1%	12.9%	8.2%	18.0%	22.2%	.0%	12.8%
Total		114	170	225	184	111	198	11	1013
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25?	1. Continue	360	458	818
		80.9%	80.5%	80.7%
	2. Discontinue	36	30	66
		8.1%	5.3%	6.5%
	8. Don't know	49	81	130
		11.0%	14.2%	12.8%
Total		445	569	1014
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25?	1. Continue	162	620	6	0	24	812
		64.0%	87.2%	85.7%	.0%	72.7%	80.8%
	2. Discontinue	37	22	0	1	6	66
		14.6%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%	18.2%	6.6%
	8. Don't know	54	69	1	0	3	127
		21.3%	9.7%	14.3%	.0%	9.1%	12.6%

Total	253	711	7	1	33	1005
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Do you think the City should continue or discontinue TV25?	1. Continue	52	89	85	126	131	93	83	57	103	819
		73.2%	77.4%	78.0%	81.3%	86.2%	80.2%	85.6%	71.3%	85.1%	80.6%
	2. Discontinue	6	11	6	12	10	8	4	6	3	66
		8.5%	9.6%	5.5%	7.7%	6.6%	6.9%	4.1%	7.5%	2.5%	6.5%
	8. Don't know	13	15	18	17	11	15	10	17	15	131
		18.3%	13.0%	16.5%	11.0%	7.2%	12.9%	10.3%	21.3%	12.4%	12.9%
Total		71	115	109	155	152	116	97	80	121	1016
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years?	1. Very likely	85	104	82	44	21	25	1	362
		32.6%	30.2%	22.3%	14.4%	10.4%	7.6%	3.6%	19.7%
	2. Likely	45	49	74	43	21	21	5	258
		17.2%	14.2%	20.2%	14.1%	10.4%	6.4%	17.9%	14.0%
	3. Not likely	57	127	99	87	65	102	11	548
		21.8%	36.9%	27.0%	28.4%	32.2%	31.0%	39.3%	29.8%
	4. Not at all likely	53	57	87	117	87	169	9	579
		20.3%	16.6%	23.7%	38.2%	43.1%	51.4%	32.1%	31.5%
Total	8. Don't know	21	7	25	15	8	12	2	90
		8.0%	2.0%	6.8%	4.9%	4.0%	3.6%	7.1%	4.9%
		261	344	367	306	202	329	28	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years?	1. Very likely	193	168	361
		23.5%	16.6%	19.7%
	2. Likely	108	149	257

		13.2%	14.7%	14.0%
3. Not likely		252	297	549
		30.7%	29.3%	29.9%
4. Not at all likely		234	344	578
		28.5%	33.9%	31.5%
8. Don't know		33	56	89
		4.0%	5.5%	4.9%
Total		820	1014	1834
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years?	1. Very likely	100	245	2	4	5	356
		17.4%	21.3%	14.3%	21.1%	8.3%	19.6%
	2. Likely	87	144	0	8	13	252
		15.2%	12.5%	.0%	42.1%	21.7%	13.9%
	3. Not likely	168	347	8	2	18	543
		29.3%	30.2%	57.1%	10.5%	30.0%	29.9%
	4. Not at all likely	194	353	4	3	22	576
		33.8%	30.7%	28.6%	15.8%	36.7%	31.7%
	8. Don't know	25	60	0	2	2	89
		4.4%	5.2%	.0%	10.5%	3.3%	4.9%
Total		574	1149	14	19	60	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How likely are you to move out of Baltimore in the next 1 to 3 years?	1. Very likely	16	45	43	69	28	45	46	33	37	362
		14.5%	24.1%	19.3%	23.5%	8.8%	19.2%	29.5%	21.0%	23.0%	19.7%
	2. Likely	14	26	27	43	45	44	18	25	16	258
		12.7%	13.9%	12.1%	14.7%	14.2%	18.8%	11.5%	15.9%	9.9%	14.0%
	3. Not likely	26	58	67	82	116	72	42	41	44	548

		23.6%	31.0%	30.0%	28.0%	36.6%	30.8%	26.9%	26.1%	27.3%	29.8%
	4. Not at all likely	42	50	75	84	109	60	46	56	57	579
		38.2%	26.7%	33.6%	28.7%	34.4%	25.6%	29.5%	35.7%	35.4%	31.5%
	8. Don't know	12	8	11	15	19	13	4	2	7	91
		10.9%	4.3%	4.9%	5.1%	6.0%	5.6%	2.6%	1.3%	4.3%	5.0%
Total		110	187	223	293	317	234	156	157	161	1838
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why?	1. Crime rate is too high	29	38	42	26	9	9	0	153
		22.5%	24.7%	26.9%	29.9%	21.4%	19.1%	.0%	24.6%
	2. Poor quality public schools	12	9	21	1	0	0	0	43
		9.3%	5.8%	13.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%
	3. Taxes are too high	9	6	21	9	7	8	2	62
		7.0%	3.9%	13.5%	10.3%	16.7%	17.0%	33.3%	10.0%
	4. Not enough open space/desire for a backyard	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	5
		.0%	.6%	1.3%	1.1%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.8%
	5. Cost of living is too high	0	10	9	7	5	6	1	38
		.0%	6.5%	5.8%	8.0%	11.9%	12.8%	16.7%	6.1%
	6. Pursue another job	15	16	13	7	0	0	1	52
		11.6%	10.4%	8.3%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	8.4%
	7. Pursue an education	8	4	0	0	1	0	0	13
		6.2%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	8. Moving is involuntary	8	3	0	2	0	0	0	13
		6.2%	1.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	9. Other [SPECIFY]	39	66	48	34	20	18	2	227
		30.2%	42.9%	30.8%	39.1%	47.6%	38.3%	33.3%	36.6%
	98. Don't Know	9	1	0	0	0	5	0	15
		7.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%	2.4%
Total		129	154	156	87	42	47	6	621
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why?	1. Crime rate is too high	77 25.7%	75 23.6%	152 24.6%
	2. Poor quality public schools	28 9.3%	15 4.7%	43 7.0%
	3. Taxes are too high	33 11.0%	29 9.1%	62 10.0%
	4. Not enough open space/desire for a backyard	1 .3%	4 1.3%	5 .8%
	5. Cost of living is too high	15 5.0%	22 6.9%	37 6.0%
	6. Pursue another job	33 11.0%	19 6.0%	52 8.4%
	7. Pursue an education	5 1.7%	8 2.5%	13 2.1%
	8. Moving is involuntary	6 2.0%	7 2.2%	13 2.1%
	9. Other [SPECIFY]	96 32.0%	130 40.9%	226 36.6%
	98. Don't Know	6 2.0%	9 2.8%	15 2.4%
	Total	300 100.0%	318 100.0%	618 100.0%

If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why?	1. Crime rate is too high	22	120	0	0	5	147
		11.9%	30.7%	.0%	.0%	26.3%	24.1%
	2. Poor quality public schools	28	9	0	3	2	42
		15.1%	2.3%	.0%	23.1%	10.5%	6.9%
	3. Taxes are too high	30	27	0	0	5	62
		16.2%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	26.3%	10.2%
	4. Not enough open space/desire for a backyard	0	5	0	0	0	5
		.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	5. Cost of living is too high	15	22	0	0	0	37
		8.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	6. Pursue another job	16	33	0	0	3	52
		8.6%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	8.5%
	7. Pursue an education	7	6	0	0	0	13
		3.8%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	8. Moving is involuntary	6	6	0	0	0	12
		3.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	9. Other [SPECIFY]	59	150	2	10	4	225
		31.9%	38.4%	100.0%	76.9%	21.1%	36.9%
	98. Don't Know	2	13	0	0	0	15
		1.1%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
Total		185	391	2	13	19	610
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
If you are planning to leave the City, what is the primary reason why?	1. Crime rate is too high	8 26.7%	30 41.7%	6 8.6%	24 21.6%	15 20.3%	24 27.0%	16 25.0%	11 19.6%	18 33.3%	152 24.5%
	2. Poor quality public schools	0 .0%	13 18.1%	17 24.3%	3 2.7%	1 1.4%	4 4.5%	4 6.3%	3 5.4%	0 .0%	45 7.3%
	3. Taxes are too high	2 6.7%	4 5.6%	13 18.6%	12 10.8%	8 10.8%	11 12.4%	6 9.4%	3 5.4%	2 3.7%	61 9.8%
	4. Not enough open space/desire for a backyard	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	2 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 .8%
	5. Cost of living is too high	4 13.3%	1 1.4%	3 4.3%	7 6.3%	1 1.4%	11 12.4%	6 9.4%	2 3.6%	3 5.6%	38 6.1%
	6. Pursue another job	2 6.7%	8 11.1%	3 4.3%	12 10.8%	5 6.8%	2 2.2%	12 18.8%	7 12.5%	0 .0%	51 8.2%
	7. Pursue an education	0 .0%	4 5.6%	1 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.6%	6 11.1%	13 2.1%
	8. Moving is involuntary	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.9%	4 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.6%	4 7.1%	2 3.7%	13 2.1%
	9. Other [SPECIFY]	14 46.7%	6 8.3%	24 34.3%	46 41.4%	43 58.1%	34 38.2%	16 25.0%	24 42.9%	19 35.2%	226 36.5%
	98. Don't Know	0 .0%	6 8.3%	1 1.4%	1 .9%	1 1.4%	2 2.2%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	4 7.4%	16 2.6%
	Total	30 100.0%	72 100.0%	70 100.0%	111 100.0%	74 100.0%	89 100.0%	64 100.0%	56 100.0%	54 100.0%	620 100.0%

Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have: * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have:	1. Greatly improved	11 4.2%	31 9.3%	28 7.8%	24 8.1%	15 7.6%	37 11.5%	2 7.1%	148 8.2%
	2. Somewhat improved	78 30.0%	79 23.7%	127 35.4%	88 29.5%	61 30.8%	117 36.3%	8 28.6%	558 31.0%
	3. Stayed about the same	38 14.6%	70 21.0%	79 22.0%	56 18.8%	31 15.7%	48 14.9%	5 17.9%	327 18.2%

4. Somewhat declined	51	61	45	45	32	26	3	263
	19.6%	18.3%	12.5%	15.1%	16.2%	8.1%	10.7%	14.6%
5. Greatly declined	26	32	33	34	17	20	1	163
	10.0%	9.6%	9.2%	11.4%	8.6%	6.2%	3.6%	9.1%
8. Don't know	56	60	47	51	42	74	9	339
	21.5%	18.0%	13.1%	17.1%	21.2%	23.0%	32.1%	18.9%
Total	260	333	359	298	198	322	28	1798
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have: * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		
		1. Male	2. Female	Total
Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have:	1. Greatly improved	62	87	149
		7.7%	8.8%	8.3%
	2. Somewhat improved	264	293	557
		32.8%	29.5%	31.0%
	3. Stayed about the same	160	168	328
		19.9%	16.9%	18.3%
	4. Somewhat declined	108	154	262
		13.4%	15.5%	14.6%
Total	5. Greatly declined	66	96	162
		8.2%	9.7%	9.0%
	8. Don't know	145	194	339
		18.0%	19.6%	18.9%
		805	992	1797
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have: * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have:	1. Greatly improved	44	102	0	0	3	149
		8.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	8.4%
	2. Somewhat improved	157	366	3	11	18	555
		28.7%	32.2%	25.0%	52.4%	29.0%	31.2%

Total	3. Stayed about the same	96	213	4	4	7	324
		17.6%	18.7%	33.3%	19.0%	11.3%	18.2%
	4. Somewhat declined	53	188	1	4	12	258
		9.7%	16.5%	8.3%	19.0%	19.4%	14.5%
	5. Greatly declined	21	128	0	0	12	161
		3.8%	11.2%	.0%	.0%	19.4%	9.0%
	8. Don't know	176	141	4	2	10	333
		32.2%	12.4%	33.3%	9.5%	16.1%	18.7%
		547	1138	12	21	62	1780
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have: * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Overall, in the last 3 years, would you say that Baltimore City Public Schools have:	1. Greatly improved	9	14	16	23	39	11	10	14	12	148
		8.5%	7.6%	7.3%	7.9%	12.5%	4.8%	6.6%	9.5%	7.7%	8.2%
	2. Somewhat improved	29	63	75	82	81	61	50	53	62	556
		27.4%	34.1%	34.2%	28.3%	26.0%	26.4%	33.1%	35.8%	40.0%	31.0%
	3. Stayed about the same	28	42	30	49	52	43	26	27	32	329
		26.4%	22.7%	13.7%	16.9%	16.7%	18.6%	17.2%	18.2%	20.6%	18.3%
	4. Somewhat declined	14	25	44	33	35	38	31	21	20	261
		13.2%	13.5%	20.1%	11.4%	11.3%	16.5%	20.5%	14.2%	12.9%	14.5%
Total	5. Greatly declined	10	16	17	40	27	22	12	7	12	163
		9.4%	8.6%	7.8%	13.8%	8.7%	9.5%	7.9%	4.7%	7.7%	9.1%
	8. Don't know	16	25	37	63	77	56	22	26	17	339
		15.1%	13.5%	16.9%	21.7%	24.8%	24.2%	14.6%	17.6%	11.0%	18.9%
		106	185	219	290	311	231	151	148	155	1796
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City?	1. Extremely important	147	231	267	211	134	194	18	1202
		56.3%	67.2%	73.0%	69.2%	66.0%	58.8%	64.3%	65.4%
	2. Very important	103	69	61	64	49	89	6	441
		39.5%	20.1%	16.7%	21.0%	24.1%	27.0%	21.4%	24.0%
	3. Somewhat important	7	11	15	11	3	5	0	52
		2.7%	3.2%	4.1%	3.6%	1.5%	1.5%	.0%	2.8%
	4. Not that important	0	2	3	0	1	3	0	9
		.0%	.6%	.8%	.0%	.5%	.9%	.0%	.5%
Total	5. Not important at all	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.2%
	8. Don't know	4	31	19	19	16	36	4	129
		1.5%	9.0%	5.2%	6.2%	7.9%	10.9%	14.3%	7.0%
		261	344	366	305	203	330	28	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City?	1. Extremely important	524 63.9%	677 66.8%	1201 65.5%
	2. Very important	214 26.1%	227 22.4%	441 24.0%
	3. Somewhat important	27 3.3%	24 2.4%	51 2.8%
	4. Not that important	3 .4%	6 .6%	9 .5%
	5. Not important at all	1 .1%	3 .3%	4 .2%
	8. Don't know	51 6.2%	77 7.6%	128 7.0%
	Total	820 100.0%	1014 100.0%	1834 100.0%

How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City?	1. Extremely important	346 60.4%	792 68.9%	10 76.9%	8 40.0%	34 55.7%	1190 65.5%
	2. Very important	143 25.0%	259 22.5%	2 15.4%	10 50.0%	21 34.4%	435 24.0%
	3. Somewhat important	15 2.6%	36 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.6%	52 2.9%
	4. Not that important	3 .5%	5 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 .4%
	5. Not important at all	1 .2%	4 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 .3%
	8. Don't know	65 11.3%	53 4.6%	1 7.7%	2 10.0%	5 8.2%	126 6.9%
	Total	573 100.0%	1149 100.0%	13 100.0%	20 100.0%	61 100.0%	1816 100.0%

How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How important do you believe the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools are to the future of the City?	1. Extremely important	76	135	141	191	199	141	104	93	122	1202
		69.1%	71.8%	64.1%	65.4%	62.8%	60.0%	66.7%	58.9%	75.8%	65.4%
	2. Very important	23	34	63	65	80	62	38	50	28	443
		20.9%	18.1%	28.6%	22.3%	25.2%	26.4%	24.4%	31.6%	17.4%	24.1%
	3. Somewhat important	7	8	4	11	4	1	5	6	6	52
		6.4%	4.3%	1.8%	3.8%	1.3%	.4%	3.2%	3.8%	3.7%	2.8%
	4. Not that important	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	9
		.0%	1.6%	.9%	.3%	.3%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.5%
	5. Not important at all	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
		.0%	.5%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.2%
Total	8. Don't know	4	7	9	24	33	29	9	9	3	127
		3.6%	3.7%	4.1%	8.2%	10.4%	12.3%	5.8%	5.7%	1.9%	6.9%
		110	188	220	292	317	235	156	158	161	1837
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools?	1. Yes	186	214	278	206	129	159	18	1190
		71.5%	62.6%	76.2%	67.5%	64.8%	48.6%	64.3%	65.2%
	2. No	65	79	57	72	40	103	4	420
		25.0%	23.1%	15.6%	23.6%	20.1%	31.5%	14.3%	23.0%
	8. Don't Know	9	49	30	27	30	65	6	216
		3.5%	14.3%	8.2%	8.9%	15.1%	19.9%	21.4%	11.8%
	Total	260	342	365	305	199	327	28	1826
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you believe that you, yourself, have 1. Yes		512	677	1189

a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools?		62.9%	67.0%	65.2%
2. No		217	203	420
		26.7%	20.1%	23.0%
8. Don't Know		85	131	216
		10.4%	13.0%	11.8%
Total		814	1011	1825
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools?	1. Yes	278	838	9	16	40	1181
		48.8%	73.4%	64.3%	80.0%	65.6%	65.4%
	2. No	196	200	2	2	12	412
		34.4%	17.5%	14.3%	10.0%	19.7%	22.8%
	8. Don't Know	96	104	3	2	9	214
		16.8%	9.1%	21.4%	10.0%	14.8%	11.8%
Total		570	1142	14	20	61	1807
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Do you believe that you, yourself, have a role in ensuring the improvements of Baltimore City Public Schools?	1. Yes	67	124	173	191	190	144	97	87	116	1189
		61.5%	66.7%	78.3%	65.9%	60.1%	62.1%	63.0%	55.8%	72.0%	65.2%
	2. No	32	41	37	58	75	55	39	46	38	421
		29.4%	22.0%	16.7%	20.0%	23.7%	23.7%	25.3%	29.5%	23.6%	23.1%
	8. Don't Know	10	21	11	41	51	33	18	23	7	215
		9.2%	11.3%	5.0%	14.1%	16.1%	14.2%	11.7%	14.7%	4.3%	11.8%
Total		109	186	221	290	316	232	154	156	161	1825
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What sector do you work in? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
What sector do you work in?	1. Non-profit	16	26	63	32	19	10	5	171
		6.1%	7.6%	17.3%	10.5%	9.5%	3.0%	17.9%	9.4%
	2. Private	69	119	122	83	36	11	7	447
		26.4%	34.8%	33.5%	27.3%	17.9%	3.4%	25.0%	24.5%
	3. Government	26	54	62	64	29	11	6	252
		10.0%	15.8%	17.0%	21.1%	14.4%	3.4%	21.4%	13.8%
	4. Unemployed	95	58	45	56	27	14	1	296
		36.4%	17.0%	12.4%	18.4%	13.4%	4.3%	3.6%	16.2%
	5. Self-employed	0	41	33	15	16	6	1	112
		.0%	12.0%	9.1%	4.9%	8.0%	1.8%	3.6%	6.1%
	6. Retired	4	7	5	32	67	266	7	388
		1.5%	2.0%	1.4%	10.5%	33.3%	81.1%	25.0%	21.2%
	7. Student	36	19	2	2	0	0	0	59
		13.8%	5.6%	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	8. Full time Homemaker/Caregiver	13	18	22	16	6	8	1	84
		5.0%	5.3%	6.0%	5.3%	3.0%	2.4%	3.6%	4.6%
	9. Don't know	2	0	10	4	1	2	0	19
		.8%	.0%	2.7%	1.3%	.5%	.6%	.0%	1.0%
Total		261	342	364	304	201	328	28	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What sector do you work in? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
What sector do you work in?	1. Non-profit	71	101	172
		8.7%	10.0%	9.4%
	2. Private	256	192	448
		31.3%	19.0%	24.5%
	3. Government	83	167	250
		10.2%	16.5%	13.7%
	4. Unemployed	138	159	297
		16.9%	15.7%	16.2%
	5. Self-employed	71	40	111
		8.7%	4.0%	6.1%
	6. Retired	156	232	388
		19.1%	22.9%	21.2%
Total	7. Student	15	44	59
		1.8%	4.4%	3.2%
	8. Full time Homemaker/Caregiver	18	65	83
		2.2%	6.4%	4.5%
	9. Don't know	9	11	20
		1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
		817	1011	1828
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What sector do you work in? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
What sector do you work in?	1. Non-profit	70	91	1	2	5	169
		12.2%	8.0%	7.1%	10.0%	8.2%	9.3%
	2. Private	209	214	3	6	12	444
		36.5%	18.7%	21.4%	30.0%	19.7%	24.5%
	3. Government	43	182	5	4	13	247
		7.5%	15.9%	35.7%	20.0%	21.3%	13.6%
	4. Unemployed	41	235	0	7	14	297
		7.2%	20.6%	.0%	35.0%	23.0%	16.4%
	5. Self-employed	41	65	0	0	6	112
		7.2%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	6.2%
	6. Retired	121	248	3	0	9	381
		21.1%	21.7%	21.4%	.0%	14.8%	21.0%
	7. Student	22	37	0	0	0	59
		3.8%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%
	8. Full time Homemaker/Caregiver	22	58	1	1	1	83
		3.8%	5.1%	7.1%	5.0%	1.6%	4.6%
	9. Don't know	4	13	1	0	1	19
		.7%	1.1%	7.1%	.0%	1.6%	1.0%
Total		573	1143	14	20	61	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What sector do you work in? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
What sector do you work in?	1. Non-profit	6	42	17	35	22	19	9	10	12	172
		5.4%	22.6%	7.7%	12.0%	7.0%	8.2%	5.8%	6.4%	7.5%	9.4%
	2. Private	26	40	60	74	80	56	33	43	36	448
		23.4%	21.5%	27.1%	25.4%	25.4%	24.1%	21.2%	27.6%	22.6%	24.5%
	3. Government	8	14	28	57	35	46	27	12	24	251
		7.2%	7.5%	12.7%	19.6%	11.1%	19.8%	17.3%	7.7%	15.1%	13.7%
	4. Unemployed	25	32	54	34	32	40	25	29	25	296
		22.5%	17.2%	24.4%	11.7%	10.2%	17.2%	16.0%	18.6%	15.7%	16.2%
	5. Self-employed	3	7	14	15	27	13	11	14	9	113
		2.7%	3.8%	6.3%	5.2%	8.6%	5.6%	7.1%	9.0%	5.7%	6.2%
	6. Retired	33	37	32	58	82	41	35	35	35	388
		29.7%	19.9%	14.5%	19.9%	26.0%	17.7%	22.4%	22.4%	22.0%	21.2%
	7. Student	7	8	9	8	11	5	4	5	2	59
		6.3%	4.3%	4.1%	2.7%	3.5%	2.2%	2.6%	3.2%	1.3%	3.2%
	8. Full time Homemaker/Caregiver	3	5	5	9	22	10	9	7	12	82
		2.7%	2.7%	2.3%	3.1%	7.0%	4.3%	5.8%	4.5%	7.5%	4.5%
	9. Don't know	0	1	2	1	4	2	3	1	4	18
		.0%	.5%	.9%	.3%	1.3%	.9%	1.9%	.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Total		111	186	221	291	315	232	156	156	159	1827
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Including yourself how many adults live in your household? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Including yourself how many adults live in your household?	1	60	117	100	87	76	152	7	599
		24.5%	34.0%	27.3%	28.4%	37.8%	46.5%	31.8%	33.1%
	2	121	179	207	155	88	127	13	890
		49.4%	52.0%	56.6%	50.7%	43.8%	38.8%	59.1%	49.1%
	3	37	26	43	38	28	37	0	209
		15.1%	7.6%	11.7%	12.4%	13.9%	11.3%	.0%	11.5%
	4	14	6	10	18	5	10	1	64
		5.7%	1.7%	2.7%	5.9%	2.5%	3.1%	4.5%	3.5%
	5	0	16	4	8	3	1	1	33

		.0%	4.7%	1.1%	2.6%	1.5%	.3%	4.5%	1.8%
6	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	6
	1.6%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	
9	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
	2.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
Total	245	344	366	306	201	327	22	1811	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Including yourself how many adults live in your household? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Including yourself how many adults live in your household?	1	230	369	599
		28.5%	36.8%	33.1%
	2	424	466	890
		52.5%	46.5%	49.1%
	3	99	111	210
		12.3%	11.1%	11.6%
	4	25	39	64
		3.1%	3.9%	3.5%
	5	21	11	32
		2.6%	1.1%	1.8%
	6	0	6	6
		.0%	.6%	.3%
	8	4	0	4
		.5%	.0%	.2%
	9	5	1	6
		.6%	.1%	.3%
Total		808	1003	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Including yourself how many adults live in your household? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Including yourself how many adults live in your household?	1	130	420	4	10	24	588
		23.2%	36.8%	30.8%	52.6%	40.0%	32.8%
	2	336	506	8	9	26	885
		59.9%	44.3%	61.5%	47.4%	43.3%	49.3%
	3	57	143	1	0	7	208
		10.2%	12.5%	7.7%	.0%	11.7%	11.6%
	4	23	40	0	0	1	64
		4.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	3.6%
	5	6	24	0	0	2	32
		1.1%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	1.8%
	6	5	2	0	0	0	7
		.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	8	4	0	0	0	0	4
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	9	0	7	0	0	0	7
		.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Total		561	1142	13	19	60	1795
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Including yourself how many adults live in your household? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Including yourself how many adults live in your household?	1	52	60	60	98	86	70	57	52	600
		47.3%	32.6%	28.6%	33.6%	27.3%	30.2%	37.0%	32.7%	33.0%
	2	34	99	119	149	169	116	70	72	892
		30.9%	53.8%	56.7%	51.0%	53.7%	50.0%	45.5%	45.3%	49.1%
	3	22	11	26	31	25	27	21	25	211
		20.0%	6.0%	12.4%	10.6%	7.9%	11.6%	13.6%	15.7%	11.6%
	4	0	7	4	10	13	13	3	8	66
		.0%	3.8%	1.9%	3.4%	4.1%	5.6%	1.9%	5.0%	3.6%
	5	2	1	1	0	16	6	3	2	32
		1.8%	.5%	.5%	.0%	5.1%	2.6%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%

6	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	6
	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
8	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
9	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total	110	184	210	292	315	232	154	159	161	1817
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How many children under the age of 18? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
How many children under the age of 18?	0	124	126	137	197	166	298	20	1068
		50.0%	36.5%	37.7%	65.2%	82.6%	91.4%	76.9%	59.0%
	1	58	87	76	59	21	18	3	322
		23.4%	25.2%	20.9%	19.5%	10.4%	5.5%	11.5%	17.8%
	2	35	67	91	28	9	4	3	237
		14.1%	19.4%	25.1%	9.3%	4.5%	1.2%	11.5%	13.1%
	3	12	40	32	12	3	4	0	103
		4.8%	11.6%	8.8%	4.0%	1.5%	1.2%	.0%	5.7%
	4	7	15	13	3	1	2	0	41
		2.8%	4.3%	3.6%	1.0%	.5%	.6%	.0%	2.3%
	5	5	7	9	2	1	0	0	24
		2.0%	2.0%	2.5%	.7%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	6	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	1.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	7	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	7
		1.6%	.6%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
Total		248	345	363	302	201	326	26	1811
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

How many children under the age of 18? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
How many children under the age of 18?	0	495 61.6%	573 56.7%	1068 58.9%
	1	145 18.1%	178 17.6%	323 17.8%
	2	102 12.7%	136 13.5%	238 13.1%
	3	37 4.6%	65 6.4%	102 5.6%
	4	12 1.5%	30 3.0%	42 2.3%
	5	10 1.2%	14 1.4%	24 1.3%
	6	1 .1%	4 .4%	5 .3%
	7	0 .0%	7 .7%	7 .4%
	8	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .1%
	15	0 .0%	3 .3%	3 .2%
	Total	803 100.0%	1010 100.0%	1813 100.0%

How many children under the age of 18? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
How many children under the age of 18?	0	366 65.7%	624 54.5%	7 53.8%	15 75.0%	45 75.0%	1057 58.9%
	1	96 17.2%	216 18.9%	2 15.4%	1 5.0%	5 8.3%	320 17.8%
	2	43 7.7%	178 15.5%	3 23.1%	4 20.0%	6 10.0%	234 13.0%
	3	25 4.5%	76 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	103 5.7%
	4	12 2.2%	28 2.4%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	41 2.3%
	5	7 1.3%	17 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	24 1.3%
	6	3 .5%	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	5 .3%
	7	5 .9%	2 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 .4%
	8	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	1 .1%
	15	0 .0%	3 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 .2%
	Total	557 100.0%	1145 100.0%	13 100.0%	20 100.0%	60 100.0%	1795 100.0%

How many children under the age of 18? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
How many children under the age of 18?	0	71 65.1%	92 49.2%	140 67.3%	157 54.0%	172 54.6%	126 54.1%	97 63.0%	104 67.1%	109 67.7%	1068 58.9%
	1	20 18.3%	41 21.9%	30 14.4%	64 22.0%	47 14.9%	40 17.2%	29 18.8%	21 13.5%	30 18.6%	322 17.8%
	2	9 8.3%	40 21.4%	26 12.5%	38 13.1%	45 14.3%	38 16.3%	11 7.1%	19 12.3%	13 8.1%	239 13.2%
	3	8 7.3%	3 1.6%	10 4.8%	23 7.9%	24 7.6%	17 7.3%	11 7.1%	3 1.9%	3 1.9%	102 5.6%
	4	1 .9%	7 3.7%	1 .5%	4 1.4%	12 3.8%	2 .9%	5 3.2%	6 3.9%	4 2.5%	42 2.3%
	5	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .5%	4 1.4%	7 2.2%	7 3.0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.2%	23 1.3%
	6	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .3%	3 1.0%	1 .4%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 .3%
	7	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 1.6%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 .4%
	8	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	1 .1%
	15	0 .0%	3 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 .2%
	Total	109 100.0%	187 100.0%	208 100.0%	291 100.0%	315 100.0%	233 100.0%	154 100.0%	155 100.0%	161 100.0%	1813 100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade?	0	54 45.0%	65 33.3%	90 43.5%	56 56.6%	13 44.8%	17 58.6%	3 50.0%	298 43.5%
	1	48 40.0%	72 36.9%	74 35.7%	34 34.3%	13 44.8%	9 31.0%	1 16.7%	251 36.6%
	2	13 10.8%	43 22.1%	33 15.9%	6 6.1%	3 10.3%	1 3.4%	2 33.3%	101 14.7%
	3	5	12	8	1	0	2	0	28

	4.2%	6.2%	3.9%	1.0%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	4.1%
4	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	6
	.0%	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total	120	195	207	99	29	29	6	685
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade?	0	116	181	297
		41.6%	44.9%	43.5%
	1	108	143	251
		38.7%	35.5%	36.8%
	2	39	62	101
		14.0%	15.4%	14.8%
	3	13	14	27
		4.7%	3.5%	4.0%
	4	2	3	5
		.7%	.7%	.7%
	6	1	0	1
		.4%	.0%	.1%
Total		279	403	682
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade?	0	87	202	2	2	5	298
		49.4%	42.3%	33.3%	40.0%	38.5%	44.0%
	1	51	184	2	3	4	244
		29.0%	38.6%	33.3%	60.0%	30.8%	36.0%
	2	28	70	1	0	2	101

		15.9%	14.7%	16.7%	.0%	15.4%	14.9%
	3	9	17	1	0	0	27
		5.1%	3.6%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
	4	1	4	0	0	1	6
		.6%	.8%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.9%
	6	0	0	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.1%
Total		176	477	6	5	13	677
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Of those in school, how many are in Kindergarten to 5th grade?	0	16	58	17	53	56	33	33	16	298
		55.2%	65.9%	26.6%	43.4%	43.4%	33.0%	63.5%	34.8%	43.6%
	1	9	14	40	46	39	38	17	16	251
		31.0%	15.9%	62.5%	37.7%	30.2%	38.0%	32.7%	34.8%	36.7%
	2	3	15	5	15	29	22	1	9	101
		10.3%	17.0%	7.8%	12.3%	22.5%	22.0%	1.9%	19.6%	14.8%
	3	1	1	2	6	5	6	0	3	27
		3.4%	1.1%	3.1%	4.9%	3.9%	6.0%	.0%	6.5%	4.0%
	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.0%	1.9%	2.2%	.7%
	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.1%
Total		29	88	64	122	129	100	52	46	683
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade?	0	85	118	102	40	15	13	3	376
		70.8%	59.9%	49.5%	40.4%	51.7%	44.8%	50.0%	54.8%
	1	18	67	71	40	13	12	2	223
		15.0%	34.0%	34.5%	40.4%	44.8%	41.4%	33.3%	32.5%
	2	13	9	19	16	1	3	1	62
		10.8%	4.6%	9.2%	16.2%	3.4%	10.3%	16.7%	9.0%
	3	4	1	12	3	0	1	0	21
		3.3%	.5%	5.8%	3.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	3.1%
	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
		.0%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		120	197	206	99	29	29	6	686
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade?	0	166 59.1%	209 52.0%	375 54.9%
	1	90 32.0%	132 32.8%	222 32.5%
	2	21 7.5%	41 10.2%	62 9.1%
	3	4 1.4%	16 4.0%	20 2.9%
	4	0 .0%	4 1.0%	4 .6%
	Total	281 100.0%	402 100.0%	683 100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade?	0	115 65.3%	238 49.9%	5 83.3%	4 80.0%	6 50.0%	368 54.4%
	1	37 21.0%	180 37.7%	1 16.7%	1 20.0%	3 25.0%	222 32.8%
	2	16 9.1%	43 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 25.0%	62 9.2%
	3	7 4.0%	13 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 3.0%
	4	1 .6%	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 .6%
	Total	176 100.0%	477 100.0%	6 100.0%	5 100.0%	12 100.0%	676 100.0%

Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Of those in school, how many are in 6th to 12th grade?	0	22	45	46	68	62	49	19	28	35	374
		73.3%	51.1%	71.9%	55.7%	48.1%	48.5%	36.5%	59.6%	67.3%	54.6%
	1	3	37	9	37	39	42	27	15	14	223
		10.0%	42.0%	14.1%	30.3%	30.2%	41.6%	51.9%	31.9%	26.9%	32.6%
	2	5	5	7	15	14	8	5	4	1	64
		16.7%	5.7%	10.9%	12.3%	10.9%	7.9%	9.6%	8.5%	1.9%	9.3%
	3	0	1	2	1	13	0	1	0	2	20
		.0%	1.1%	3.1%	.8%	10.1%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	3.8%	2.9%
	4	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.8%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		30	88	64	122	129	101	52	47	52	685
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those, how many are not in school? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Of those, how many are not in school?	0	64	112	111	76	22	22	6	413
		53.3%	57.4%	53.9%	76.0%	75.9%	75.9%	100.0%	60.3%
	1	35	60	72	17	6	6	0	196
		29.2%	30.8%	35.0%	17.0%	20.7%	20.7%	.0%	28.6%
	2	14	20	22	2	1	0	0	59
		11.7%	10.3%	10.7%	2.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	8.6%
	3	4	1	1	5	0	1	0	12
		3.3%	.5%	.5%	5.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	1.8%
	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
		2.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Total		120	195	206	100	29	29	6	685
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those, how many are not in school? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Of those, how many are not in school?	0	172	241	413
		61.6%	60.0%	60.6%
	1	84	112	196
		30.1%	27.9%	28.8%
	2	19	37	56
		6.8%	9.2%	8.2%
	3	4	7	11
		1.4%	1.7%	1.6%
	4	0	5	5
		.0%	1.2%	.7%
Total		279	402	681
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those, how many are not in school? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Of those, how many are not in school?	0	74	323	2	2	10	411
		42.3%	67.9%	33.3%	40.0%	71.4%	60.8%
	1	81	104	4	1	2	192
		46.3%	21.8%	66.7%	20.0%	14.3%	28.4%
	2	14	39	0	2	2	57
		8.0%	8.2%	.0%	40.0%	14.3%	8.4%
	3	4	7	0	0	0	11
		2.3%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	4	2	3	0	0	0	5
		1.1%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Total		175	476	6	5	14	676
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Of those, how many are not in school? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total	
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast		West
Of those, how many are not in school?	0	11	45	40	87	63	66	31	28	41	412
		37.9%	51.1%	63.5%	71.3%	49.2%	66.0%	60.8%	59.6%	78.8%	60.6%
	1	18	37	14	27	46	23	10	12	8	195
		62.1%	42.0%	22.2%	22.1%	35.9%	23.0%	19.6%	25.5%	15.4%	28.7%
	2	0	3	9	6	12	10	7	7	3	57
		.0%	3.4%	14.3%	4.9%	9.4%	10.0%	13.7%	14.9%	5.8%	8.4%
	3	0	0	0	2	5	1	3	0	0	11
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	3.9%	1.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	4	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
		.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Total		29	88	63	122	128	100	51	47	52	680
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your marital status? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
What is your marital status?	1. Married	35	143	192	126	76	112	9	693
		13.7%	41.4%	52.5%	41.4%	37.8%	34.0%	36.0%	38.0%
	2. Living with someone as a partner	32	40	19	23	4	6	1	125
		12.5%	11.6%	5.2%	7.6%	2.0%	1.8%	4.0%	6.8%
	3. Single	180	153	122	103	53	52	7	670
		70.6%	44.3%	33.3%	33.9%	26.4%	15.8%	28.0%	36.7%
	4. Divorced, separated or widowed	8	9	33	52	68	159	8	337
		3.1%	2.6%	9.0%	17.1%	33.8%	48.3%	32.0%	18.5%
	Total	255	345	366	304	201	329	25	1825
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your marital status? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
What is your marital status?	1. Married	374 46.0%	319 31.6%	693 38.0%
	2. Living with someone as a partner	64 7.9%	60 5.9%	124 6.8%
	3. Single	295 36.3%	374 37.0%	669 36.7%
	4. Divorced, separated or widowed	80 9.8%	257 25.4%	337 18.5%
Total		813 100.0%	1010 100.0%	1823 100.0%

What is your marital status? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
What is your marital status?	1. Married	329 57.8%	327 28.6%	3 21.4%	8 40.0%	20 33.3%	687 38.1%
	2. Living with someone as a partner	33 5.8%	79 6.9%	2 14.3%	1 5.0%	8 13.3%	123 6.8%
	3. Single	115 20.2%	506 44.3%	6 42.9%	10 50.0%	24 40.0%	661 36.6%
	4. Divorced, separated or widowed	92 16.2%	230 20.1%	3 21.4%	1 5.0%	8 13.3%	334 18.5%
Total		569 100.0%	1142 100.0%	14 100.0%	20 100.0%	60 100.0%	1805 100.0%

What is your marital status? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
What is your marital status?	1. Married	23	67	105	114	154	77	54	53	45	692
		20.9%	36.2%	47.5%	38.9%	48.7%	33.9%	35.1%	33.8%	28.1%	38.0%
	2. Living with someone as a partner	10	11	5	22	22	31	11	7	6	125
		9.1%	5.9%	2.3%	7.5%	7.0%	13.7%	7.1%	4.5%	3.8%	6.9%
	3. Single	57	79	82	96	72	73	67	69	75	670
		51.8%	42.7%	37.1%	32.8%	22.8%	32.2%	43.5%	43.9%	46.9%	36.8%
	4. Divorced, separated or widowed	20	28	29	61	68	46	22	28	34	336
		18.2%	15.1%	13.1%	20.8%	21.5%	20.3%	14.3%	17.8%	21.3%	18.4%
Total		110	185	221	293	316	227	154	157	160	1823
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping?	1. In my neighborhood	94	120	97	101	74	113	6	605
		36.2%	35.2%	26.6%	33.2%	37.0%	34.6%	23.1%	33.2%
	2. In other parts of the city	91	73	92	85	55	100	9	505
		35.0%	21.4%	25.3%	28.0%	27.5%	30.6%	34.6%	27.7%
	3. In the y or suburbs	70	145	168	112	67	109	9	680
		26.9%	42.5%	46.2%	36.8%	33.5%	33.3%	34.6%	37.3%
	4. On-line (DO NOT READ: VOLUNTEERED)	5	2	6	3	2	1	0	19
		1.9%	.6%	1.6%	1.0%	1.0%	.3%	.0%	1.0%
	8. Don't know	0	1	1	3	2	4	2	13
		.0%	.3%	.3%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	7.7%	.7%
Total		260	341	364	304	200	327	26	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping?	1. In my neighborhood	305	299	604
		37.4%	29.7%	33.2%
	2. In other parts of the city	212	294	506
		26.0%	29.2%	27.8%
	3. In the y or suburbs	284	397	681
		34.8%	39.5%	37.4%
	4. On-line (DO NOT READ: VOLUNTEERED)	8	10	18
Total	8. Don't know	7	6	13
		.9%	.6%	.7%
		816	1006	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping?	1. In my neighborhood	258	310	3	13	19	603
		45.1%	27.2%	23.1%	65.0%	31.7%	33.4%
	2. In other parts of the city	76	393	4	1	27	501
		13.3%	34.4%	30.8%	5.0%	45.0%	27.7%
	3. In the y or suburbs	225	421	6	6	14	672
		39.3%	36.9%	46.2%	30.0%	23.3%	37.2%
	4. On-line (DO NOT READ: VOLUNTEERED)	9	9	0	0	0	18
Total	8. Don't know	4	8	0	0	0	12
		.7%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
		572	1141	13	20	60	1806
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Overall, where do you do the majority of your shopping?	1. In my neighborhood	36	61	70	93	119	64	39	71	51	604
		32.7%	32.6%	32.1%	31.8%	37.8%	27.5%	25.7%	46.1%	31.9%	33.2%
	2. In other parts of the city	26	61	68	70	74	57	37	47	66	506
		23.6%	32.6%	31.2%	24.0%	23.5%	24.5%	24.3%	30.5%	41.3%	27.8%
	3. In the y or suburbs	43	64	76	124	118	108	74	32	42	681
		39.1%	34.2%	34.9%	42.5%	37.5%	46.4%	48.7%	20.8%	26.3%	37.4%
	4. On-line (DO NOT READ: VOLUNTEERED)	5	1	4	2	1	1	1	2	0	17
		4.5%	.5%	1.8%	.7%	.3%	.4%	.7%	1.3%	.0%	.9%
Total	8. Don't know	0	0	0	3	3	3	1	2	1	13
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	.7%	1.3%	.6%	.7%
		110	187	218	292	315	233	152	154	160	1821
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter?	1. Own	60	141	231	174	141	232	15	994
		23.4%	41.1%	62.9%	56.9%	69.8%	71.4%	68.2%	54.6%
	2. Rent	126	180	124	123	55	86	7	701
		49.2%	52.5%	33.8%	40.2%	27.2%	26.5%	31.8%	38.5%
	3. Live with someone else who is a homeowner	40	18	12	6	6	6	0	88
		15.6%	5.2%	3.3%	2.0%	3.0%	1.8%	.0%	4.8%
	4. Live with someone else who is a renter	30	4	0	3	0	1	0	38
		11.7%	1.2%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	2.1%
Total		256	343	367	306	202	325	22	1821
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you own your home, rent your home	1. Own	475	519	994

or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter?		58.5%	51.5%	54.6%
2. Rent		279	421	700
		34.4%	41.8%	38.5%
3. Live with someone else who is a homeowner		30	57	87
		3.7%	5.7%	4.8%
4. Live with someone else who is a renter		28	10	38
		3.4%	1.0%	2.1%
Total		812	1007	1819
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter?	1. Own	434	502	11	9	31	987
		76.7%	43.8%	84.6%	45.0%	52.5%	54.7%
	2. Rent	119	535	1	11	25	691
		21.0%	46.7%	7.7%	55.0%	42.4%	38.3%
	3. Live with someone else who is a homeowner	12	72	1	0	2	87
		2.1%	6.3%	7.7%	.0%	3.4%	4.8%
	4. Live with someone else who is a renter	1	36	0	0	1	38
		.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.1%
Total		566	1145	13	20	59	1803
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Do you own your home, rent your home or apartment or live with someone else who is a homeowner or renter?	1. Own	38	82	132	188	189	114	93	90	994
		34.5%	44.1%	59.5%	64.4%	60.4%	49.1%	60.4%	59.6%	54.6%
	2. Rent	63	102	65	76	107	103	54	57	700
		57.3%	54.8%	29.3%	26.0%	34.2%	44.4%	35.1%	37.7%	38.4%
	3. Live with someone else who is a homeowner	9	2	12	19	15	10	7	3	89
		8.2%	1.1%	5.4%	6.5%	4.8%	4.3%	4.5%	2.0%	4.9%
	4. Live with someone	0	0	13	9	2	5	0	1	38

else who is a renter		.0%	.0%	5.9%	3.1%	.6%	2.2%	.0%	.7%	5.0%	2.1%
Total		110	186	222	292	313	232	154	151	161	1821
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed?	1. Less than High School	27	30	19	25	20	66	1	188
		10.7%	8.7%	5.2%	8.2%	10.0%	20.4%	4.0%	10.4%
	2. High School graduate or GED	105	115	99	115	56	100	6	596
		41.5%	33.5%	27.0%	37.8%	28.0%	31.0%	24.0%	32.8%
	3. Some College or Technical School (2 year degree)	43	72	73	69	55	70	9	391
		17.0%	21.0%	19.9%	22.7%	27.5%	21.7%	36.0%	21.5%
	4. College Graduate (4 year degree)	51	65	87	61	37	39	4	344
		20.2%	19.0%	23.7%	20.1%	18.5%	12.1%	16.0%	19.0%
	5. Graduate or professional education	27	61	89	34	32	48	5	296
		10.7%	17.8%	24.3%	11.2%	16.0%	14.9%	20.0%	16.3%
Total		253	343	367	304	200	323	25	1815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed?	1. Less than High School	67	121	188
		8.3%	12.0%	10.4%
	2. High School graduate or GED	266	331	597
		32.9%	32.8%	32.9%
	3. Some College or Technical School (2 year degree)	141	250	391
		17.5%	24.8%	21.5%
	4. College Graduate (4 year degree)	158	186	344
		19.6%	18.5%	18.9%
	5. Graduate or professional education	176	120	296
		21.8%	11.9%	16.3%
Total		808	1008	1816
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed?	1. Less than High School	38	145	0	2	4	189
		6.7%	12.7%	.0%	15.4%	6.6%	10.5%
	2. High School graduate or GED	106	473	2	0	11	592
		18.6%	41.5%	15.4%	.0%	18.0%	32.9%
	3. Some College or Technical School (2 year degree)	82	283	3	0	17	385
		14.4%	24.8%	23.1%	.0%	27.9%	21.4%
	4. College Graduate (4 year degree)	163	154	4	3	18	342
		28.6%	13.5%	30.8%	23.1%	29.5%	19.0%
	5. Graduate or professional education	181	86	4	8	11	290
		31.8%	7.5%	30.8%	61.5%	18.0%	16.1%
Total		570	1141	13	13	61	1798
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
What is the highest grade or year of school that you completed?	1. Less than High School	11	22	13	22	21	44	10	19	26	188
		10.0%	11.9%	5.9%	7.5%	6.8%	18.9%	6.5%	12.2%	16.4%	10.3%
	2. High School graduate or GED	33	63	50	103	87	85	72	39	66	598
		30.0%	34.1%	22.7%	35.3%	28.2%	36.5%	46.5%	25.0%	41.5%	32.9%
	3. Some College or Technical School (2 year degree)	27	43	49	73	65	32	29	35	38	391
		24.5%	23.2%	22.3%	25.0%	21.1%	13.7%	18.7%	22.4%	23.9%	21.5%
	4. College Graduate (4 year degree)	23	19	37	67	71	46	34	35	12	344
		20.9%	10.3%	16.8%	22.9%	23.1%	19.7%	21.9%	22.4%	7.5%	18.9%
	5. Graduate or professional education	16	38	71	27	64	26	10	28	17	297
		14.5%	20.5%	32.3%	9.2%	20.8%	11.2%	6.5%	17.9%	10.7%	16.3%
Total		110	185	220	292	308	233	155	156	159	1818
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you or someone in your household have a disability? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Do you or someone in your household have a disability?	1. I have a disability	34	41	27	80	66	82	5
		13.3%	12.0%	7.4%	26.2%	32.5%	25.2%	20.0%
	2. Someone in my household has a disability	24	35	38	28	20	29	1
		9.4%	10.2%	10.4%	9.2%	9.9%	8.9%	4.0%
	3. No one in my home has a disability	197	267	302	197	117	214	19
		77.3%	77.8%	82.3%	64.6%	57.6%	65.8%	76.0%
Total		255	343	367	305	203	325	25
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you or someone in your household have a disability? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you or someone in your household have a disability?	1. I have a disability	134	201	335
		16.5%	19.9%	18.4%
	2. Someone in my household has a disability	74	101	175
		9.1%	10.0%	9.6%
	3. No one in my home has a disability	606	706	1312
		74.4%	70.0%	72.0%
Total		814	1008	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you or someone in your household have a disability? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Do you or someone in your household have a disability?	1. I have a disability	67	250	1	2	13	333
		11.8%	21.8%	7.1%	10.0%	21.7%	18.4%
	2. Someone in my	37	129	2	0	4	172

household has a disability		6.5%	11.3%	14.3%	.0%	6.7%	9.5%
3. No one in my home has a disability		462	767	11	18	43	1301
		81.6%	66.9%	78.6%	90.0%	71.7%	72.0%
Total		566	1146	14	20	60	1806
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you or someone in your household have a disability? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
Do you or someone in your household have a disability?	1. I have a disability	25	35	47	35	42	55	30	31	35	335
		22.7%	18.8%	21.3%	11.9%	13.3%	24.3%	19.4%	19.9%	21.7%	18.4%
	2. Someone in my household has a disability	13	21	8	35	24	13	16	27	18	175
		11.8%	11.3%	3.6%	11.9%	7.6%	5.8%	10.3%	17.3%	11.2%	9.6%
Total	3. No one in my home has a disability	72	130	166	223	249	158	109	98	108	1313
		65.5%	69.9%	75.1%	76.1%	79.0%	69.9%	70.3%	62.8%	67.1%	72.0%
		110	186	221	293	315	226	155	156	161	1823
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you have access to the Internet in your home? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	Total
Do you have access to the Internet in your home?	1. Yes	220	307	328	245	138	171	18	1427
		85.9%	89.2%	89.6%	80.3%	68.3%	52.5%	69.2%	78.2%
	2. No	36	37	38	60	64	155	8	398
		14.1%	10.8%	10.4%	19.7%	31.7%	47.5%	30.8%	21.8%
Total		256	344	366	305	202	326	26	1825
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you have access to the Internet in your home? * Gender Crosstabulation

	Gender	Total
--	--------	-------

		1. Male	2. Female	
Do you have access to the Internet in your home?	1. Yes	677	749	1426
		83.3%	74.2%	78.2%
	2. No	136	261	397
		16.7%	25.8%	21.8%
	Total	813	1010	1823
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you have access to the Internet in your home? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	Total
Do you have access to the Internet in your home?	1. Yes	495	846	11	18	43	1413
		87.5%	73.9%	78.6%	90.0%	70.5%	78.2%
	2. No	71	299	3	2	18	393
		12.5%	26.1%	21.4%	10.0%	29.5%	21.8%
Total		566	1145	14	20	61	1806
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Do you have access to the Internet in your home? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
Do you have access to the Internet in your home?	1. Yes	77	142	180	248	242	183	112	122	120	1426
		70.6%	76.3%	81.4%	84.9%	76.8%	79.9%	72.3%	78.7%	75.0%	78.3%
	2. No	32	44	41	44	73	46	43	33	40	396
		29.4%	23.7%	18.6%	15.1%	23.2%	20.1%	27.7%	21.3%	25.0%	21.7%
Total		109	186	221	292	315	229	155	155	160	1822
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Does the head of your household work in Baltimore? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories							
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	Total
Does the head of your household work in Baltimore?	1. Yes	134	193	239	166	81	44	14	871
		54.0%	56.1%	65.1%	54.4%	40.3%	13.4%	56.0%	47.9%
	2. No	114	149	128	139	120	284	11	945
		46.0%	43.3%	34.9%	45.6%	59.7%	86.6%	44.0%	52.0%
	8. Don't know	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		248	344	367	305	201	328	25	1818
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Does the head of your household work in Baltimore? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
Does the head of your household work in Baltimore?	1. Yes	400	471	871
		49.3%	46.8%	47.9%
	2. No	409	535	944
		50.4%	53.2%	52.0%
	8. Don't know	2	0	2
		.2%	.0%	.1%
Total		811	1006	1817
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Does the head of your household work in Baltimore? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
Does the head of your household work in Baltimore?	1. Yes	288	530	7	16	22	863
		51.2%	46.4%	53.8%	80.0%	36.7%	48.0%
	2. No	275	610	6	4	38	933
		48.8%	53.4%	46.2%	20.0%	63.3%	51.9%
	8. Don't know	0	2	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		563	1142	13	20	60	1798
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Does the head of your household work in Baltimore? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District								Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	
Does the head of your household work in Baltimore?	1. Yes	53	95	84	161	171	81	63	76	871
		48.2%	51.6%	37.8%	55.3%	54.1%	35.7%	40.6%	50.0%	47.9%
	2. No	57	89	138	130	145	146	92	76	945
		51.8%	48.4%	62.2%	44.7%	45.9%	64.3%	59.4%	50.0%	52.0%
	8. Don't know	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
										.1%

Total	110	184	222	291	316	227	155	152	161	1818
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your annual household income? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
What is your annual household income?	1. Under \$25,000	84	89	55	70	54	111	464
		42.9%	29.1%	17.8%	27.9%	32.5%	47.8%	31.6%
	2. \$25,001 - \$50,000	69	90	94	74	45	66	440
		35.2%	29.4%	30.4%	29.5%	27.1%	28.4%	30.0%
	3. \$50,001 - \$75,000	18	49	53	47	28	27	223
		9.2%	16.0%	17.2%	18.7%	16.9%	11.6%	15.2%
	4. \$75,001 - \$100,000	19	38	43	31	18	14	164
Total	5. Over \$100,000	6	40	64	29	21	14	176
		3.1%	13.1%	20.7%	11.6%	12.7%	6.0%	12.0%
		196	306	309	251	166	232	1467
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your annual household income? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
What is your annual household income?	1. Under \$25,000	171	292	463
		25.6%	36.7%	31.6%
	2. \$25,001 - \$50,000	200	239	439
		30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
	3. \$50,001 - \$75,000	99	124	223
		14.8%	15.6%	15.2%
	4. \$75,001 - \$100,000	90	72	162
Total	5. Over \$100,000	107	69	176
		16.0%	8.7%	12.0%
		667	796	1463
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your annual household income? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
What is your annual household income?	1. Under \$25,000	88	347	4	9	17	465
		19.1%	37.8%	30.8%	56.3%	32.7%	31.8%
	2. \$25,001 - \$50,000	81	339	1	0	16	437
		17.6%	36.9%	7.7%	.0%	30.8%	29.9%
	3. \$50,001 - \$75,000	80	128	5	2	6	221
		17.4%	13.9%	38.5%	12.5%	11.5%	15.1%
	4. \$75,001 - \$100,000	83	65	2	2	11	163
		18.0%	7.1%	15.4%	12.5%	21.2%	11.2%
	5. Over \$100,000	129	40	1	3	2	175
		28.0%	4.4%	7.7%	18.8%	3.8%	12.0%
	Total	461	919	13	16	52	1461
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

What is your annual household income? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	Total
What is your annual household income?	1. Under \$25,000	39	50	54	47	65	79	36	54	40	464
		44.3%	33.1%	34.4%	21.0%	25.3%	40.9%	27.7%	40.0%	30.3%	31.6%
	2. \$25,001 - \$50,000	27	51	35	70	82	49	43	27	56	440
		30.7%	33.8%	22.3%	31.3%	31.9%	25.4%	33.1%	20.0%	42.4%	30.0%
	3. \$50,001 - \$75,000	11	17	15	49	47	24	27	14	18	222
		12.5%	11.3%	9.6%	21.9%	18.3%	12.4%	20.8%	10.4%	13.6%	15.1%
	4. \$75,001 - \$100,000	6	13	23	35	28	24	18	14	3	164
		6.8%	8.6%	14.6%	15.6%	10.9%	12.4%	13.8%	10.4%	2.3%	11.2%
	5. Over \$100,000	5	20	30	23	35	17	6	26	15	177
		5.7%	13.2%	19.1%	10.3%	13.6%	8.8%	4.6%	19.3%	11.4%	12.1%
Total		88	151	157	224	257	193	130	135	132	1467
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English? * Age Categories Crosstabulation

		Age Categories						Total
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English?	1. Yes	38	21	37	35	13	19	164
		14.8%	6.1%	10.1%	11.5%	6.5%	5.8%	9.0%
	2. No	218	323	329	270	187	309	1663
		85.2%	93.9%	89.9%	88.5%	93.5%	94.2%	91.0%
Total		256	344	366	305	200	328	1827
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English? * Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total
		1. Male	2. Female	
For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English?	1. Yes	67	96	163
		8.2%	9.5%	8.9%
	2. No	746	917	1663
		91.8%	90.5%	91.1%
Total		813	1013	1826
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English? * Race/Ethnicity Crosstabulation

		Race/Ethnicity					Total
		1. White - Not Hispanic	2. Black - Not Hispanic	3. Hispanic	4. Asian	5. Other	
For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English?	1. Yes	37	91	6	14	13	161
		6.5%	7.9%	46.2%	73.7%	21.3%	8.9%
	2. No	530	1055	7	5	48	1645
		93.5%	92.1%	53.8%	26.3%	78.7%	91.1%

Total	567	1146	13	19	61	1806
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English? * Planning District Crosstabulation

		Planning District									Total
		Central	East	North	Northeast	Northwest	South	Southwest	Southeast	West	
For most of your day-to-day communication do you or a member of your household speak a language other than English?	1. Yes	8	10	28	25	34	14	16	15	14	164
		7.3%	5.4%	12.6%	8.6%	10.8%	6.1%	10.3%	9.6%	8.7%	9.0%
	2. No	102	176	194	266	282	215	140	141	147	1663
		92.7%	94.6%	87.4%	91.4%	89.2%	93.9%	89.7%	90.4%	91.3%	91.0%
Total		110	186	222	291	316	229	156	156	161	1827
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%